# Declarative Computation Model

Memory management (CTM 2.5)

Carlos Varela RPI October 24, 2014

Adapted with permission from:
Seif Haridi
KTH
Peter Van Roy
UCL

#### Memory Management

- Semantic stack and store sizes during computation
  - analysis using operational semantics
  - recursion used for looping
    - efficient because of last call optimization
  - memory life cycle
  - garbage collection

## Last call optimization

Consider the following procedure

```
proc {Loop10 | I}
    if | I == 10 | then | skip
    else
        {Browse | }
        {Loop10 | I+1}
    end
end

Recursive | call | is | the | last | call |
```

- This procedure does not increase the size of the STACK
- It behaves like a looping construct

#### Last call optimization

```
proc {Loop10 I}
    if I ==10 then skip
    else
    {Browse I}
    {Loop10 I+1}
    end
end
```

```
ST: [(\{Loop10 0\}, E_0)]
ST: [(\{Browse I\}, \{I \rightarrow i_0,...\})]
       (\{Loop10 I+1\}, \{I\rightarrow i_0,...\})]
\sigma: \{i_0=0, ...\}
ST: [(\{Loop10 I+1\}, \{I\rightarrow i_0,...\})]
\sigma : \{i_0 = 0, ...\}
ST: [(\{Browse I\}, \{I \rightarrow i_1,...\})]
       (\{Loop10 I+1\}, \{I\rightarrow i_1,...\})]
\sigma: {i_0=0, i_1=1,...}
```

#### Stack and Store Size

```
\begin{array}{ll} & \text{proc } \{ \text{Loop10 I} \} \\ & \text{if I ==10 then skip} \\ & \text{else} \\ & \{ \text{Browse I} \} \\ & \{ \text{Loop10 I+1} \} \\ & \text{end} \\ \end{array} \\ & \text{end} \\ & \text{ST: } \left[ \left( \{ \text{Browse I} \}, \, \{ \text{I} {\rightarrow} i_k, \ldots \} \right) \right. \\ & \left( \{ \text{Loop10 I+1} \}, \, \{ \text{I} {\rightarrow} i_k, \ldots \} \right) \right. \\ & \left. \{ i_0 {=}0, \, i_1 {=}1, \ldots, \, i_{k-1} {=}k {-}1, \, i_k {=}k, \ldots \, \} \right. \\ & \text{end} \\ \end{array}
```

The semantic stack size is bounded by a constant. But the store size keeps increasing with the computation.

Notice that at  $(k+1)^{th}$  recursive call, we only need  $i_k$  If we can keep the store size constant, we can run indefinitely with a constant memory size.

### Garbage collection


```
\begin{array}{ll} & \text{proc } \{ \text{Loop10 I} \} \\ & \text{if I ==10 then skip} \\ & \text{else} \\ & \{ \text{Browse I} \} \\ & \{ \text{Loop10 I+1} \} \\ & \text{end} \\ \end{array} \\ & \text{end} \\ & \text{ST: } \left[ \left( \{ \text{Browse I} \}, \, \{ \text{I} {\rightarrow} i_k, \ldots \} \right) \right. \\ & \left( \{ \text{Loop10 I+1} \}, \, \{ \text{I} {\rightarrow} i_k, \ldots \} \right) \right. \\ & \left. \{ i_0 {=}0, \, i_1 {=}1, \ldots, \, i_{k-i} {=}k {-}1, \, i_k {=}k, \ldots } \right. \\ & \text{end} \\ \end{array}
```

Garbage collection is an algorithm (a task) that removes from memory (store) all cells that are not accessible from the stack

ST: [({Browse I}, {I
$$\rightarrow i_k,...$$
})  
({Loop10 I+1}, {I $\rightarrow i_k,...$ })]  
 $\sigma$ : {  $i_k$ =k, ... }

### The memory life cycle

- Active memory is what the program needs to continue execution (semantic stack + reachable part of store)
- Memory that is no longer needed is of two kinds:
  - Can be immediately deallocated (i.e., semantic stack)
  - Simply becomes inactive (i.e., store)
- Reclaiming inactive memory is the hardest part of memory management
  - Garbage collection is automatic reclaiming



## Garbage Collection

- Lower-level languages (C, C++) do not have automatic garbage collection.
- Manual memory management can be more efficient but it is also more error-prone, e.g.:
  - Dangling references
    - Reclaiming reachable memory blocks
  - Memory leaks
    - Not reclaiming unreachable memory blocks
- Higher-level languages (Erlang, Java, Lisp, Smalltalk) typically have automatic garbage collection.
- Modern algorithms are efficient enough---minimal memory and time penalties.

### Garbage Collection Algorithms

- Reference Counting algorithms
  - Keep track of number of references to memory blocks
  - When count is 0, memory block is reclaimed.
  - Cannot collect cycles of garbage.
- Mark-and-Sweep algorithms
  - Phase 1: Determine active memory
    - Following *pointers* (in Oz, referenced store variables) from a *root set* (in Oz, the semantic stack).
  - Phase 2: Compact memory in one contiguous region.
    - Everything outside this region is free.
  - Generally must briefly pause the application memory mutation while collecting.

#### Avoiding memory leaks

Consider the following function

• Since it keeps a pointer to the original list L, L will stay in memory during the whole execution of Sum.

## Avoiding memory leaks

Consider the following function

• Here, the reference to L is lost immediately and its space can be collected as the function executes.

#### Managing external references

- External resources are data structures outside the current O.S. process.
- There can be pointers from internal data structures to external resources, e.g.
  - An open file in a file system
  - A graphic entity in a graphics display
  - If the internal data structure is reclaimed, then the external resource needs to be cleaned up (e.g., remove graphical entity, close file)
- There can be pointers from external resources to internal data structures, e.g.
  - A database server
  - A web service
  - If the internal data structure is reachable from the outside, it should not be reclaimed.

# Local Mozart Garbage Collector

- Copying dual-space algorithm
- Advantage: Execution time is proportional to the active memory size, not total memory size.
- Disadvantage: Half of the total memory is unusable at any given time

#### Exercises

55. What do you expect to happen if you try to execute the following statement? Try to answer without actually executing it!

```
local T = tree(key:A left:B right:C value:D) in

A = 1

B = 2

C = 3

D = 4

end
```

- 56. CTM Exercise 2.9.9 (page 109).
- 57. Any realistic computer system has a memory cache for fast access to frequently used data. Can you think of any issues with garbage collection in a system that has a memory cache?