

Actors in SALSA and Erlang  
(PDCS 9, CPE 5\*)  
Support for actor model in SALSA and Erlang

Carlos Varela  
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

October 7, 2016

\* Concurrent Programming in Erlang, by J. Armstrong, R. Virding, C. Wikström, M. Williams

# Agha, Mason, Smith & Talcott

1. Extend a functional language ( $\lambda$ -calculus + ifs and pairs) with actor primitives.
2. Define an operational semantics for actor configurations.
3. Study various notions of equivalence of actor expressions and configurations.
4. Assume fairness:
  - Guaranteed message delivery.
  - Individual actor progress.


# $\lambda$ -Calculus as a Model for Sequential Computation

## Syntax

$e ::= v$	<i>value</i>
$  \lambda v.e$	<i>functional abstraction</i>
$  (e e)$	<i>application</i>

Example of beta-reduction:

$$(\lambda x.x^2 \ 2) \longrightarrow x^2\{2/x\}$$



# Actor Primitives

- `send(a, v)`
  - Sends value  $v$  to actor  $a$ .
- `new(b)`
  - Creates a new actor with behavior  $b$  (a  $\lambda$ -calculus abstraction) and returns the identity/name of the newly created actor.
- `ready(b)`
  - Becomes ready to receive a new message with behavior  $b$ .

# AMST Actor Language

## Examples

`b5 = rec( λ y. λ x. seq( send( x, 5 ), ready( y ) ) )`  
receives an actor name `x` and sends the number 5 to that actor,  
then it becomes ready to process new messages with the  
same behavior `y`.

Sample usage:

```
send( new( b5 ), a )
```

A *sink*, an actor that disregards all messages:

```
sink = rec( λ b. λ m. ready( b ) )
```

# Operational Semantics for AMST Actor Language

- Operational semantics of actor model as a labeled transition relationship between actor configurations:

$$k_1 \xrightarrow{[\text{label}]} k_2$$

- Actor configurations model open system components:
  - Set of individually named actors
  - Messages “en-route”

# Actor Configurations

$$\mathbf{k} = \alpha \parallel \mu$$

$\alpha$  is a function mapping actor names (represented as free variables) to actor states.

$\mu$  is a multi-set of messages “en-route.”

# Reduction contexts and redexes

Consider the expression:

$$e = \text{send}(\text{new}(b5), a)$$

- The redex  $r$  represents the next sub-expression to evaluate in a left-first call-by-value evaluation strategy.
- The reduction context  $R$  (or *continuation*) is represented as the surrounding expression with a *hole* replacing the redex.

$$\text{send}(\text{new}(b5), a) = \text{send}(\square, a) \blacktriangleright \text{new}(b5) \blacktriangleleft$$
$$e = R \blacktriangleright r \blacktriangleleft \quad \text{where}$$
$$R = \text{send}(\square, a)$$
$$r = \text{new}(b5)$$



# Operational Semantics of Actors

$$\frac{e \rightarrow_{\lambda} e'}{\alpha, [R \blacktriangleright e \blacktriangleleft]_a \parallel \mu \xrightarrow{[\text{fun}:a]} \alpha, [R \blacktriangleright e' \blacktriangleleft]_a \parallel \mu}$$

$$\alpha, [R \blacktriangleright \text{new}(b) \blacktriangleleft]_a \parallel \mu \xrightarrow{[\text{new}:a,a']} \alpha, [R \blacktriangleright a' \blacktriangleleft]_a, [\text{ready}(b)]_{a'} \parallel \mu$$

*a' fresh*

$$\alpha, [R \blacktriangleright \text{send}(a', v) \blacktriangleleft]_a \parallel \mu \xrightarrow{[\text{snd}:a]} \alpha, [R \blacktriangleright \text{nil} \blacktriangleleft]_a \parallel \mu \uplus \{\langle a' \Leftarrow v \rangle\}$$

$$\alpha, [R \blacktriangleright \text{ready}(b) \blacktriangleleft]_a \parallel \{\langle a \Leftarrow v \rangle\} \uplus \mu \xrightarrow{[\text{rcv}:a,v]} \alpha, [b(v)]_a \parallel \mu$$

