

EQUIVALENCE OF EXPRESSIONS

Operational equivalence } Observational
Testing equivalence } Equivalence

Two program expressions are said to be equivalent if they behave the same when placed in any observing context.

An observing context is a complete program with a hole, such that all free variables in expressions being evaluated become captured, when placed in the hole.

EVENTS AND OBSERVING CONTEXTS

A new event primitive operator is introduced.

The \mapsto reduction relation is extended:

$\langle e: a \rangle$

$$\ll \alpha, [R[\text{event}()]]_a \mid M \gg_x^p$$

$$\mapsto \ll \alpha, [R[\text{nil}]]_a \mid M \gg_x^p$$

An observing configuration is one of the form:

$$\ll \alpha, [C]_a \mid M \gg$$

where C is a hole-containing expression,
or context.

OBSERVATIONS

Let K be a configuration of the extended language,
and let $\pi = [k_i \xrightarrow{l_i} k_{i+1} \mid i < \infty]$ be a fair
path, i.e. $\pi \in F(K)$. Define:

$$\text{obs}(\pi) = \begin{cases} S & \text{if } (\exists i < \infty, a) (l_i = \langle e: a \rangle) \\ F & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Obs}(K) = \begin{cases} S & \text{if } (\forall \pi \in F(K)) (\text{obs}(\pi) = S) \\ sf & \text{otherwise} \\ F & \text{if } (\forall \pi \in F(K)) (\text{obs}(\pi) = F) \end{cases}$$

THREE EQUIVALENCES

The natural equivalence is equal observations are made in all closing configuration contexts.

Other two equivalences (weaker) arise if s observations are considered as good as S observations; or if s observations are considered as bad as f observations.

TESTING OR CONVEX OR PLOTKIN OR EBELI-MILNER

$$e_0 \cong_1 e_1 \text{ iff } \text{Obs}(O[e_0]) = \text{Obs}(O[e_1]).$$

MUST OR UPPER OR SMYTH

$$e_0 \cong_2 e_1 \text{ iff } \text{Obs}(O[e_0]) = s \iff \text{Obs}(O[e_1]) = s$$

MAY OR LOWER OR HOARE

$$e_0 \cong_3 e_1 \text{ iff } \text{Obs}(O[e_0]) = f \iff \text{Obs}(O[e_1]) = f$$

CONGRUENCE

$$e_0 \cong_j e_1 \Rightarrow c[e_0] \cong_j c[e_1] \quad \text{for } j=1,2,3$$

By construction, all equivalences defined are congruences.

PARTIAL COLLAPSE

		e_1		
		s	sf	f
e_0	s	✓	✗	✗
	sf	✗	✓	✗
	f	✗	✗	✓

\cong_1

		e_1		
		s	sf	f
e_0	s	✓	✗	✗
	sf	✗	✓	*
	f	✗	*	✓

\cong_2

		e_1		
		s	sf	f
e_0	s	✓	✓	✗
	sf	✓	✓	✗
	f	✗	✗	✓

\cong_3

(1=2) $e_0 \cong_1 e_1$ iff $e_0 \cong_2 e_1$ (due to fairness)

(1 \Rightarrow 3) $e_0 \cong_1 e_1$ implies $e_0 \cong_3 e_1$