

Higher-Order Programming:

Iterative computation (CTM Section 3.2)

Closures, procedural abstraction, genericity, instantiation,
embedding (CTM Section 3.6.1)

Carlos Varela

RPI

September 15, 2017

Adapted with permission from:

Seif Haridi

KTH

Peter Van Roy

UCL

Iterative computation

- An iterative computation is one whose execution stack is bounded by a constant, independent of the length of the computation
- Iterative computation starts with an initial state S_0 , and transforms the state in a number of steps until a final state S_{final} is reached:

$$S_0 \longrightarrow S_1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow S_{\text{final}}$$

The general scheme

```
fun {Iterate  $S_i$ }  
  if {IsDone  $S_i$ } then  $S_i$   
  else  $S_{i+1}$  in  
     $S_{i+1} = \{Transform\ S_i\}$   
    {Iterate  $S_{i+1}$ }  
  end  
end
```

- *IsDone* and *Transform* are problem dependent

The computation model

- STACK : [R={Iterate S_0 }]
- STACK : [$S_1 = \{Transform\ S_0\}$,
R={Iterate S_1 }]
- STACK : [R={Iterate S_i }]
- STACK : [$S_{i+1} = \{Transform\ S_i\}$,
R={Iterate S_{i+1} }]
- STACK : [R={Iterate S_{i+1} }]

Newton's method for the square root of a positive real number

- Given a real number x , start with a guess g , and improve this guess iteratively until it is accurate enough
- The improved guess g' is the average of g and x/g :

$$g' = (g + x / g) / 2$$

$$\varepsilon = g - \sqrt{x}$$

$$\varepsilon' = g' - \sqrt{x}$$

For g' to be a better guess than g : $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$

$$\varepsilon' = g' - \sqrt{x} = (g + x / g) / 2 - \sqrt{x} = \varepsilon^2 / 2g$$

$$\text{i.e. } \varepsilon^2 / 2g < \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon / 2g < 1$$

$$\text{i.e. } \varepsilon < 2g, \quad g - \sqrt{x} < 2g, \quad 0 < g + \sqrt{x}$$

Newton's method for the square root of a positive real number

- Given a real number x , start with a guess g , and improve this guess iteratively until it is accurate enough
- The improved guess g' is the average of g and x/g :
- Accurate enough is defined as:

$$|x - g^2| / x < 0.00001$$

SqrtIter

```
fun {SqrtIter Guess X}
  if {GoodEnough Guess X} then Guess
  else
    Guess1 = {Improve Guess X} in
    {SqrtIter Guess1 X}
  end
end
```

- Compare to the general scheme:
 - The state is the pair `Guess` and `X`
 - *IsDone* is implemented by the procedure `GoodEnough`
 - *Transform* is implemented by the procedure `Improve`

The program version 1

```
fun {Sqrt X}
  Guess = 1.0
in {SqrtIter Guess X}
end
fun {SqrtIter Guess X}
  if {GoodEnough Guess X} then
    Guess
  else
    {SqrtIter {Improve Guess X} X}
  end
end
end
```

```
fun {Improve Guess X}
  (Guess + X/Guess)/2.0
end
fun {GoodEnough Guess X}
  {Abs X - Guess*Guess}/X < 0.00001
end
```


Using local procedures

- The main procedure Sqrt uses the helper procedures SqrtIter, GoodEnough, Improve, and Abs
- SqrtIter is only needed inside Sqrt
- GoodEnough and Improve are only needed inside SqrtIter
- Abs (absolute value) is a general utility
- The general idea is that helper procedures should not be visible globally, but only locally

Sqrt version 2

```
local
  fun {SqrtIter Guess X}
    if {GoodEnough Guess X} then Guess
    else {SqrtIter {Improve Guess X} X} end
  end
  fun {Improve Guess X}
    (Guess + X/Guess)/2.0
  end
  fun {GoodEnough Guess X}
    {Abs X - Guess*Guess}/X < 0.000001
  end
in
  fun {Sqrt X}
    Guess = 1.0
  in {SqrtIter Guess X} end
end
```

