

Declarative Programming Techniques

Accumulators, Difference Lists (VRH 3.4.3-3.4.4)

Carlos Varela

RPI

Adapted with permission from:

Seif Haridi

KTH

Peter Van Roy

UCL

November 20, 2006

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

1

Accumulators

- *Accumulator programming* is a way to handle state in declarative programs. It is a programming technique that uses arguments to carry state, transform the state, and pass it to the next procedure.
- Assume that the state S consists of a number of components to be transformed individually:

$$S = (X, Y, Z, \dots)$$
- For each procedure P , each state component is made into a pair, the first component is the *input* state and the second component is the output state after P has terminated
- S is represented as

$$(X_{in}, X_{out}, Y_{in}, Y_{out}, Z_{in}, Z_{out}, \dots)$$

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

2

A Trivial Example

```
proc {Increment N0 N}
  N = N0 + 1
end
```

Increment takes $N0$ as the input and produces N as the output by adding 1 to $N0$.

```
proc {Square N0 N}
  N = N0 * N0
end
```

Square takes $N0$ as the input and produces N as the output by multiplying $N0$ to itself.

```
proc {IncSquare N0 N}
  N1 in
  {Increment N0 N1}
  {Square N1 N}
end
```

IncSquare takes $N0$ as the input and produces N as the output by using an intermediate variable $N1$ to carry $N0+1$ (the output of **Increment**) and passing it as input to **Square**. The pairs $N0-N1$ and $N1-N$ are called *accumulators*.

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

3

Accumulators

- Assume that the state S consists of a number of components to be transformed individually:

$$S = (X, Y, Z)$$

- Assume $P1$ to Pn are procedures:

```
accumulator
proc {P X0 X Y0 Y Z0 Z}
  {P1 X0 X1 Y0 Y1 Z0 Z1}
  {P2 X1 X2 Y1 Y2 Z1 Z2}
  ...
  {Pn Xn-1 X Yn-1 Y Zn-1 Z}
end
```

- The procedural syntax is easier to use if there is more than one accumulator

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

4

Example

- Consider a variant of MergeSort with accumulator
- `proc {MergeSort1 N S0 S Xs}`
 - N is an integer,
 - $S0$ is an input list to be sorted
 - S is the remainder of $S0$ after the first N elements are sorted
 - Xs is the sorted first N elements of $S0$
- The pair $(S0, S)$ is an accumulator
- The definition is in a procedural syntax because it has two outputs S and Xs

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

5

Example (2)

```
fun {MergeSort Xs}
  {MergeSort1 (Length Xs) Xs _ Ys}
  Ys
end
```

```
proc {MergeSort1 N S0 S Xs}
  if N==0 then S = S0 Xs = nil
  elseif N == 1 then X in X|S = S0 Xs=[X]
  else %N > 1
    local S1 Xs1 Xs2 NL NR in
    NL = N div 2
    NR = N - NL
    {MergeSort1 NL S0 S1 Xs1}
    {MergeSort1 NR S1 S Xs2}
    Xs = {Merge Xs1 Xs2}
  end
end
end
```

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

6

Multiple accumulators

- Consider a stack machine for evaluating arithmetic expressions
- Example: $(1+4)-3$
- The machine executes the following instructions

```

push(1)
push(4)
plus
push(3)
minus
    
```

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

7

Multiple accumulators (2)

- Example: $(1+4)-3$
- The arithmetic expressions are represented as trees:
 $\text{minus}(\text{plus}(1\ 4)\ 3)$
- Write a procedure that takes arithmetic expressions represented as trees and output a list of stack machine instructions and counts the number of instructions

```
proc {ExprCode Expr Cin Cout Nin Nout}
```

- Cin: initial list of instructions
- Cout: final list of instructions
- Nin: initial count
- Nout: final count

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

8

Multiple accumulators (3)

```

proc {ExprCode Expr C0 C N0 N}
  case Expr
  of plus(Expr1 Expr2) then C1 N1 in
    C1 = plus(C0)
    N1 = N0 + 1
    {SeqCode [Expr2 Expr1] C1 C N1 N}
  [] minus(Expr1 Expr2) then C1 N1 in
    C1 = minus(C0)
    N1 = N0 + 1
    {SeqCode [Expr2 Expr1] C1 C N1 N}
  [] I andthen {!sint I} then
    C = push(I)(C0)
    N = N0 + 1
  end
end
    
```

