

Lambda Calculus (PDCS 2)

combinators, higher-order programming, recursion
combinator, numbers, booleans

Carlos Varela
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

September 8, 2015

Lambda Calculus Syntax and Semantics

The syntax of a λ -calculus expression is as follows:

e	::=	v	variable
		$\lambda v.e$	functional abstraction
		(e e)	function application

The semantics of a λ -calculus expression is called beta-reduction:

$$(\lambda x.E M) \Rightarrow E\{M/x\}$$

where we alpha-rename the lambda abstraction **E** if necessary to avoid capturing free variables in **M**.

α -renaming

Alpha renaming is used to prevent capturing free occurrences of variables when beta-reducing a lambda calculus expression.

In the following, we rename x to z , (or any other *fresh* variable):

$$\begin{array}{l} (\lambda x. (y x) x) \\ \xrightarrow{\alpha} (\lambda z. (y z) x) \end{array}$$

Only *bound* variables can be renamed. No *free* variables can be captured (become bound) in the process. For example, we *cannot* alpha-rename x to y .

β -reduction

$$(\lambda x. E M) \xrightarrow{\beta} E\{M/x\}$$

Beta-reduction may require alpha renaming to prevent capturing free variable occurrences. For example:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda x. \lambda y. (x y) (y w)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\alpha} (\lambda x. \lambda z. (x z) (y w)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda z. ((y w) z) \end{aligned}$$

Where the *free* y remains free.

η -conversion

$$\lambda x. (E \ x) \xrightarrow{\eta} E$$

if x is *not* free in E .

For example:

$$(\lambda x. \lambda y. (x \ y) \ (y \ w))$$

$$\xrightarrow{\alpha} (\lambda x. \lambda z. (x \ z) \ (y \ w))$$

$$\xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda z. ((y \ w) \ z)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\eta} (y \ w)$$

Combinators

A lambda calculus expression with *no free variables* is called a *combinator*. For example:

I:	$\lambda x.x$	(Identity)
App:	$\lambda f.\lambda x.(f\ x)$	(Application)
C:	$\lambda f.\lambda g.\lambda x.(f\ (g\ x))$	(Composition)
L:	$(\lambda x.(x\ x)\ \lambda x.(x\ x))$	(Loop)
Cur:	$\lambda f.\lambda x.\lambda y.((f\ x)\ y)$	(Currying)
Seq:	$\lambda x.\lambda y.(\lambda z.y\ x)$	(Sequencing--normal order)
ASeq:	$\lambda x.\lambda y.(y\ x)$	(Sequencing--applicative order)

where y denotes a *thunk*, *i.e.*, a lambda abstraction wrapping the second expression to evaluate.

The meaning of a combinator is always the same independently of its context.

Combinators in Functional Programming Languages

Functional programming languages have a syntactic form for lambda abstractions. For example the identity combinator:

$$\lambda x.x$$

can be written in Oz as follows:

```
fun {$ X} X end
```

in Haskell as follows:

```
\x -> x
```

and in Scheme as follows:

```
(lambda(x) x)
```

Currying Combinator in Oz

The currying combinator can be written in Oz as follows:

```
fun {$ F}
  fun {$ X}
    fun {$ Y}
      {F X Y}
    end
  end
end
end
```

It takes a function of two arguments, F, and returns its curried version, e.g.,

$$\{\{\{\text{Curry Plus}\} 2\} 3\} \Rightarrow 5$$

Recursion Combinator (*Y* or *rec*)

Suppose we want to express a factorial function in the λ calculus.

$$f(n) = n! = \begin{cases} 1 & n=0 \\ n*(n-1)! & n>0 \end{cases}$$

We may try to write it as:

$$f: \quad \lambda n. (if (= n 0) \\ \quad 1 \\ \quad (* n (f (- n 1))))$$

But f is a free variable that should represent our factorial function.

Recursion Combinator (Y or *rec*)

We may try to pass f as an argument (g) as follows:

$$f: \quad \lambda g. \lambda n. (if (= n 0) \\ \quad \quad \quad 1 \\ \quad \quad (* n (g (- n 1))))$$

The *type* of f is:

$$f: (Z \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow (Z \rightarrow Z)$$

So, what argument g can we pass to f to get the factorial function?

Recursion Combinator (Y or *rec*)

$$f: (Z \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow (Z \rightarrow Z)$$

$(f f)$ is not well-typed.