# Operational semantics example (1)

$k_0 = [\text{send}(\square, a) \blacktriangleright \text{new}(b5) \blacktriangleleft ]_a \parallel \{\}$

$k_1 = [\text{send}(b, a)]_a, [\text{ready}(b5)]_b \parallel \{\}$

$k_0 \xrightarrow{[\text{new}: a, b]} k_1$

$k_2 = [\text{nil}]_a, [\text{ready}(b5)]_b \parallel \{< b \leq a >\}$

$k_1 \xrightarrow{[\text{snd}: a]} k_2$

## Operational semantics example (2)

$k_2 = [\text{nil}]_a, [\text{ready}(b5)]_b \parallel \{ \langle b \leq a \rangle \}$

$k_3 = [\text{nil}]_a,$

$[\text{rec}(\lambda y. \lambda x. \text{seq}(\text{send}(x, 5), \text{ready}(y)))(a)]_b$   
 $\parallel \{ \}$

$k_2 \xrightarrow{[\text{rcv}:b,a]} k_3$

$k_4 = [\text{nil}]_a, [\text{seq}(\text{send}(a, 5), \text{ready}(b5))]_b$   
 $\parallel \{ \}$

$k_3 \xrightarrow{[\text{fun}:b]} k_4$

# Operational semantics example (3)

$$\begin{aligned} k_4 &= [nil]_a, \\ &\quad [seq(\square, ready(b5)) \blacktriangleright send(a, 5) \blacktriangleleft]_b \\ &\quad || \quad \{\} \end{aligned}$$
$$k_4 \xrightarrow{[snd:a, 5]} k_5$$
$$\begin{aligned} k_5 &= [nil]_a, [seq(nil, ready(b5))]_b \\ &\quad || \quad \{< a \leq 5 >\} \end{aligned}$$

# Operational semantics example (4)

$$k_5 = [nil]_a, [seq(nil, ready(b5))]_b$$
$$\parallel \{ \langle a \leq 5 \rangle \}$$
$$k_6 = [nil]_a, [ready(b5)]_b \parallel \{ \langle a \leq 5 \rangle \}$$
$$k_5 \xrightarrow{[fun:b]} k_6$$

# Semantics example summary

$k_0 = [\text{send}(\text{new}(b5), a)]_a \parallel \{\}$

$k_6 = [\text{nil}]_a, [\text{ready}(b5)]_b \parallel \{< a \leq 5 >\}$

$k_0 \xrightarrow{[\text{new}: a, b]} k_1 \xrightarrow{[\text{snd}: a]} k_2 \xrightarrow{[\text{rcv}: b, a]} k_3 \xrightarrow{[\text{fun}: b]} k_4$

$k_4 \xrightarrow{[\text{snd}: a, 5]} k_5 \xrightarrow{[\text{fun}: b]} k_6$

This sequence of (labeled) transitions from  $k_0$  to  $k_6$  is called a *computation sequence*.

# Reference Cell

```
cell = rec (λb.λc.λm.  
  if ( get?(m) ,  
    seq( send(cust(m) , c) ,  
        ready(b(c)) )  
  if ( set?(m) ,  
    ready(b(contents(m)) ) ,  
    ready(b(c)) ) ) )
```

Using the cell:


```
let a = new(cell(0)) in seq( send(a, mkset(7)) ,  
                             send(a, mkset(2)) ,  
                             send(a, mkget(c)) )
```

# Asynchronous communication

$$k_0 = [\text{ready}(\text{cell}(0))]_a \\ \parallel \{ \langle a \leq s(7) \rangle, \langle a \leq s(2) \rangle, \langle a \leq g(c) \rangle \}$$

Three receive transitions are enabled at  $k_0$ .

Multiple enabled transitions can lead to *nondeterministic* behavior



The set of all computation sequences from  $k_0$  is called the *computation tree*  $\tau(k_0)$ .



