

Declarative Programming Techniques

Accumulators, Difference Lists (VRH 3.4.3-3.4.4)

Carlos Varela

RPI

Adapted with permission from:

Seif Haridi

KTH

Peter Van Roy

UCL

September 13, 2007

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

1

Accumulators

- *Accumulator programming* is a way to handle state in declarative programs. It is a programming technique that uses arguments to carry state, transform the state, and pass it to the next procedure.
- Assume that the state S consists of a number of components to be transformed individually:
 $S = (X, Y, Z, \dots)$
- For each predicate P , each state component is made into a pair, the first component is the *input* state and the second component is the output state after P has terminated
- S is represented as
 $(X_{in}, X_{out}, Y_{in}, Y_{out}, Z_{in}, Z_{out}, \dots)$

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

2

A Trivial Example in Prolog

```
increment(N0,N) :-
  N is N0 + 1.
```

```
square(N0,N) :-
  N is N0 * N0.
```

```
inc_square(N0,N) :-
  increment(N0,N1),
  square(N1,N).
```

increment takes $N0$ as the input and produces N as the output by adding 1 to $N0$.

square takes $N0$ as the input and produces N as the output by multiplying $N0$ to itself.

inc_square takes $N0$ as the input and produces N as the output by using an intermediate variable $N1$ to carry $N0+1$ (the output of **increment**) and passing it as input to **square**. The pairs $N0-N1$ and $N1-N$ are called *accumulators*.

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

3

A Trivial Example in Oz

```
proc {Increment N0 N}
  N = N0 + 1
end
```

```
proc {Square N0 N}
  N = N0 * N0
end
```

```
proc {IncSquare N0 N}
  N1 in
  {Increment N0 N1}
  {Square N1 N}
end
```

Increment takes $N0$ as the input and produces N as the output by adding 1 to $N0$.

Square takes $N0$ as the input and produces N as the output by multiplying $N0$ to itself.

IncSquare takes $N0$ as the input and produces N as the output by using an intermediate variable $N1$ to carry $N0+1$ (the output of **Increment**) and passing it as input to **Square**. The pairs $N0-N1$ and $N1-N$ are called *accumulators*.

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

4

Accumulators

- Assume that the state S consists of a number of components to be transformed individually:

$S = (X, Y, Z)$

- Assume $P1$ to Pn are procedures in Oz

```

accumulator
proc {P X0 X Y0 Y Z0 Z}
  ⋮
  {P1 X0 X1 Y0 Y1 Z0 Z1}
  {P2 X1 X2 Y1 Y2 Z1 Z2}
  ⋮
  {Pn Xn-1 X Yn-1 Y Zn-1 Z}
end

```

The same concept applies to predicates in Prolog

- The procedural syntax is easier to use if there is more than one accumulator

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

5

MergeSort Example

- Consider a variant of MergeSort with accumulator
- `proc {MergeSort1 N S0 S Xs}`
 - N is an integer,
 - $S0$ is an input list to be sorted
 - S is the remainder of $S0$ after the first N elements are sorted
 - Xs is the sorted first N elements of $S0$
- The pair $(S0, S)$ is an accumulator
- The definition is in a procedural syntax in Oz because it has two outputs S and Xs

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

6

Example (2)

```
fun (MergeSort Xs)
  {MergeSort1 {Length Xs} Xs _ Ys}
  Ys
end
```

```
proc {MergeSort1 N S0 S Xs}
  if N==0 then S = S0 Xs = nil
  elseif N == 1 then X in X|S = S0 Xs=[X]
  else %% N > 1
    local S1 Xs1 Xs2 NL NR in
      NL = N div 2
      NR = N - NL
      {MergeSort1 NL S0 S1 Xs1}
      {MergeSort1 NR S1 S Xs2}
      Xs = {Merge Xs1 Xs2}
    end
  end
end
```

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

7

MergeSort Example in Prolog

```
mergesort(Xs,Ys) :-
  length(Xs,N),
  mergesort1(N,Xs_,Ys).
```

```
mergesort1(0,S,S[]) :- !.
mergesort1(1,[X|S],S,[X]) :- !.
mergesort1(N,S0,S,Xs) :-
  NL is N // 2,
  NR is N - NL,
  mergesort1(NL,S0,S1,Xs1),
  mergesort1(NR,S1,S,Xs2),
  merge(Xs1,Xs2,Xs).
```

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

8

Multiple accumulators

- Consider a stack machine for evaluating arithmetic expressions
- Example: (1+4)-3
- The machine executes the following instructions

```
push(1)
push(4)
plus
push(3)
minus
```

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

9

Multiple accumulators (2)

- Example: (1+4)-3
- The arithmetic expressions are represented as trees: $\text{minus}(\text{plus}(1\ 4)\ 3)$
- Write a procedure that takes arithmetic expressions represented as trees and output a list of stack machine instructions and counts the number of instructions

```
proc {ExprCode Expr Cin Cout Nin Nout}
```

