Review from Lecture 17 and Lab 9

- Binary Trees, Binary Search Trees, & Balanced Trees
- STL set container class (like STL map, but without the pairs!)
- Finding the smallest element in a BST.
- Overview of the ds_set implementation: begin and find.

Today’s Lecture

- Warmup / Review: destroy_tree
- A very important ds_set operation: insert
- In-order, pre-order, and post-order traversal; Breadth-first and depth-first tree search
- Finding the in-order successor of a binary tree node, tree iterator increment

18.1 Warmup Exercise

- Write the ds_set::destroy_tree private helper function.
18.2 Insert

- Move left and right down the tree based on comparing keys. The goal is to find the location to do an insert that preserves the binary search tree ordering property.
- We will always be inserting at an empty (NULL) pointer location.
- Exercise: Why does this work? Is there always a place to put the new item? Is there ever more than one place to put the new item?

- IMPORTANT NOTE: Passing pointers by reference ensures that the new node is truly inserted into the tree. This is subtle but important.
- Note how the return value pair is constructed.
- Exercise: How does the order that the nodes are inserted affect the final tree structure? Give an ordering that produces a balanced tree and an insertion ordering that produces a highly unbalanced tree.

18.3 In-order, Pre-order, Post-order Traversal

- Reminder: For an exactly balanced binary search tree with the elements 1-7:
  - In-order: 1 2 3 (4) 5 6 7
  - Pre-order: (4) 2 1 3 6 5 7
  - Post-order: 1 3 2 5 7 6 (4)
- What is the traversal order of the destroy_tree function we wrote earlier?

18.4 Depth-first vs. Breadth-first Search

- We should also discuss two other important tree traversal terms related to problem solving and searching.
  - In a depth-first search, we greedily follow links down into the tree, and don’t backtrack until we have hit a leaf.
  When we hit a leaf we step back out, but only to the last decision point and then proceed to the next leaf.
  This search method will quickly investigate leaf nodes, but if it has made “incorrect” branch decision early in the search, it will take a long time to work back to that point and go down the “right” branch.
  - In a breadth-first search, the nodes are visited with priority based on their distance from the root, with nodes closer to the root visited first.
  In other words, we visit the nodes by level, first the root (level 0), then all children of the root (level 1), then all nodes 2 links from the root (level 2), etc.
  If there are multiple solution nodes, this search method will find the solution node with the shortest path to the root node.
  However, the breadth-first search method is memory-intensive, because the implementation must store all nodes at the current level – and the worst case number of nodes on each level doubles as we progress down the tree!
- Both depth-first and breadth-first will eventually visit all elements in the tree.
- Note: The ordering of elements visited by depth-first and breadth-first is not fully specified.
  - In-order, pre-order, and post-order are all examples of depth-first tree traversals.
    Note: A simple recursive tree function is usually a depth-first traversal.
  - What is a breadth-first traversal of the elements in our sample binary search tree above?
18.5  General-Purpose Breadth-First Search/Tree Traversal

• Write an algorithm to print the nodes in the tree one tier at a time, that is, in a breadth-first manner.

• What is the best/average/worst-case running time of this algorithm? What is the best/average/worst-case memory usage of this algorithm? Give a specific example tree that illustrates each case.
18.6 Tree Iterator Increment/Decrement - Implementation Choices

- The increment operator should change the iterator’s pointer to point to the next TreeNode in an in-order traversal — the “in-order successor” — while the decrement operator should change the iterator’s pointer to point to the “in-order predecessor”.

- Unlike the situation with lists and vectors, these predecessors and successors are not necessarily “nearby” (either in physical memory or by following a link) in the tree, as examples we draw in class will illustrate.

- There are two common solution approaches:
  - Each node stores a parent pointer. Only the root node has a null parent pointer. [method 1]
  - Each iterator maintains a stack of pointers representing the path down the tree to the current node. [method 2]

  If we choose the parent pointer method, we’ll need to rewrite the `insert` and `erase` (which we’ll write next lecture!) member functions to correctly adjust parent pointers.

- Although iterator increment looks expensive in the worst case for a single application of `operator++`, it is fairly easy to show that iterating through a tree storing $n$ nodes requires $O(n)$ operations overall.

**Exercise:** [method 1] Write a fragment of code that given a node, finds the in-order successor using parent pointers. Be sure to draw a picture to help you understand!

**Exercise:** [method 2] Write a fragment of code that given a tree iterator containing a pointer to the node and a stack of pointers representing path from root to node, finds the in-order successor (without using parent pointers).

**Exercise:** What are the advantages & disadvantages of each method?
template <class T>
class ds_set {
public:
  // output & printing
  friend std::ostream& operator<< (std::ostream& ostr, const ds_set<T>& s) {
    return ostr;
  }
  void print_sideways_tree(std::ostream& ostr) const {
    print_sideways_tree(ostr, root_, 0);
  }
  // iterators
  iterator begin() const {
    if (!root_) return iterator(NULL);
    TreeNode* p = root_; return iterator(p);
  }
  iterator end() const {
    return iterator(NULL); }
  iterator find(T& key_value, TreeNode* p) const {
    if (!p) { return iterator(NULL); }
    if (key_value < p->value) { return iterator(p->left); }
    else if (key_value > p->value) { return iterator(p->right); }
    else return std::pair<iterator, bool>(iterator(p), true);
  }
  std::pair<iterator, bool> insert(T& key_value, TreeNode*& p) {
    if (p) {
      TreeNode* new_node = new TreeNode(key_value);
      if (key_value < p->value) { p->left = new_node; p = new_node; } 
      else if (key_value > p->value) { p->right = new_node; p = new_node; }
      else return std::pair<iterator, bool>(iterator(p), true);
    }
    else {
      p = new TreeNode(key_value);
      size_++;
      return std::pair<iterator, bool>(iterator(p), true);
    }
  }
  int erase(T& key_value) const {
    return erase(key_value, root_);
  }
private:
  // representation
  TreeNode* root_; int size_; 
  // private helper functions
  TreeNode* copy_tree(TreeNode* old_root) { /* implemented in lab 9 */ }
  void destroy_tree(TreeNode* p) { /* implemented in lecture 18 */ }
};