This lab explores the use of pointer arithmetic, allocation of single value and array variables on the stack, passing arguments by reference and by value, and the C calling convention. Please have your notes from Lecture 5 available for this lab.

Checkpoint 1

Write a function `compute_squares` that takes 3 arguments: two C-style arrays (not vectors), \( a \) and \( b \), of unsigned integers, and an unsigned integer, \( n \), representing the size of each of the arrays. The function should square each element in the first array, \( a \), and write each result into the corresponding slot in the second array, \( b \). You may not use the subscripting operator ( \( a[i] \) ) in writing this function; instead, practice using pointer arithmetic. Also, write a main function and a couple of test cases with output to the screen to verify that your function is working correctly.

To complete this checkpoint: Show a TA your function, the test cases, and the corresponding output.

Checkpoint 2

What will happen if the length of the arrays is not the same as \( n \)? What will happen if \( n \) is too small? If \( n \) is too big? What if the \( a \) array is bigger than the \( b \) array? Or vice versa? How might the order that the variables were declared in the `main` function impact the situation? First think about all of these questions and draw pencil & paper pictures of the memory. Jot down your hypotheses before testing.

Now let’s print out the contents of memory and see what’s going on. In the file “lab3_code.cpp” we provide the definition of the function `print_stack` that will help us see how variables and arrays are allocated on the stack. For example, the code on the left will result in output similar to that shown on the right (the exact memory addresses will vary). NOTE: In order to accommodate 32-bit and 64-bit operating systems, the code uses the type `uintptr_t`. On a 32 bit OS/compiler, this will be a standard 4 byte unsigned integer and on a 64 bit OS/compiler, this will be a 8 byte unsigned integer type. You should use this type instead of `int` throughout this lab (edit your checkpoint 1 code).

```cpp
size of uintptr_t: 4
x address: 0xfbfe95c
a address: 0xfbfe930
y address: 0xfbfe92c
z address: 0xfbfe928
-----------------------------------------
location: 0xfbfe95c VALUE: 72
location: 0xfbfe958 garbage?
location: 0xfbfe954 garbage?
location: 0xfbfe950 garbage?
location: 0xfbfe94c garbage?
location: 0xfbfe948 garbage?
location: 0xfbfe944 garbage?
location: 0xfbfe940 VALUE: 14
location: 0xfbfe93c VALUE: 13
location: 0xfbfe938 VALUE: 12
location: 0xfbfe934 VALUE: 11
location: 0xfbfe930 VALUE: 10
location: 0xfbfe92c POINTER: 0xfbfe95c
location: 0xfbfe928 VALUE: 98
```
Typically the local variables will be allocated on the stack in order (note that the stack on x86 architectures is in descending order). You can see the elements of the array, but since the first element of the array is stored in the smallest memory location the array looks upside down. Also you might see extra space between the variables due to temporary variables or padding inserted by the compiler to improve alignment. This uninitialized extra space may be correctly labeled as “garbage” by the `print_stack` function (as shown above), or it might contain old data values or addresses that appear to be legal.

Using the `print_stack` command before and after a call to your `compute.squares` function should help you understand how the compiler is organizing the memory for your local variables and function arguments. (Be sure to switch `compute.squares` to use `uintptr_t` instead of `int`.) First try this on a correct test case to make sure you can correctly interpret the stack data. Then try it on one of the bad cases described at the beginning of this checkpoint that has incorrect behavior. Study the stack data and make sure you understand how the memory error occurs.

_Note: Do not compile with optimizations enabled. By default _g++_ does not use optimizations._

**To complete this checkpoint:** Show a TA the output of both your correct and incorrect test cases and describe how the `print_stack` output corresponds with your predicted behavior.