













- Readings for Today
- Flow Simulation Discussion
- Velocity Interpolation Worksheet
- Rigid Body Dynamics
- Collision Response
- Non-Rigid, Deformable Objects
- Finite Element Method
- Papers for Tuesday



## **Optional Reading**



"Coupling Water and Smoke to Thin Deformable and Rigid Shells", Guendelman, Selle, Losasso, & Fedkiw, SIGGRAPH 2005.



- Readings for Today
- Flow Simulation Discussion
- Velocity Interpolation Worksheet
- Rigid Body Dynamics
- Collision Response
- Non-Rigid, Deformable Objects
- Finite Element Method
- Papers for Tuesday



Volume-of-fluid tracking



Marker and Cell (MAC)



 Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH)

## **Comparing Representations**

- How do we render the resulting surface?
- Are we guaranteed not to lose mass/volume? (is the simulation incompressible?)
- How is each affected by the grid resolution and timestep?
- Can we guarantee stability?



## Marker and Cell (MAC)

- Harlow & Welch, "Numerical calculation of time-dependent viscous incompressible flow of fluid with free surface", *The Physics of Fluids*, 1965.
- Volume marker particles identify location of fluid within the volume
- (Optional) *surface marker particles* track the detailed shape of the fluid/air boundary
- But... marker particles don't have or represent a mass/volume of fluid
- + rendering
- does not preserve volume
- dependent on grid resolution



# Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) Each particle represents a specific mass of fluid "Meshless" (no voxel grid) Repulsive forces between neighboring particles maintain constant volume no grid resolution concerns (now accuracy depends on number/size of particles) volume is preserved\* render similar to Marker and Cell (MAC) much more expensive (particle-particle interactions) Note: Usually a spatial data structure (grid!) is added to reduce the number of particle-particle comparisons!

- Identify which cells are Empty, Full, or on the Surface
- Compute new velocities
- Adjust the velocities to maintain an incompressible flow
- Move the particles

   Interpolate the velocities at the faces
- Render the geometry and repeat!



- Identify which cells are Empty, Full, or on the Surface
- Compute new velocities
- Adjust the velocities to maintain an incompressible flow
- Move the particles

   Interpolate the velocities at the faces
- Render the geometry and repeat!

## **Compute New Velocities**

$$\begin{split} \tilde{u}_{i+1/2,j,k} &= u_{i+1/2,j,k} + \delta t \{ (1/\delta x) [(u_{i,j,k})^2 - (u_{i+1,j,k})^2] \\ &+ (1/\delta y) [(uv)_{i+1/2,j-1/2,k} - (uv)_{i+1/2,j+1/2,k}] \\ &+ (1/\delta z) [(uw)_{i+1/2,j,k-1/2} - (uw)_{i+1/2,j,k+1/2}] + g_x \\ &+ (1/\delta x) (p_{i,j,k} - p_{i+1,j,k}) + (\nu/\delta x^2) (u_{i+3/2,j,k} \\ &- 2u_{i+1/2,j,k} + u_{i-1/2,j,k}) + (\nu/\delta y^2) (u_{i+1/2,j+1,k} \\ &- 2u_{i+1/2,j,k} + u_{i+1/2,j-1,k}) + (\nu/\delta z^2) (u_{i+1/2,j,k+1} \\ &- 2u_{i+1/2,j,k} + u_{i+1/2,j,k-1}) \}, \end{split}$$

Note: some of these values are the *average velocity* within the cell rather than the velocity at a cell face

- Identify which cells are Empty, Full, or on the Surface
- Compute new velocities
- Adjust the velocities to maintain an incompressible flow
- Move the particles

   Interpolate the velocities at the faces
- Render the geometry and repeat!





## Handing Free Surface with MAC

- Divergence in surface cells:
  - Is divided equally amongst neighboring empty cells
  - Or other similar strategies?
- Zero out the divergence & pressure in empty cells



- Identify which cells are Empty, Full, or on the Surface
- Compute new velocities
- Adjust the velocities to maintain an incompressible flow
- Move the particles

   Interpolate the velocities at the faces
- Render the geometry and repeat!

- Readings for Today
- Flow Simulation Discussion
- Velocity Interpolation Worksheet
- Rigid Body Dynamics
- Collision Response
- Non-Rigid, Deformable Objects
- Finite Element Method
- Papers for Tuesday



## **Correct Velocity Interpolation**

• NOTE: The complete implementation isn't particularly elegant... Storing velocities at face midpoints (req'd for conservation of mass) makes the index math messy!



No interpolation (just use the left/bottom face velocity) Note the discontinuities in velocity at cell boundaries



Correct Interpolation Note that the velocity perpendicular to the outer box is zero



Buggy Interpolation Note the clumping particles, and the discontinuities at some of the cell borders (& particles might escape the box!)



