

Programming Languages
(CSCI 4430/6430)
History, Syntax, Semantics, Essentials, Paradigms

Carlos Varela
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

August 26, 2014

C. Varela 1

The first programmer ever

Ada Augusta, the Countess of Lovelace, the daughter of the poet Lord Byron

Circa 1843

Using Babbage's Analytical Engine

C. Varela 2

The first "high-level" (compiled) programming language

FORTRAN

1954

Backus at IBM

It was called "an automatic coding system", not a "programming language"

Used for numerical computing

C. Varela 3

The first functional programming language

Lisp

1958

McCarthy at Stanford

For LISTS Processing---lists represent both code and data

Used for symbolic manipulation

C. Varela 4

The first object oriented programming language

Simula

1962

Dahl and Nygaard at University of Oslo, Norway

Used for computer simulations

C. Varela 5

The first logic programming language

Prolog

1972

Roussel and Colmerauer at Marseilles University, France

For "PROgrammation en LOGique".

Used for natural language processing and automated theorem proving

C. Varela 6

The first concurrent programming language

Concurrent Pascal
1974
Hansen at Caltech
Used for operating systems development

C. Varela 7

The first concurrent actor programming language

PLASMA
1975
Hewitt at MIT
Used for artificial intelligence (planning)

C. Varela 8

The first scripting language

REXX
1982
Cowlshaw at IBM
Only one data type: character strings
Used for "macro" programming and prototyping

C. Varela 9

The first multi-paradigm programming language

Oz
1995
Smolka at Saarland University, Germany
A logic, functional, imperative, object-oriented, constraint, concurrent, and distributed programming language
Used for teaching programming and prototyping

C. Varela 10

Other programming languages

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| <p style="text-align: center;">Imperative</p> <p>Algol (Naur 1958) Cobol (Hopper 1959) BASIC (Kennedy and Kurtz 1964) Pascal (Wirth 1970) C (Kernighan and Ritchie 1971) Ada (Whitaker 1979)</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">Functional</p> <p>ML (Milner 1973) Scheme (Sussman and Steele 1975) Haskell (Hughes et al 1987)</p> | |
| <p style="text-align: center;">Object-Oriented</p> <p>Smalltalk (Kay 1980) C++ (Stroustrup 1980) Eiffel (Meyer 1985) Java (Gosling 1994) C# (Hejlsberg 2000)</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">Actor-Oriented</p> <p>Act (Lieberman 1981) ABCL (Yonezawa 1988) Actalk (Briot 1989) Erlang (Armstrong 1990) E (Miller et al 1998) SALSA (Varela and Agha 1999)</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">Scripting</p> <p>Python (van Rossum 1985) Perl (Wall 1987) Tel (Ousterhout 1988) Lua (Ierusalimsky et al 1994) JavaScript (Eich 1995) PHP (Lerdorf 1995) Ruby (Matsumoto 1995)</p> |

C. Varela 11

Logic Programming (PLP 11)

Horn Clauses
Introduction to Prolog: Resolution, Unification

C. Varela 12

An Early (1971) "Conversation"

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>USER: Cats kill mice. Tom is a cat who does not like mice who eat cheese. Jerry is a mouse who eats cheese. Max is not a mouse. What does Tom do?</p> <p>COMPUTER: Tom does not like mice who eat cheese. Tom kills mice.</p> <p>USER: Who is a cat?</p> <p>COMPUTER: Tom.</p> | <p>USER: What does Jerry eat?</p> <p>COMPUTER: Cheese.</p> <p>USER: Who does not like mice who eat cheese?</p> <p>COMPUTER: Tom.</p> <p>USER: What does Tom eat?</p> <p>COMPUTER: What cats who do not like mice who eat cheese eat.</p> |
|---|--|

C. Varela

13

Another Conversation

| |
|--|
| <p>USER: Every psychiatrist is a person. Every person he analyzes is sick. Jacques is a psychiatrist in Marseille. Is Jacques a person? Where is Jacques? Is Jacques sick?</p> <p>COMPUTER: Yes. In Marseille. I don't know.</p> |
|--|

C. Varela

14

Logic programming

- A program is a collection of *axioms*, from which theorems can be proven.
- A *goal* states the theorem to be proved.
- A logic programming language implementation attempts to satisfy the goal given the axioms and built-in inference mechanism.