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

9

Multiple accumulators (4)

```

proc {ExprCode Expr C0 C N0 N}
  case Expr
  of plus(Expr1 Expr2) then C1 N1 in
    C1 = plus(C0)
    N1 = N0 + 1
    {SeqCode [Expr2 Expr1] C1 C N1 N}
  [] minus(Expr1 Expr2) then C1 N1 in
    C1 = minus(C0)
    N1 = N0 + 1
    {SeqCode [Expr2 Expr1] C1 C N1 N}
  [] I andthen {!sint I} then
    C = push(I)(C0)
    N = N0 + 1
  end
end
    
```

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

10

```

proc {SeqCode Es C0 C N0 N}
  case Es
  of nil then C = C0 N = N0
  [] E|Er then N1 C1 in
    {ExprCode E C0 C1 N0 N1}
    {SeqCode Er C1 C N1 N}
  end
end
    
```

Shorter version (4)

```

proc {ExprCode Expr C0 C N0 N}
  case Expr
  of plus(Expr1 Expr2) then
    {SeqCode [Expr2 Expr1] plus(C0 C N0 + 1 N)}
  [] minus(Expr1 Expr2) then
    {SeqCode [Expr2 Expr1] minus(C0 C N0 + 1 N)}
  [] I andthen {!sint I} then
    C = push(I)(C0)
    N = N0 + 1
  end
end
    
```

```

proc {SeqCode Es C0 C N0 N}
  case Es
  of nil then C = C0 N = N0
  [] E|Er then N1 C1 in
    {ExprCode E C0 C1 N0 N1}
    {SeqCode Er C1 C N1 N}
  end
end
    
```

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

11

Functional style (4)

```

fun {ExprCode Expr t(C0 N0)}
  case Expr
  of plus(Expr1 Expr2) then
    {SeqCode [Expr2 Expr1] t(plus(C0 N0 + 1))}
  [] minus(Expr1 Expr2) then
    {SeqCode [Expr2 Expr1] t(minus(C0 N0 + 1))}
  [] I andthen {!sint I} then
    t(push(I)(C0 N0 + 1))
  end
end
    
```

```

fun {SeqCode Es T}
  case Es
  of nil then T
  [] E|Er then
    T1 = {ExprCode E T} in
    {SeqCode Er T1}
  end
end
    
```

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

12

Difference lists (1)

- A *difference list* is a pair of lists, each might have an unbound tail, with the invariant that one can get the second list by removing zero or more elements from the first list
- $X \# X$ % Represent the empty list
- $\text{nil} \# \text{nil}$ % idem
- $[a] \# [a]$ % idem
- $(a|b|c|X) \# X$ % Represents $[a\ b\ c]$
- $[a\ b\ c\ d] \# [d]$ % idem

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

13

Difference lists (2)

- When the second list is unbound, an append operation with another difference list takes constant time
- ```
fun {AppendD D1 D2}
 S1 # E1 = D1
 S2 # E2 = D2
in
 E1 = S2
 S1 # E2
end
```
- ```
local X Y in {Browse {AppendD (1|2|3|X)#X (4|5|Y)#Y}}
```

 end
- Displays $(1|2|3|4|5|Y)\#Y$

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

14

A FIFO queue with difference lists (1)

- A *FIFO queue* is a sequence of elements with an insert and a delete operation.
 - Insert adds an element to one end and delete removes it from the other end
- Queues can be implemented with lists. If L represents the queue content, then inserting X gives $X|L$ and deleting X gives $\{\text{ButLast } L\ X\}$ (all elements but the last).
 - Delete is inefficient: it takes time proportional to the number of queue elements
- With difference lists we can implement a queue with **constant-time insert and delete operations**
 - The queue content is represented as $q(N\ S\ E)$, where N is the number of elements and $S\#E$ is a difference list representing the elements

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

15

A FIFO queue with difference lists (2)

```
fun {NewQueue} X in q(0 X X) end
```

```
fun {Insert Q X}
  case Q of q(N S E) then E1 in E=X|E1 q(N+1 S E1) end
end
```

```
fun {Delete Q X}
  case Q of q(N S E) then S1 in X|S1=S q(N-1 S1 E) end
end
```

```
fun {EmptyQueue} case Q of q(N S E) then N==0 end end
```