- Cin: initial list of instructions
- Cout: final list of instructions
- Nin: initial count
- Nout: final count

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

10

Multiple accumulators (3)

```
proc {ExprCode Expr C0 C N0 N}
  case Expr
  of plus(Expr1 Expr2) then C1 N1 in
    C1 = plus(C0)
    N1 = N0 + 1
    {SeqCode [Expr2 Expr1] C1 C N1 N}
  [] minus(Expr1 Expr2) then C1 N1 in
    C1 = minus(C0)
    N1 = N0 + 1
    {SeqCode [Expr2 Expr1] C1 C N1 N}
  [] ! andthen {!sint !} then
    C = push(!)(C0)
    N = N0 + 1
  end
end
```

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

11

Multiple accumulators (4)

```
proc {ExprCode Expr C0 C N0 N}
  case Expr
  of plus(Expr1 Expr2) then C1 N1 in
    C1 = plus(C0)
    N1 = N0 + 1
    {SeqCode [Expr2 Expr1] C1 C N1 N}
  [] minus(Expr1 Expr2) then C1 N1 in
    C1 = minus(C0)
    N1 = N0 + 1
    {SeqCode [Expr2 Expr1] C1 C N1 N}
  [] ! andthen {!sint !} then
    C = push(!)(C0)
    N = N0 + 1
  end
end
```

```
proc {SeqCode Es C0 C N0 N}
  case Es
  of nil then C = C0 N = N0
  [] E|Er then N1 C1 in
    {ExprCode E C0 C1 N0 N1}
    {SeqCode Er C1 C N1 N}
  end
end
```

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

12

Shorter version (4)

```
proc {ExprCode Expr C0 C N0 N}
case Expr
of plus(Expr1 Expr2) then
{SeqCode [Expr2 Expr1] plus(C0 C N0 + 1 N)}
[] minus(Expr1 Expr2) then
{SeqCode [Expr2 Expr1] minus(C0 C N0 + 1 N)}
[] I andthen {!sint I} then
C = push(I)|C0
N = N0 + 1
end
end
```

```
proc {SeqCode Es C0 C N0 N}
case Es
of nil then C = C0 N = N0
[] E|Er then N1 C1 in
{ExprCode E C0 C1 N0 N1}
{SeqCode Er C1 C N1 N}
end
end
```

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

13

Functional style (4)

```
fun {ExprCode Expr t(C0 N0)}
case Expr
of plus(Expr1 Expr2) then
{SeqCode [Expr2 Expr1] t(plus(C0 N0 + 1))}
[] minus(Expr1 Expr2) then
{SeqCode [Expr2 Expr1] t(minus(C0 N0 + 1))}
[] I andthen {!sint I} then
t(push(I)|C0 N0 + 1)
end
end
```

```
fun {SeqCode Es T}
case Es
of nil then T
[] E|Er then
T1 = {ExprCode E T} in
{SeqCode Er T1}
end
end
```

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

14

Difference lists in Oz

- A *difference list* is a pair of lists, each might have an unbound tail, with the invariant that one can get the second list by removing zero or more elements from the first list
- $X \# X$ % Represent the empty list
- $\text{nil} \# \text{nil}$ % idem
- $[a] \# [a]$ % idem
- $(a|b|c|X) \# X$ % Represents $[a\ b\ c]$
- $[a\ b\ c\ d] \# [d]$ % idem

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

15

Difference lists in Prolog

- A *difference list* is a pair of lists, each might have an unbound tail, with the invariant that one can get the second list by removing zero or more elements from the first list
- X, X % Represent the empty list
- $[], []$ % idem
- $[a], [a]$ % idem
- $[a,b,c|X], X$ % Represents $[a,b,c]$
- $[a,b,c,d], [d]$ % idem

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

16

Difference lists in Oz (2)

- When the second list is unbound, an append operation with another difference list takes constant time
- ```
fun {AppendD D1 D2}
S1 # E1 = D1
S2 # E2 = D2
in
E1 = S2
S1 # E2
end
```
- ```
local X Y in {Browse {AppendD (1|2|3|X)#X (4|5|Y)#Y}} end
```
- Displays $(1|2|3|4|5|Y)\#Y$

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

17

Difference lists in Prolog (2)

- When the second list is unbound, an append operation with another difference list takes constant time

```
append_DL(S1,E1,S2,E2,S1,E2) :- E1 = S2.
```

- ```
?- append_dl([1,2,3|X],X,[4,5|Y],Y,S,E).
```

Displays

```
X = [4, 5|_G193]
Y = _G193
S = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5|_G193]
E = _G193 ;
```

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

18

## A FIFO queue with difference lists (1)

- A *FIFO queue* is a sequence of elements with an insert and a delete operation.
  - Insert adds an element to one end and delete removes it from the other end
- Queues can be implemented with lists. If L represents the queue content, then inserting X gives X|L and deleting X gives {ButLast L X} (all elements but the last).
  - Delete is inefficient: it takes time proportional to the number of queue elements
- With difference lists we can implement a queue with **constant-time insert and delete operations**
  - The queue content is represented as  $q(N\ S\ E)$ , where N is the number of elements and S#E is a difference list representing the elements