# Nondeterministic behavior (1)

$$k_0 = [\text{ready}(\text{cell}(0))]_a$$
$$\parallel \{ \langle a \leq s(7) \rangle, \langle a \leq s(2) \rangle, \langle a \leq g(c) \rangle \}$$
$$k_1 \rightarrow^* [\text{ready}(\text{cell}(7))]_a$$
$$\parallel \{ \langle a \leq s(2) \rangle, \langle a \leq g(c) \rangle \}$$

Customer  $c$  will get 2 or 7.

$$k_1' \rightarrow^* [\text{ready}(\text{cell}(2))]_a$$
$$\parallel \{ \langle a \leq s(7) \rangle, \langle a \leq g(c) \rangle \}$$
$$k_1'' \rightarrow^* [\text{ready}(\text{cell}(0))]_a$$
$$\parallel \{ \langle a \leq s(7) \rangle, \langle a \leq s(2) \rangle, \langle c \leq 0 \rangle \}$$

Customer  $c$  will get 0.

## Nondeterministic behavior (2)

$$k_0 = [\text{ready}(\text{cell}(0))]_a \\ \parallel \{ \langle a \leq s(7) \rangle, \langle a \leq s(2) \rangle, \langle a \leq g(c) \rangle \}$$

Order of three receive transitions determines final state, e.g.:

$$k_0 \xrightarrow{[\text{rcv}:a, g(c)]} k_1 \xrightarrow{[\text{rcv}:a, s(7)]}^* k_2 \xrightarrow{[\text{rcv}:a, s(2)]}^* k_3$$

$$k_f = [\text{ready}(\text{cell}(2))]_a \parallel \{ \langle c \leq 0 \rangle \}$$

Final cell state is 2.

## Nondeterministic behavior (3)

$$k_0 = [\text{ready}(\text{cell}(0))]_a \\ \parallel \{ \langle a \leq s(7) \rangle, \langle a \leq s(2) \rangle, \langle a \leq g(c) \rangle \}$$

Order of three receive transitions determines final state, e.g.:

$$k_0 \xrightarrow{[\text{rcv}:a,s(2)]} k_1 \xrightarrow{[\text{rcv}:a,g(c)]}^* k_2 \xrightarrow{[\text{rcv}:a,s(7)]}^* k_3$$

$$k_f = [\text{ready}(\text{cell}(7))]_a \parallel \{ \langle c \leq 2 \rangle \}$$

Final cell state is 7.

# Actors/SALSA

- Actor Model

- A reasoning framework to model concurrent computations
- Programming abstractions for distributed open systems


G. Agha, *Actors: A Model of Concurrent Computation in Distributed Systems*. MIT Press, 1986.

Agha, Mason, Smith and Talcott, “A Foundation for Actor Computation”, *J. of Functional Programming*, 7, 1-72, 1997.

- SALSA

- Simple Actor Language System and Architecture
- An actor-oriented language for mobile and internet computing
- Programming abstractions for internet-based concurrency, distribution, mobility, and coordination

C. Varela and G. Agha, “Programming dynamically reconfigurable open systems with SALSA”, *ACM SIGPLAN Notices, OOPSLA 2001*, 36(12), pp 20-34.



# SALSA support for Actors

- Programmers define *behaviors* for actors. Actors are instances of behaviors.
- Messages are modeled as potential method invocations. Messages are sent asynchronously.
- State is modeled as encapsulated objects/primitive types.
- Tokens represent future message return values. Continuation primitives are used for coordination.

# Reference Cell Example

```
module cell;

behavior Cell {
    Object content;

    Cell(Object initialContent) {
        content = initialContent;
    }

    Object get() { return content; }

    void set(Object newContent) {
        content = newContent;
    }
}
```

# Reference Cell Example

```
module cell;
```

```
behavior Cell {  
  Object content;
```

Encapsulated state content.

```
  Cell(Object initialContent) {  
    content = initialContent;  
  }
```

Actor constructor.

```
  Object get() { return content; }
```

```
  void set(Object newContent) {  
    content = newContent;
```

Message handlers.

```
  }  
}
```

State change.

# Reference Cell Example

```
module cell;

behavior Cell {
    Object content;

    Cell(Object initialContent) {
        content = initialContent;
    }

    Object get() { return content; }

    void set(Object newContent) {
        content = newContent;
    }
}
```

return asynchronously  
sets token associated to  
get message.

*Implicit control loop:*  
End of message implies  
ready to receive next  
message.