- Inserting 'b':
 - In: $q(1\ a|T\ T)$
 - Out: $q(2\ a|b|U\ U)$
- Deleting X:
 - In: $q(2\ a|b|U\ U)$
 - Out: $q(1\ b|U\ U)$ and $X=a$
- Difference list allows operations at **both ends**
- N is needed to keep track of the number of queue elements

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

16

Flatten (revisited)

```
fun {Flatten Xs}
  case Xs
  of nil then nil
  [] X|Xr andthen {IsLeaf X} then
    X|{Flatten Xr}
  [] X|Xr andthen {Not {IsLeaf X}} then
    {Append {Flatten X} {Flatten Xr}}
  end
end
```

Flatten takes a list of elements and sub-lists and returns a list with only the elements, e.g.:

```
{Flatten [1 [2] [[3]]]} = [1 2 3]
```

Let us replace lists by difference lists and see what happens.

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

17

Flatten with difference lists (1)

- Flatten of nil is $X\#X$
- Flatten of $X|Xr$ is $Y1\#Y2$
 - flatten of X is $Y1\#Y2$
 - flatten of Xr is $Y3\#Y4$
 - equate $Y2$ and $Y3$
- Flatten of a leaf X is $(X|Y)\#Y$

Here is what it looks like as text

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

18

Flatten with difference lists (2)

```
proc {FlattenD Xs Ds}
case Xs
of nil then Y in Ds = Y#Y
[] X|Xr then Y0 Y1 Y2 in
Ds = Y0#Y2
{FlattenD X Y0#Y1}
{FlattenD Xr Y1#Y2}
[] X andthen {isLeaf X} then Y in (X|Y)#Y
end
end
fun {Flatten Xs} Y in {FlattenD Xs Y#nil} Y end
```

Here is the new program. It is much more efficient than the first version.

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

19

Reverse (revisited)

- Here is our recursive reverse:

```
fun {Reverse Xs}
case Xs
of nil then nil
[] X|Xr then {Append (Reverse Xr) [X]}
end
end
```

- Rewrite this with difference lists:

- Reverse of nil is X#X
- Reverse of X|Xs is Y1#Y, where
 - reverse of Xs is Y1#Y2, and
 - equate Y2 and X|Y

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

20

Reverse with difference lists (1)

- The naive version takes time proportional to the **square** of the input length
- Using difference lists in the naive version makes it **linear time**
- We use two arguments Y1 and Y instead of Y1#Y
- With a minor change we can make it **iterative** as well

```
fun {ReverseD Xs}
proc {ReverseD Xs Y1 Y}
case Xs
of nil then Y1=Y
[] X|Xr then Y2 in
{ReverseD Xr Y1 Y2}
Y2 = X|Y
end
end
R in
{ReverseD Xs R nil}
R
end
```

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

21

Reverse with difference lists (2)

```
fun {ReverseD Xs}
proc {ReverseD Xs Y1 Y}
case Xs
of nil then Y1=Y
[] X|Xr then
{ReverseD Xr Y1 X|Y}
end
end
R in
{ReverseD Xs R nil}
R
end
```

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

22

Difference lists: Summary

- Difference lists are a way to represent lists in the declarative model such that **one append operation can be done in constant time**
 - A function that builds a big list by concatenating together lots of little lists can usually be written efficiently with difference lists
 - The function can be written naively, using difference lists and append, and will be efficient when the append is expanded out
- Difference lists are declarative, yet have **some of the power of destructive assignment**
 - Because of the single-assignment property of dataflow variables
- Difference lists originated from **Prolog** and are used to implement, e.g., definite clause grammar rules for natural language parsing.

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

23

Exercises

- VRH Exercise 3.10.11 (page 232)
- VRH Exercise 3.10.14 (page 232)
- *VRH Exercise 3.10.15 (page 232)
- *Modify the PLP11.3 “tic-tac-toe” example so that the computer strategy does not lose. Recall that the PLP code attempts to block a potential split from the opponent, but if there are two potential splits, it should instead try to win using a line that will not cause the opponent to create a double split.

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

24