## **Bilinear Interpolation**

- It might be simplest to think about one axis at a time
- It doesn't matter which axis you start with!
- Calculate *u*, the fraction of the distance along the horizontal axis, e.g., *u*=0.65
- Then calculate the top & bottom averages: orange = (1-u)\*red + u\*yellow bluegreen = (1-u)\*cyan + u\*green
- Calculate *v*, the fraction of the distance along the vertical axis, e.g., *v*=0.6
- Then calculate the final average: *pukegreen = (1-v)\*bluegreen + v\*orange*





- Readings for Today
- Flow Simulation Discussion
- Velocity Interpolation Worksheet
- Rigid Body Dynamics
- Collision Response
- Non-Rigid, Deformable Objects
- Finite Element Method
- Papers for Tuesday





# Energy & Rigid Body Collisions

- Total Energy = Kinetic Energy + Potential Energy + Rotational Energy
- Total Energy stays constant if there is no damping and no friction
- Rotational Energy is constant between collisions



- Readings for Today
- Flow Simulation Discussion
- Velocity Interpolation Worksheet
- Rigid Body Dynamics
- Collision Response
- Non-Rigid, Deformable Objects
- Finite Element Method
- Papers for Tuesday

## Collisions

- Detection
- Response
- Overshooting problem (when we enter the solid)

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_5.jpeg)

## **Collisions - Overshooting**

- Usually, we detect collision when it's too late: we're already inside
- Solutions: back up
  - Compute intersection point
  - Compute response there
  - Advance for remaining fractional time step
- Other solution: Quick and dirty fixup
  - Just project back to object closest point

![](_page_19_Figure_8.jpeg)

#### **Collision Between Two Objects**

 Suppose a vertex on body A is colliding into an edge of body B at point P. Define the following variables:

![](_page_19_Figure_11.jpeg)

vectors involved in collision

 $m_a, m_b = mass of bodies A, B$   $\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{ap} = distance vector from center of mass of body A to point P$  $<math>\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{bp} = distance vector from center of mass of body B to point P$  $<math>\omega_{a1}, \omega_{b1} = initial \text{ pre-collision angular velocity of bodies A, B}$   $\omega_{a2}, \omega_{b2} = final \text{ post-collision angular velocity of bodies A, B}$   $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{a1}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}_{b1} = initial \text{ pre-collision velocities of center of mass bodies A, B}$   $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{a2}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}_{b2} = final \text{ post-collision velocities of center of mass bodies A, B}$   $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{ap1} = initial \text{ pre-collision velocity of impact point on body A}$   $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{bp1} = initial \text{ pre-collision velocity of impact point on body B}$   $\bar{\mathbf{n}} = \text{ normal (perpendicular) vector to edge of body B}$ e = elasticity (0 = inelastic, 1 = perfectly elastic)

http://www.myphysicslab.com/collision.html

## Center of Mass & Moment of Inertia

- Center of Mass: mean location of all mass in the system
- Moment of Inertia: a measure of an object's resistance to changes to its rotation
- If a solid cylinder & a hollow tube have the ame radius & the same mass, which will reach the bottom of the ramp first?

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

http://solomon.physics.sc.edu/~tedeschi/demo/demo12.html http://hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu.edu/hbase/hoocyl2.html

## **Rigid Body Dynamics**

- Physics
  - Velocity
  - Acceleration
  - Angular
     Momentum
- Collisions
- Friction

![](_page_20_Picture_13.jpeg)

from: Darren Lewis http://www-cs-students.stanford.edu/~dalewis/cs448a/rigidbody.html

## **Advanced Collisions**

- What about friction?
- What if the contact between two objects is not a single point?
- What if more than two objects collide simultaneously?

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

Guendelman, Bridson & Fedkiw Nonconvex Rigid Bodies with Stacking SIGGRAPH 2003

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

- Readings for Today
- Flow Simulation Discussion
- Velocity Interpolation Worksheet
- Rigid Body Dynamics
- Collision Response
- Non-Rigid, Deformable Objects
- Finite Element Method
- Papers for Tuesday

![](_page_22_Picture_9.jpeg)

# Simulation of Non-Rigid Objects

- We modeled string & cloth using mass-spring systems. Can we do the same?
- Yes...
- But a more physically accurate model uses *volumetric elements:*

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

- Readings for Today
- Flow Simulation Discussion
- Velocity Interpolation Worksheet
- Rigid Body Dynamics
- Collision Response
- Non-Rigid, Deformable Objects
- Finite Element Method
- Papers for Tuesday

## Readings for Tuesday... (pick one)

• James O'Brien & Jessica Hodgins "Graphical Modeling and Animation of Brittle Fracture" SIGGRAPH 1999.

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Fracture threshhold
- Remeshing
  - need connectivity info!
- Material properties
- Parameter tuning

#### Readings for Tuesday... (pick one)

![](_page_25_Picture_9.jpeg)

"Robust eXtended Finite Elements for Complex Cutting of Deformables", Koschier, Bender, & Thuerey, SIGGRAPH 2017

## Readings for Tuesday... (pick one)

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

"Multi-species simulation of porous sand and water mixtures", Pradhana, Gast, Klar, Fu, Teran, Jiang, and Museth, SIGGRAPH 2017.