Sqrt version 3

- Define GoodEnough and Improve inside SqrtIter

local

```
fun {SqrtIter Guess X}
  fun {Improve}
    (Guess + X/Guess)/2.0
  end
  fun {GoodEnough}
    {Abs X - Guess*Guess}/X < 0.000001
  end
```

in

```
  if {GoodEnough} then Guess
  else {SqrtIter {Improve} X} end
```

end

in fun {Sqrt X}

```
  Guess = 1.0 in
```

```
  {SqrtIter Guess X}
```

end

end

Sqrt version 3

- Define GoodEnough and Improve inside SqrtIter

local

```
fun {SqrtIter Guess X}
  fun {Improve}
    (Guess + X/Guess)/2.0
  end
  fun {GoodEnough}
    {Abs X - Guess*Guess}/X < 0.000001
  end
```

in

```
  if {GoodEnough} then Guess
  else {SqrtIter {Improve} X} end
```

end

in fun {Sqrt X}

```
  Guess = 1.0 in
```

```
  {SqrtIter Guess X}
```

end

end

The program has a single drawback: on each iteration two procedure values are created, one for Improve and one for GoodEnough

Sqrt final version

```
fun {Sqrt X}
  fun {Improve Guess}
    (Guess + X/Guess)/2.0
  end
  fun {GoodEnough Guess}
    {Abs X - Guess*Guess}/X < 0.000001
  end
  fun {SqrtIter Guess}
    if {GoodEnough Guess} then Guess
    else {SqrtIter {Improve Guess}} end
  end
  Guess = 1.0
in {SqrtIter Guess}
end
```

The final version is
a compromise between
abstraction and efficiency

From a general scheme to a control abstraction (1)

```
fun {Iterate  $S_i$ }  
  if {IsDone  $S_i$ } then  $S_i$   
  else  $S_{i+1}$  in  
     $S_{i+1} = \{Transform\ S_i\}$   
    {Iterate  $S_{i+1}$ }  
  end  
end
```

- *IsDone* and *Transform* are problem dependent

From a general scheme to a control abstraction (2)

```
fun {Iterate S IsDone Transform}
  if {IsDone S} then S
  else S1 in
    S1 = {Transform S}
    {Iterate S1 IsDone Transform}
  end
end
```

```
fun {Iterate  $S_i$ }
  if {IsDone  $S_i$ } then  $S_i$ 
  else  $S_{i+1}$  in
     $S_{i+1} = \{Transform S_i\}$ 
    {Iterate  $S_{i+1}$ }
  end
end
```

Sqrt using the Iterate abstraction

```
fun {Sqrt X}
  fun {Improve Guess}
    (Guess + X/Guess)/2.0
  end
  fun {GoodEnough Guess}
    {Abs X - Guess*Guess}/X < 0.000001
  end
  Guess = 1.0
in
  {Iterate Guess GoodEnough Improve}
end
```


Sqrt using the control abstraction

```
fun {Sqrt X}  
  {Iterate  
    1.0  
    fun {$ G} {Abs X - G*G}/X < 0.000001 end  
    fun {$ G} (G + X/G)/2.0 end  
  }  
end
```

Iterate could become a linguistic abstraction

Sqrt in Haskell

```
let sqrt x = head (dropWhile (not . goodEnough) sqrtGuesses)
```

```
  where
```

```
    goodEnough guess = (abs (x - guess*guess))/x < 0.00001
```

```
    improve guess = (guess + x/guess)/2.0
```

```
    sqrtGuesses = 1:(map improve sqrtGuesses)
```

This sqrt example uses infinite lists enabled by lazy evaluation, and the map control abstraction.