$(f I)$ corresponds to:

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n=0 \\ n*(n-1) & n>0 \end{cases}$$

We need to solve the fixpoint equation:

$$(f X) = X$$

Recursion Combinator (Y or *rec*)

$$(f X) = X$$

The X that solves this equation is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} X: & \quad (\lambda x. (\lambda g. \lambda n. (\text{if } (= n 0) \\ & \quad \quad \quad 1 \\ & \quad \quad \quad (* n (g (- n 1)))))) \\ & \quad \quad \lambda y. ((x x) y)) \\ & \quad \lambda x. (\lambda g. \lambda n. (\text{if } (= n 0) \\ & \quad \quad \quad 1 \\ & \quad \quad \quad (* n (g (- n 1)))))) \\ & \quad \quad \lambda y. ((x x) y)) \end{aligned}$$

Recursion Combinator (Y or *rec*)

X can be defined as $(Y f)$, where Y is the *recursion combinator*.

Y : $\lambda f. (\lambda x. (f \lambda y. ((x x) y)))$
 $\lambda x. (f \lambda y. ((x x) y)))$

Applicative
Order

Y : $\lambda f. (\lambda x. (f (x x)))$
 $\lambda x. (f (x x))$

Normal Order

You get from the normal order to the applicative order recursion combinator by η -expansion (η -conversion from right to left).

Natural Numbers in Lambda Calculus

$ 0 :$	$\lambda x.x$	(Zero)
$ 1 :$	$\lambda x.\lambda x.x$	(One)
...		
$ n+1 :$	$\lambda x. n $	(N+1)
$s:$	$\lambda n.\lambda x.n$	(Successor)

$$\begin{aligned} & (s\ 0) \\ & (\lambda n.\lambda x.n\ \lambda x.x) \\ & \Rightarrow \lambda x.\lambda x.x \end{aligned}$$

Recall semantics rule:

$$(\lambda x.E\ M) \Rightarrow E\{M/x\}$$

Booleans and Branching (*if*) in λ Calculus

$|true|:$ $\lambda x.\lambda y.x$ (True)

$|false|:$ $\lambda x.\lambda y.y$ (False)

$|if|:$ $\lambda b.\lambda t.\lambda e.((b\ t)\ e)$ (If)

Recall semantics rule:

$(\lambda x.E\ M) \Rightarrow E\{M/x\}$

$((if\ true)\ a)\ b$

$((\lambda b.\lambda t.\lambda e.((b\ t)\ e)\ \lambda x.\lambda y.x)\ a)\ b$
 $\Rightarrow ((\lambda t.\lambda e.((\lambda x.\lambda y.x\ t)\ e)\ a)\ b)$
 $\Rightarrow (\lambda e.((\lambda x.\lambda y.x\ a)\ e)\ b)$
 $\Rightarrow ((\lambda x.\lambda y.x\ a)\ b)$
 $\Rightarrow (\lambda y.a\ b)$
 $\Rightarrow a$

Church Numerals

$ 0 $:	$\lambda f. \lambda x. x$	(Zero)
$ 1 $:	$\lambda f. \lambda x. (f x)$	(One)
...		
$ n $:	$\lambda f. \lambda x. (f \dots (f x) \dots)$	(N applications of f to x)
s :	$\lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. (f ((n f) x))$	(Successor)

$(s\ 0)$

Recall semantics rule:

$(\lambda x. E\ M) \Rightarrow E\{M/x\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. (f ((n f) x))\ \lambda f. \lambda x. x) \\
 & \Rightarrow \lambda f. \lambda x. (f ((\lambda f. \lambda x. x\ f)\ x)) \\
 & \Rightarrow \lambda f. \lambda x. (f (\lambda x. x\ x)) \\
 & \Rightarrow \lambda f. \lambda x. (f\ x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Church Numerals: isZero?

Recall semantics rule:

$(\lambda x.E M) \Rightarrow E\{M/x\}$

isZero?: $\lambda n.((n \lambda x.false) true)$ (Is n=0?)

(isZero? 0)
 $(\lambda n.((n \lambda x.false) true) \lambda f.\lambda x.x)$
 $\Rightarrow ((\lambda f.\lambda x.x \lambda x.false) true)$
 $\Rightarrow (\lambda x.x true)$
 $\Rightarrow true$

(isZero? 1)
 $(\lambda n.((n \lambda x.false) true) \lambda f.\lambda x.(f x))$
 $\Rightarrow ((\lambda f.\lambda x.(f x) \lambda x.false) true)$
 $\Rightarrow (\lambda x.(\lambda x.false x) true)$
 $\Rightarrow (\lambda x.false true)$
 $\Rightarrow false$

Exercises

6. PDCS Exercise 2.11.7 (page 31).
7. PDCS Exercise 2.11.9 (page 31).
8. PDCS Exercise 2.11.10 (page 31).
9. PDCS Exercise 2.11.11 (page 31).
10. Prove that your addition operation is correct using induction.
11. PDCS Exercise 2.11.12 (page 31). Test your representation of booleans in Haskell.