CSCI.6962/4962 Software Verification— Fundamental Proof Methods in Computer Science (Arkoudas and Musser)—Chapter 12

Instructor: Carlos Varela
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Spring 2022

A Fast Exponentiation Algorithm

Previously: an example of *a proof about an algorithm* (binary search)

In this episode: another such proof, for an exponentiation algorithm

What's new:

- properties of exponentiation (`**`)
- a "fast" exponentiation algorithm
  - why it's fast
  - why it's correct
- and, to do the proof:
  - introducing *strong induction*
  - a few lemmas, about simple natural number functions: `half`, `even`, `odd`, `square`, `**`
  - a variant of ordinary induction (one of many possible)
- an attempt at optimization

Mathematical background

First, define the exponentiation operator, **

```
extend-module N {
  define [x y m n r] := [?x:N ?y:N ?m:N ?n:N ?r:N]
  open Times
  declare **: [N N] -> N [400 [int->nat int->nat]]
  module Power {
    assert* def := [(x ** zero = one)
                    (x ** S n = x * x ** n)]
    define [if-zero if-nonzero] := def
  } # close module Power
} # close module N
```

In conventional mathematical notation:

$$\begin{aligned} x^0 &= 1; \\ x^{n+1} &= x \cdot x^n. \end{aligned}$$

Defining a "fast" exponentiation algorithm

Using the defining equations, x^n requires $n-1$ multiplications.

It's possible to compute x^n with only $\log_2 n$ multiplications, using

$$\begin{aligned} n &= 2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor, \text{ if } n \text{ is even;} \\ n &= 2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1, \text{ if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if n is even,

$$\begin{aligned} x^n &= x^{2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \\ &= (x^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor})^2, \end{aligned}$$

and if n is odd,

$$\begin{aligned} x^n &= x^{2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1} \\ &= x^{2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \cdot x \\ &= (x^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor})^2 \cdot x. \end{aligned}$$

The algorithm

$$x^n = \begin{cases} (x^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor})^2 & \text{where } n \text{ is even;} \\ (x^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor})^2 \cdot x & \text{where } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Using this formula recursively and grounding it with the $n = 0$ case:

```
extend-module N {
 declare fast-power: [N N] -> N [[int->nat int->nat]]
 module fast-power {
  assert def :=
    (fun
      [(fast-power x n) =
        [one                                  when (n = zero)
         (square (fast-power x half n))       when (n =/= zero & even n)
         ((square (fast-power x half n)) * x) when (n =/= zero & ~ even n)]])
  define [if-zero nonzero-even nonzero-odd] := def
 } # close module fast-power
} # close module N
```

Strong induction

Proving that `(fast-power` x n`)` $= x^n$ is most readily done using "strong induction."

Principle .1: Strong Induction for Natural Numbers

To prove $\forall\, n\, .\, P(n)$ where n ranges over the natural numbers, it suffices to prove:

$$\forall\, n\, .\, [\forall\, k\, .\, k < n \Rightarrow P(k)] \;\Rightarrow\; P(n).$$

The assumption $[\forall\, k\, .\, k < n \Rightarrow P(k)]$ is called the *strong induction hypothesis*.

The strong induction hypothesis assumes $P(k)$ for *all* preceding values $k = 0, \ldots, n-1$.

Just what is needed for proofs about recurrence relations that recur back to one or more values other than $n-1$.

Understanding strong induction

- Why is there no basis case?
- Does it have to be that “strong”?
- Is it really “stronger” than ordinary induction?

Why do a formal proof about fast-power?

- Check the details rigorously.
- Develop new tools: lemmas about basic mathematical functions.
- "Warm-up" for proof about a more subtle exponentiation algorithm — see Section 15.2 of the textbook.
- Practice with logic principles, including new "strong induction."

Properties of half

```
extend-module N {
  declare half: [N] -> N [[int->nat]]
  module half {
    assert* def :=
      [(half zero = zero)
       (half S zero = zero)
       (half S S n = S half n)]
    define [if-zero if-one nonzero-nonone] := def
```

Here are a couple of simple properties of `half` that we will need:

```
    define double    := (forall n . half (n + n) = n)
```

```
    define times-two := (forall n . half (two * n) = n)
```

Another variant of induction

Principle .2: Induction for Natural Numbers — Variant

To prove $\forall\, n\, .\, P(n)$ where n ranges over the natural numbers, it suffices to prove:

1. *First Basis Case*: $P(0)$.
2. *Second Basis Case*: $P(1)$.
3. *Induction Step*: $\forall\, n\, .\, P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+2)$.

Why it works

If we visualize the original induction formulation like this:



our variant can correspondingly be visualized:



In Athena, this "two-step" variant requires no new machinery. `by-induction` is sufficient: it allows subcasing of the proof in any way that exhausts the entire set of natural numbers.