Higher-order programming

- **Higher-order programming** = the set of programming techniques that are possible with procedure values (lexically-scoped closures)
- Basic operations
 - **Procedural abstraction**: creating procedure values with lexical scoping
 - **Genericity**: procedure values as arguments
 - **Instantiation**: procedure values as return values
 - **Embedding**: procedure values in data structures
- Higher-order programming is the foundation of component-based programming and object-oriented programming

Procedural abstraction

- Procedural abstraction is the ability to convert any statement into a procedure value
 - A procedure value is usually called a **closure**, or more precisely, a **lexically-scoped closure**
 - A procedure value is a pair: it combines the procedure code with the environment where the procedure was created (the contextual environment)
- Basic scheme:
 - Consider any statement $\langle s \rangle$
 - Convert it into a procedure value: $P = \text{proc } \{\$ \} \langle s \rangle \text{ end}$
 - Executing $\{P\}$ has **exactly the same effect** as executing $\langle s \rangle$

Procedural abstraction

```
fun {AndThen B1 B2}  
  if B1 then B2 else false  
  end  
end
```

Procedural abstraction

```
fun {AndThen B1 B2}  
  if {B1} then {B2} else false  
  end  
end
```

A common limitation

- Most popular imperative languages (C, Pascal) do **not** have procedure values
- They have only **half** of the pair: variables can reference procedure code, but there is no contextual environment
- This means that **control abstractions cannot be programmed** in these languages
 - They provide a predefined set of control abstractions (for, while loops, if statement)
- Generic operations are still possible
 - They can often get by with just the procedure code. The contextual environment is often empty.
- The limitation is due to **the way memory is managed** in these languages
 - Part of the store is put on the stack and deallocated when the stack is deallocated
 - This is supposed to make memory management simpler for the programmer on systems that have no garbage collection
 - It means that contextual environments cannot be created, since they would be full of dangling pointers
- Object-oriented programming languages can use objects to encode procedure values by making external references (contextual environment) instance variables.

Genericity

- Replace specific entities (zero 0 and addition +) by function arguments
- The same routine can do the sum, the product, the logical or, etc.

```
fun {SumList L}
  case L
  of nil then 0
  [] X|L2 then X+{SumList L2}
  end
end
```



```
fun {FoldR L F U}
  case L
  of nil then U
  [] X|L2 then {F X {FoldR L2 F U}}
  end
end
```


Instantiation

```
fun {FoldFactory F U}
  fun {FoldR L}
    case L
    of nil then U
    [] X|L2 then {F X {FoldR L2}}
    end
  end
in
  FoldR
end
```

- Instantiation is when a procedure returns a procedure value as its result
- Calling {FoldFactory fun {\$ A B} A+B end 0} returns a function that behaves identically to SumList, which is an « **instance** » of a folding function

Embedding

- Embedding is when procedure values are put in data structures
- Embedding has many uses:
 - **Modules**: a module is a record that groups together a set of related operations
 - **Software components**: a software component is a generic function that takes a set of modules as its arguments and returns a new module. It can be seen as **specifying** a module in terms of the modules it needs.
 - **Delayed evaluation** (also called **explicit lazy evaluation**): build just a small part of a data structure, with functions at the extremities that can be called to build more. The consumer can control explicitly how much of the data structure is built.

Exercises

18. CTM Exercise 3.10.5 (page 230)
19. Suppose you have two sorted lists. Merging is a simple method to obtain an again sorted list containing the elements from both lists. Write a Merge function that is generic with respect to the order relation.
20. Instantiate the FoldFactory to create a ProductList function to multiply all the elements of a list.
21. Create an AddFactory function that takes a list of numbers and returns a list of functions that can add by those numbers, e.g. $\{\text{AddFactory } [1\ 2]\} \Rightarrow [\text{Inc1}\ \text{Inc2}]$ where Inc1 and Inc2 are functions to increment a number by 1 and 2 respectively, e.g., $\{\text{Inc2}\ 3\} \Rightarrow 5$.
22. Implement exercises 18-21 in both Oz and Haskell.