C. Varela

15

Horn clauses

- A standard form for writing axioms, e.g.:

$$\text{father}(X, Y) \Leftarrow \text{parent}(X, Y), \text{male}(X).$$

- The Horn clause consists of:
 - A *head* or consequent term H , and
 - A *body* consisting of terms B_i

$$H \Leftarrow B_0, B_1, \dots, B_n$$

- The semantics is:

$$\llcorner \text{If } B_0, B_1, \dots, B_n \text{ then } H \llcorner$$

C. Varela

16

Terms

- Constants

rpi
troy

- Variables

University
City

- Predicates

located_at(rpi, troy)
pair(a, pair(b, c))

Can be nested.

C. Varela

17

Resolution

- To derive new statements, Robinson's resolution principle says that if two Horn clauses:

$$H_1 \Leftarrow B_{11}, B_{12}, \dots, B_{1m}$$

$$H_2 \Leftarrow B_{21}, B_{22}, \dots, B_{2n}$$

are such that H_1 matches B_{2i} , then we can replace B_{2i} with $B_{11}, B_{12}, \dots, B_{1m}$:

$$H_2 \Leftarrow B_{21}, B_{22}, \dots, B_{2(i-1)}, \underline{B_{11}, B_{12}, \dots, B_{1m}}, B_{2(i+1)}, \dots, B_{2n}$$

- For example:

$$\frac{C \Leftarrow A, B}{E \Leftarrow C, D}$$

$$\frac{E \Leftarrow C, D}{E \Leftarrow A, B, D}$$

C. Varela

18

Resolution Example

```
father(X,Y) :- parent(X,Y), male(X).  
grandfather(X,Y) :- father(X,Z), parent(Z,Y).
```

```
grandfather(X,Y) :-  
    parent(X,Z), male(X), parent(Z,Y).
```

`:-` is Prolog's notation (syntax) for \Leftarrow .

C. Varela

19

Unification

- During *resolution*, free variables acquire values through *unification* with expressions in matching terms.
- For example:

```
male(carlos).  
parent(carlos, tatiana).  
parent(carlos, catalina).  
father(X,Y) :- parent(X,Y), male(X).
```

```
father(carlos, tatiana).  
father(carlos, catalina).
```

C. Varela

20

Unification Process

- A **constant** unifies only with itself.
- Two **predicates** unify if and only if they have
 - the same *functor*,
 - the same number of *arguments*, and
 - the corresponding arguments *unify*.
- A **variable** unifies with anything.
 - If the other thing has a *value*, then the variable is *instantiated*.
 - If it is an *uninstantiated variable*, then the two variables are *associated*.

C. Varela

21

Backtracking

- *Forward chaining* goes from axioms forward into goals.
- *Backward chaining* starts from goals and works backwards to prove them with existing axioms.

C. Varela

22

Backtracking example


```
rainy(seattle).  
rainy(rochester).  
cold(rochester).  
snowy(X) :- rainy(X), cold(X).
```

C. Varela

23

Backtracking example

```
rainy(seattle).  
rainy(rochester).  
cold(rochester).  
snowy(X) :- rainy(X), cold(X).
```




C. Varela

24


Backtracking example

```
rainy(seattle).
rainy(rochester).
cold(rochester).
snowy(X) :- rainy(X), cold(X).
```



Backtracking example

```
rainy(seattle).
rainy(rochester).
cold(rochester).
snowy(X) :- rainy(X), cold(X).
```



Exercises

1. Download SWI Prolog and install it in your laptop.
2. Execute the “`snowy(City)`” example. Use “tracing” to follow backtracking step by step.
3. Create a knowledge base with Prolog facts about your family members using predicates and constants. Create Prolog rules using variables to define the following: brother, sister, uncle, aunt, nephew, niece, grandfather, grandmother, etc. Query your program for family relationships.

C. Varela

27