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

19

## A FIFO queue with difference lists (2)

```
fun {NewQueue} X in q(0 X X) end
```

```
fun {Insert Q X}
 case Q of q(N S E) then E1 in E=X|E1 q(N+1 S E1) end
end
```

```
fun {Delete Q X}
 case Q of q(N S E) then S1 in X|S1=S q(N-1 S1 E) end
end
```

```
fun {EmptyQueue} case Q of q(N S E) then N==0 end end
```

- Inserting 'b':
  - In:  $q(1\ a|T\ U)$
  - Out:  $q(2\ a|b|U\ U)$
- Deleting X:
  - In:  $q(2\ a|b|U\ U)$
  - Out:  $q(1\ b|U\ U)$  and  $X=a$
- Difference list allows operations at **both ends**
- N is needed to keep track of the number of queue elements

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

20

## Flatten (revisited)

```
fun {Flatten Xs}
 case Xs
 of nil then nil
 [] X|Xr andthen {IsLeaf X} then
 X|(Flatten Xr)
 [] X|Xr andthen {Not {IsLeaf X}} then
 {Append {Flatten X} {Flatten Xr}}
 end
end
```

Flatten takes a list of elements and sub-lists and returns a list with only the elements, e.g.:

```
{Flatten [1 [2] [[3]]]} =
[1 2 3]
```

Let us replace lists by difference lists and see what happens.

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

21

## Flatten with difference lists (1)

- Flatten of nil is X#X
- Flatten of X|Xr is Y1#Y2
  - flatten of X is Y1#Y2
  - flatten of Xr is Y3#Y4
  - equate Y2 and Y3
- Flatten of a leaf X is (X|Y)#Y

Here is what it looks like as text

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

22

## Flatten with difference lists (2)

```
proc {FlattenD Xs Ds}
 case Xs
 of nil then Y in Ds = Y#Y
 [] X|Xr then Y0 Y1 Y2 in
 Ds = Y0#Y2
 {FlattenD X Y0#Y1}
 {FlattenD Xr Y1#Y2}
 [] X andthen {IsLeaf X} then Y in (X|Y)#Y
 end
end
fun {Flatten Xs} Y in {FlattenD Xs Y#nil} Y end
```

Here is the new program. It is much more efficient than the first version.

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

23

## Reverse (revisited)

- Here is our recursive reverse:

```
fun {Reverse Xs}
 case Xs
 of nil then nil
 [] X|Xr then {Append {Reverse Xr} [X]}
 end
end
```

- Rewrite this with difference lists:
  - Reverse of nil is X#X
  - Reverse of X|Xs is Y1#Y2, where
    - reverse of Xs is Y1#Y2, and
    - equate Y2 and X|Y

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

24

## Reverse with difference lists (1)

- The naive version takes time proportional to the **square** of the input length
- Using difference lists in the naive version makes it **linear time**
- We use two arguments  $Y1$  and  $Y$  instead of  $Y1\#Y$
- With a minor change we can make it **iterative** as well

```
fun {ReverseD Xs}
 proc {ReverseD Xs Y1 Y}
 case Xs
 of nil then Y1=Y
 [] X|Xr then Y2 in
 {ReverseD Xr Y1 Y2}
 Y2 = X|Y
 end
 end
end
R in
{ReverseD Xs R nil}
R
end
```

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

25

## Reverse with difference lists (2)

```
fun {ReverseD Xs}
 proc {ReverseD Xs Y1 Y}
 case Xs
 of nil then Y1=Y
 [] X|Xr then
 {ReverseD Xr Y1 X|Y}
 end
 end
end
R in
{ReverseD Xs R nil}
R
end
```

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

26

## Difference lists: Summary

- Difference lists are a way to represent lists in the declarative model such that **one append operation can be done in constant time**
  - A function that builds a big list by concatenating together lots of little lists can usually be written efficiently with difference lists
  - The function can be written naively, using difference lists and append, and will be efficient when the append is expanded out
- Difference lists are declarative, yet have **some of the power of destructive assignment**
  - Because of the single-assignment property of dataflow variables
- Difference lists originated from **Prolog** and are used to implement, e.g., definite clause grammar rules for natural language parsing.

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

27

## Exercises

15. Rewrite the multiple accumulators example in Prolog.
16. VRH Exercise 3.10.11 (page 232)
17. VRH Exercise 3.10.14 (page 232)
18. \*VRH Exercise 3.10.15 (page 232)
19. \*Modify the PLP11.3 “tic-tac-toe” example so that the computer strategy does not lose. Recall that the PLP code attempts to block a potential split from the opponent, but if there are two potential splits, it should instead try to win using a line that will not cause the opponent to create a double split.

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

28