## **Declarative Computation Model**

Memory management (VRH 2.5)

Carlos Varela RPI October 30, 2009

Adapted with permission from Seif Haridi KTH Peter Van Roy

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

## Memory Management

- · Semantic stack and store sizes during computation
  - analysis using operational semantics
  - recursion used for looping
    - · efficient because of last call optimization
  - memory life cycle
  - garbage collection

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

2

### Last call optimization

• Consider the following procedure

```
proc {Loop10 I}
if I ==10 then skip
else
{Browse I}
{Loop10 I+1}
end

Recursive call
is the last call
```

- This procedure does  $\operatorname{{\bf not}}$  increase the size of the STACK
- It behaves like a looping construct

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

## Last call optimization

```
ST: \left[\left(\{\text{Loop10 0}\}, E_0\right)\right] ST: \left[\left(\{\text{Browse I}\}, \{\text{I} \rightarrow i_0, \ldots\}\right)\right] \left(\{\text{Loop10 I} + 1\}, \{\text{I} \rightarrow i_0, \ldots\}\right)\right] G: \{i_0 = 0, \ldots\} \{\text{Browse I}\} \{\text{Loop10 I} + 1\} ST: \left[\left(\{\text{Loop10 I} + 1\}, \{\text{I} \rightarrow i_0, \ldots\}\right)\right] \sigma: \{i_0 = 0, \ldots\} ST: \left[\left(\{\text{Browse I}\}, \{\text{I} \rightarrow i_1, \ldots\}\right)\right] \left(\{\text{Loop10 I} + 1\}, \{\text{I} \rightarrow i_1, \ldots\}\right)\right] \left(\{\text{Loop10 I} + 1\}, \{\text{I} \rightarrow i_1, \ldots\}\right)\right] G: \{i_0 = 0, i_1 = 1, \ldots\} C. \text{ Varela, Adapted wipermission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy}
```

#### Stack and Store Size

```
\begin{array}{ll} \text{proc \{Loop10 \, \}} & \text{ST: } [(\{ \text{Browse I} \}, \, \{ \text{I} \rightarrow i_k, \dots \}) \\ & \text{($Loop10 \, \text{I} + \text{I} \}, } \, \{ \text{I} \rightarrow i_k, \dots \}) \\ & \text{($Loop10 \, \text{I} + \text{I} \}, } \, \{ \text{I} \rightarrow i_k, \dots \}) \\ & \text{end} & \\ \text{end} & \\ \end{array}
```


The semantic stack size is bounded by a constant. But the store size keeps increasing with the computation.

Notice that at  $(k+1)^{th}$  recursive call, we only need  $i_k$  If we can keep the store size constant, we can run indefinitely with a constant memory size.

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

## Garbage collection

```
proc {Loop10 I}  \text{if } I = 10 \text{ then skip} \\ \text{else} \\ \text{Browse I} \} \\ \text{{Loop10 I+1}}, \quad \{I \rightarrow i_k, \ldots\}\} ) \\ \text{end} \\ \text{end} \\ \text{end} \\ \text{Garbage collection is an algorithm (a task) that removes from memory (store) all cells that are not accessible from the stack} \\ \text{ST: } [(\{\text{Browse I}\}, \{I \rightarrow i_k, \ldots\})] \\ \text{{C. Varela: Adapted wipermission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy}}
```



## **Garbage Collection**

- Lower-level languages (C, C++) do not have automatic garbage collection.
- Manual memory management can be more efficient but it is also more error-prone, e.g.:
  - Dangling references
    - · Reclaiming reachable memory blocks
  - Memory leaks
    - · Not reclaiming unreachable memory blocks
- Higher-level languages (Erlang, Java, Lisp, Smalltalk) typically have automatic garbage collection.
- Modern algorithms are efficient enough---minimal memory and time penalties.

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

# Garbage Collection Algorithms

- · Reference Counting algorithms
  - Keep track of number of references to memory blocks
  - When count is 0, memory block is reclaimed.
  - Cannot collect cycles of garbage.
- · Mark-and-Sweep algorithms
  - Phase 1: Determine active memory
  - Following pointers (in Oz, referenced store variables) from a root set (in Oz, the semantic stack).
  - Phase 2: Compact memory in one contiguous region.
  - Everything outside this region is free.
  - Generally must briefly pause the application memory mutation while collecting.

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

## Avoiding memory leaks

· Consider the following function

L= [1 2 3 ... 1000000] {Sum 0 L L}

 Since it keeps a pointer to the original list L, L will stay in memory during the whole execution of Sum.

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

10

# Avoiding memory leaks

· Consider the following function

```
 \begin{array}{l} \text{fun } \{\text{Sum X L1}\} \\ \text{case L1 of Y|L2 then } \{\text{Sum X+Y L2}\} \\ \text{else X end} \end{array}  end
```

L= [1 2 3 ... 1000000] {Sum 0 L}

• Here, the reference to L is lost immediately and its space can be collected as the function executes.

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

11

# Managing external references

- · External resources are data structures outside the current O.S. process.
- There can be pointers from internal data structures to external resources, e.g.
  - An open file in a file system
  - A graphic entity in a graphics display
  - If the internal data structure is reclaimed, then the external resource needs to be cleaned up (e.g., remove graphical entity, close file)
- There can be pointers from external resources to internal data structures, e.g.
  - A database server
  - A web service
- If the internal data structure is reachable from the outside, it should not be reclaimed.

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

12

## Local Mozart Garbage Collector

- · Copying dual-space algorithm
- · Advantage: Execution time is proportional to the active memory size, not total memory size.
- · Disadvantage: Half of the total memory is unusable at any given time

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

13

### Exercises

59. What do you expect to happen if you try to execute the following statement? Try to answer without actually executing it! local T = tree(key:A left:B right:C value:D) in

A = 1 B = 2 C = 3 D = 4 end

60. VRH Exercise 2.9.9 (page 109).

61. Any realistic computer system has a memory cache for fast access to frequently used data. Can you think of any issues with garbage collection in a system that has a memory cache?

C. Varela; Adapted w/permission from S. Haridi and P. Van Roy

14