# Cell Tester Example

```
module cell;

behavior CellTester {

    void act( String[] args ) {

        Cell c = new Cell(0);
        c <- set(2);
        c <- set(7);
        token t = c <- get();
        standardOutput <- println( t );
    }
}
```

# Cell Tester Example

```
module cell;

behavior CellTester {

    void act( String[] args ) {

        Cell c = new Cell(0);
        c <- set(2);
        c <- set(7);
        token t = c <- get();
        standardOutput <- println( t );

    }
}
```

Actor creation (new)

Message passing (<-)

println message can only be processed when *token t* from *c*'s *get()* message handler has been produced.

# Cell Tester Example

```
module cell;

behavior CellTester {

    void act( String[] args ) {


        Cell c = new Cell(0);
        c <- set(2);
        c <- set(7);
        token t = c <- get();
        standardOutput <- println( t );

    }
}
```

All message passing is asynchronous.

println message is called *partial* until *token t* is produced. Only *full* messages (with no pending tokens) are delivered to actors.

# SALSA compiles to Java



- SALSA source files are compiled into Java source files before being compiled into Java byte code.
- SALSA programs may take full advantage of the Java API.

# Erlang support for Actors

- Actors in Erlang are modeled as *processes*. Processes start by executing an arbitrary *function*. Related functions are grouped into *modules*.
- Messages can be any Erlang *terms*, e.g., atoms, tuples (fixed arity), or lists (variable arity). Messages are sent asynchronously.
- State is modeled implicitly with function arguments. Actors explicitly call `receive` to get a message, and must use tail-recursion to get new messages, i.e., control loop is explicit.

# Reference Cell in Erlang

```
-module(cell).  
-export([cell/1]).  
  
cell(Content) ->  
  receive  
    {set, NewContent} -> cell(NewContent);  
    {get, Customer}   -> Customer ! Content,  
                        cell(Content)  
  end.
```

# Reference Cell in Erlang

```
-module(cell).  
-export([cell/1]).
```

```
cell(Content) ->
```

Encapsulated state content.

```
receive
```

Message handlers

```
{set, NewContent} -> cell(NewContent);  
{get, Customer} -> Customer ! Content,  
                    cell(Content)
```

State change.

```
end.
```

*Explicit control loop:* Actions at the end of a message need to include tail-recursive function call. Otherwise actor (process) terminates.

# Reference Cell in Erlang

```
-module(cell).  
-export([cell/1]).
```

```
cell(Content) ->
```

```
  receive
```

```
    {set, NewContent} -> cell(NewContent);
```

```
    {get, Customer}   -> Customer ! Content,  
                        cell(Content)
```

```
  end.
```

**Content is an argument to the `cell` function.**

**`{set, NewContent}` is a tuple *pattern*. `set` is an atom. `NewContent` is a variable.**

**Messages are checked one by one, and for each message, first pattern that applies gets its actions (after `->`) executed. If no pattern matches, messages remain in actor's mailbox.**



# Cell Tester in Erlang

```
-module(cellTester).  
-export([main/0]).  
  
main() -> C = spawn(cell,cell,[0]),  
          C!{set,2},  
          C!{set,7},  
          C!{get,self()},  
          receive  
            Value ->  
              io:format("~w~n",[Value])  
          end.
```

# Cell Tester in Erlang

```
-module(cellTester).  
-export([main/0]).
```

```
main() -> C = spawn(cell, cell, [0]),  
          C!{set, 2},  
          C!{set, 7},  
          C!{get, self()},  
          receive  
            Value ->  
              io:format("~w~n", [Value])  
          end.
```

Actor creation (spawn)

Message passing (!)

receive waits until a message is available.

# Cell Tester in Erlang

```
-module(cellTester).  
-export([main/0]).  
  
main() -> C = spawn(cell,cell,[0]),  
          C!{set,2},  
          C!{set,7},  
          C!{get,self()},  
          receive  
            Value ->  
              io:format("~w~n",[Value])  
          end.
```

[0] is a *list* with the arguments to the module's function. General form:

```
spawn(module, function,  
       arguments)
```

Function calls take the form:  
module:function(args)

self() is a *built-in function (BIF)* that returns the process id of the current process.