Proof of `(forall n . half (n + n) = n)`

```
by-induction double {
  zero => (!chain [(half (zero + zero))
                --> (half zero)          [Plus.right-zero]
                --> zero                 [if-zero]])
| (S zero) =>
    (!chain [(half (S zero + S zero))
          --> (half S (S zero + zero))   [Plus.right-nonzero]
          --> (half S S (zero + zero))   [Plus.left-nonzero]
          --> (half S S zero)            [Plus.right-zero]
          --> (S half zero)              [nonzero-nonone]
          --> (S zero)                   [if-zero]])
| (S (S m)) =>
    let {IH := (half (m + m) = m)}
      (!chain
        [(half (S S m + S S m))
     --> (half S (S S m + S m))          [Plus.right-nonzero]
     ...
     --> (S S m)                         [IH]])
}
```

Proof of `half.times-two := (forall n . half (two * n) = n)`

```
conclude times-two
  pick-any x
    (!chain [(half (two * x))
         --> (half (x + x))    [Times.two-times]
         --> x                 [double]])
```

Exercises in the textbook prove other simple properties of `half`:

```
define twice := (forall x . two * half S S x = S S (two * half x))
define two-plus := (forall x y . half (two * x + y) = x + half y)
```

and the textbook contains proofs of ordering properties:

```
define less-S := (forall n . half n < S n)
define less :=   (forall n . n =/= zero ==> half n < n)
```

Properties of odd and even

```
extend-module N {
  declare even, odd: [N] -> Boolean [[int->nat]]
  module EO {
    assert* even-definition := [(even x <==> two * half x = x)]
    assert* odd-definition :=  [(odd  x <==> two * (half x) + one = x)]
```

Some lemmas:

```
    define even-zero := (even zero)
    define odd-one := (odd S zero)
    define even-S-S := (forall n . even S S n <==> even n)
    define odd-S-S := (forall n . odd S S n <==> odd n)
    define odd-if-not-even  := (forall n . ~ even n ==> odd n)
    define not-odd-if-even := (forall n . even n ==> ~ odd n)
    define even-iff-not-odd := (forall n . even n <==> ~ odd n)
    define not-even-if-odd := (forall n . odd n ==> ~ even n)
    ...
    define even-square := (forall n . even n <==> even square n)
} # close module EO
```

Proof of (forall n . ~ even n ==> odd n)

```
by-induction odd-if-not-even {
  zero => (!chain [(~ even zero)
                ==> (even zero & ~ even zero)     [augment]
                ==> (odd zero)                    [prop-taut]])
| (S zero) =>
    assume (~ even S zero)
      (!chain<-
        [(odd S zero)
     <== (two * (half S zero) + one = S zero)     [odd-definition]
     <== (S (two * half S zero) = S zero)         [Plus.right-one]
     <== (S (two * zero)        = S zero)         [half.if-one]
     <== (S zero                = S zero)         [Times.right-zero]])
| (S (S m)) =>
    let {IH := (~ even m ==> odd m)}
      (!chain [(~ even S S m)
           ==> (~ even m)                         [even-S-S]
           ==> (odd m)                            [IH]
           ==> (odd S S m)                        [odd-S-S]])
}
```

Proof of `(forall x . even x <==> even square x)`

More challenging (a starred exercise). Does not require induction; it can be done with equation chaining and proof by contradiction.

```
extend-module E0 {
 conclude even-square
  pick-any x
   let {right := assume (even x)
                     conclude (even square x)
                       ...
        left := assume (even square x)
                    (!by-contradiction (even x)
                     assume hyp := (~ even x)
                      ... A := conclude (two * (half square x) + one = square x)
                                  ...
                      (!absurd
                       (!chain-> [A ==> (odd square x) [odd-definition]])
                       (!chain-> [(even square x)
                              ==> (~ odd square x) [not-odd-if-even]]))))}
     (!equiv right left)
} # close module E0
```

Properties of **

```
extend-module Power {
  define Plus-case   := (forall m n x . x ** (m + n) = x ** m * x ** n)
  define left-one    := (forall n . one ** n = one)
  define right-one   := (forall n . n ** one = n)
  define right-two   := (forall n . n ** two = n * n)
  define left-times  := (forall n x y . (x * y) ** n = x ** n * y ** n)
  define right-times := (forall m n x . x ** (m * n) = (x ** m) ** n)
  define two-case    := (forall n . square n = n ** two)
} # close module Power
```

Correctness property of fast-power

```
declare fast-power: [N N] -> N [[int->nat int->nat]]
module fast-power {
  assert axioms :=
    (fun
      [(fast-power x n) =
        [one                                  when (n = zero)
         (square (fast-power x half n))       when (n =/= zero & even n)
         ((square (fast-power x half n)) * x) when (n =/= zero & ~ even n)]])

  define [if-zero nonzero-even nonzero-odd] := axioms

  define correctness := (forall n x . (fast-power x n) = x ** n)
```

Proof of correctness

We use strong induction.