# Tree Product Behavior in AMST



```
Btreeprod =  
  rec (λb. λm.  
    seq (if (isnat (tree (m))),  
        send (cust (m), tree (m)),  
        let newcust = new (Bjoincont (cust (m))),  
            lp = new (Btreeprod),  
            rp = new (Btreeprod) in  
        seq (send (lp,  
            pr (left (tree (m)), newcust)),  
            send (rp,  
                pr (right (tree (m)), newcust))))),  
    ready (b)))
```

# Join Continuation in AMST

```
Bjoincont =  
  λcust.λfirstnum.ready(λnum.  
    seq(send(cust, firstnum*num),  
      ready(sink)))
```



# Sample Execution

$f(\text{tree}, \text{cust})$





(a)

$f(\text{left}(\text{tree}), \text{JC})$




(b)

$f(\text{right}(\text{tree}), \text{JC})$




# Sample Execution

$f(\text{left}(\text{tree}), \text{JC})$




(c)




(d)

# Sample Execution



(e)



(f)



# Tree Product Behavior in Erlang

```
-module(treeprod).  
-export([treeprod/0,join/1]).
```

```
treeprod() ->
```

```
  receive
```

```
    {{Left, Right}, Customer} ->
```

```
      NewCust = spawn(treeprod,join,[Customer]),
```

```
      LP = spawn(treeprod,treeprod,[]),
```

```
      RP = spawn(treeprod,treeprod,[]),
```

```
      LP!{Left,NewCust},
```

```
      RP!{Right,NewCust};
```

```
    {Number, Customer} ->
```

```
      Customer ! Number
```

```
  end,
```

```
  treeprod().
```

```
join(Customer) -> receive V1 -> receive V2 -> Customer ! V1*V2 end end.
```

# Tree Product Sample Execution

```
2> TP = spawn(treeprod, treeprod, []).  
<0.40.0>  
3> TP ! {{{{5, 6}, 2}, {3, 4}}, self()}.  
{{{5, 6}, 2}, {3, 4}}, <0.33.0>  
4> flush().  
Shell got 720  
ok  
5>
```

# Tree Product Behavior in SALSA

```
module treeprod;

behavior TreeProduct {

    void compute(Tree t, UniversalActor c){
        if (t.isLeaf()) c <- result(t.value());
        else {
            JoinCont newCust = new JoinCont(c);
            TreeProduct lp = new TreeProduct();
            TreeProduct rp = new TreeProduct();
            lp <- compute(t.left(), newCust);
            rp <- compute(t.right(), newCust);
        }
    }
}
```

# Join Continuation in SALSA

```
module treeprod;
behavior JoinCont {

    UniversalActor cust;
    int first;
    boolean receivedFirst;

    JoinCont(UniversalActor cust){
        this.cust = cust;
        this.receivedFirst = false;
    }

    void result(int v) {
        if (!receivedFirst){
            first = v; receivedFirst = true;
        }
        else // receiving second value
            cust <- result(first*v);
    }
}
```

# Summary

- Actors are concurrent entities that react to messages.
  - State is completely encapsulated. There is no shared memory!
  - Message passing is asynchronous.
  - Actor run-time has to ensure fairness.
- AMST extends the call by value lambda calculus with actor primitives. State is modeled as function arguments. Actors use `ready` to receive new messages.
- Erlang extends a functional programming language core with processes that run arbitrary functions. State is implicit in the function's arguments. Control loop is explicit: actors use `receive` to get a message, and tail-form recursive call to continue.
- SALSA extends an object-oriented programming language (Java) with universal actors. State is encapsulated in instance variables. Control loop is implicit: ending a message handler, signals readiness to receive a new message.

# Exercises

41. Define pairing primitives (`pr`, `1st`, `2nd`) in the pure lambda calculus.
42. PDCS Exercise 4.6.1 (page 77).
43. Modify the `treeprod` behavior in Erlang to reuse the tree product actor to compute the product of the left subtree. (See PDCS page 63 for the corresponding `tprod2` behavior in AMST.)
44. PDCS Exercise 9.6.1 (page 203).
45. Create a concurrent `fibonacci` behavior in Erlang using join continuations.