Available in Athena as a binary method `strong-induction.principle` that takes the following arguments:

1. The sentence p that we are seeking to derive.
2. A unary method M that derives the strong induction step of the proof.

Given these two arguments, an application of `strong-induction.principle` will derive p.

Proof of correctness

```
define [^ sq hf] := [fast-power square half]
define step :=
 method (n)
   assume ind-hyp := (forall m . m < n ==> forall x . x ^ m = x ** m)
    conclude (forall x . x ^ n = x ** n)
      pick-any x
        (!two-cases
          assume (n = zero)
            (!chain [(x ^ n)
                 --> one                          [if-zero]
                 <-- (x ** zero)                  [Power.if-zero]
                 <-- (x ** n)                     [(n = zero)]])
          assume (n =/= zero)
            ...)
```

Proof of correctness, continued

```
assume (n =/= zero)
  let {p1 :=
        conclude p := (forall x . x ^ hf n = x ** hf n)
                (!chain-> [(n =/= zero)
                          ==> (hf n < n)   [half.less]
                          ==> p            [ind-hyp]]);
       p2 := conclude (sq (x ^ hf n) = x ** (two * hf n))
        (!chain
          [(sq (x ^ hf n))
         --> (sq (x ** hf n))              [p1]
         --> ((x ** hf n) *
              (x ** hf n))                 [square.def]
         <-- (x ** ((hf n) + hf n))        [Power.Plus-case]
         <-- (x ** (two * hf n))           [Times.two-times]])}
    (!two-cases
      assume (even n)
        ...
      assume (~ even n)
        ...)
```

Proof of correctness, continued

```
(!two-cases
  assume (even n)
    (!chain
      [(x ^ n)
     --> (sq (x ^ hf n))     [nonzero-even]
     --> (x ** (two * hf n)) [p2]
     --> (x ** n)            [EO.even-definition]])
  assume (~ even n)
    let {_ := (!chain-> [(~ even n) ==> (odd n)
                          [EO.odd-if-not-even]])}
      (!chain
        [(x ^ n)
       --> ((sq (x ^ hf n)) * x)       [nonzero-odd]
       --> ((x ** (two * hf n)) * x)   [p2]
       <-- ((x ** (two * hf n)) *
            (x ** one))                [Power.right-one]
       <-- (x ** ((two * hf n) + one)) [Power.Plus-case]
       --> (x ** n)              [EO.odd-definition]]))
```

Proof of correctness, continued

With the `step` method thus defined, the proof is completed with:

```
(!strong-induction.principle correctness step)
```

Or, we could write the whole proof as an application of `strong-induction.principle` with the step method defined inline:

```
(!strong-induction.principle correctness
  method (n)
    ... body of the above step method
)
```

A potential optimization, using tail-recursion

```
extend-module N {
 declare fast-power-accumulate: [N N N] -> N [[int->nat int->nat int->nat]]
 module fast-power-accumulate {
  define fpa := fast-power-accumulate
  assert axioms :=
    (fun
      [(fpa r x n) =
        [r                                when (n = zero)
         (fpa r (x * x) (half n))         when (n =/= zero & even n)
         (fpa (r * x) (x * x) (half n))   when (n =/= zero & ~ even n)]])
  define [if-zero nonzero-even nonzero-odd] := axioms
  define correctness := (forall n r x . (fpa r x n) = r * x ** n)
 } # close module fast-power-accumulate
} # close module N
```

If we still want an exponentiation function with the same two-argument interface as before:

```
extend-module N {
 extend-module fast-power {
   define fpa := fast-power-accumulate
   assert* definition := [((fast-power x n) = (fpa one x n))]
 } # close module fast-power
} # close module N
```

Is it really an optimization?

The definition of `fast-power-accumulate` is tail-recursive, and therefore is equivalent to a loop.

- Does that make it necessarily more efficient than the original embedded-recursion version?
- Perhaps surprisingly, and unfortunately, the answer is no.
- It can be *less efficient* in some cases.
- See Section 12.7 of the textbook for an explanation.
- See Section 15.2 for a true optimization (and a generalization).

Recap

- New algorithm correctness proof example, `fast-power`
- New induction principle: strong induction
- New "two-step" variant of ordinary induction
- Building up library of axioms and lemmas for natural number functions: `half`, `even`, `odd`, `square`, `**`
- An attempt at optimization . . .