## Color



Elspeth McLean

## Today's Class

- Announcements: Quiz \& Final Projects
- Readings for Today
- What is Color?
- Human Perception
- Color Blindness \& Metamerism
- Color Spaces
- LMS, RGB, XYZ, HSV, L*a*b*, ....
- Projection in Spatially Augmented Reality


## Final Presentation Schedule

Tue Apr 18th
2:00 team of 2
2:18 team of 2
2:36 team of 2
2:54 individual
3:04 team of 2
3:22 team of 2
3:40 team of 2
3:58 done!

Fri Apr 21st
2:00 team of 2
2:18 team of 2
2:36 team of 2
2:54 individual
3:04 team of 2
3:22 team of 2
3:40 team of 2
3:58 done!

Tue Apr 25th
2:00 team of 2
2:18 team of 2
2:36 team of 2
2:54 individual
3:04 team of 2
3:22 team of 2
3:40 team of 2
3:58 done!

## Final Presentation

- Summarize prior work as necessary
- You don't need to discuss papers we covered in class
- Be technical:
- What were the challenges?
- How did you solve them?
- Live demo / video / lots of images (depends on project)
- Use plenty of examples (both of success \& failure)
- Teams of 2:
- Both should present \& make it clear who did what
- Use your time wisely! Practice! \& time yourself!
- I will stop you mid-sentence if you run over


## Well-written Research Paper / Report

- Motivation/context/related work
- Accomplishments / contributions of this work
- Clear description of algorithm
- Sufficiently-detailed to allow work to be reproduced
- Work is theoretically sound (hacks/arbitrary constants discouraged, but must be documented)
- Results
- well chosen examples
- clear tables/illustrations/visualizations
- with descriptive captions!
- Conclusions \& Potential Future Work
- limitations of the method are clearly stated
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## Reading for Today:

"Flash Photography Enhancement via Intrinsic Relighting", Eisemann \& Durand, SIGGRAPH 2004

no flash
warm ambiance, noisy

flash
flat lighting

combined result: original lighting, denoised

## Reading for Today:

"Real-Time User-Guided Image Colorization with Learned Deep Priors", Zhang, Zhu, Isola, Geng, Lin, Yu, and Efros, SIGGRAPH 2017


Suggested colors

## Reading for Today:

"ColorBrewer.org: An Online Tool for Selecting Colour Schemes for Maps", Harrower \& Brewer, The Cartographic Journal, 2003.


## Choropleth map: statistics per area must be careful about normalization

Total Population of 2000 Census Block Groups Population Density of 2000 Census Block Groups

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Choropleth_map\#/media/File:Choropleth-density.png

Choose a scheme appropriate for:

- Sequential
- Qualitative
- Diverging



## Emergency Response Decision Making
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## What is Color?



## What is Color?

Neon Lamp


Illuminant F1
(one of the CIE standards for fluorescent lighting)


## What color is the dress?



What does the viewer infer about the scene illumination?


Blue \& Black under yellow-tinted illumination?
White \& Gold under blue tinted illumination?

## What is Color?




## Cones do not "See" Colors

- Different wavelength, different intensity
- May have same response to a single cone


Dim green
Cone G: 0.25

Bright cyan
Cone G: 0.25

## Response Comparison

- Different wavelength, different intensity
- Will have different responses for different cones


Dim green Cone R: 0.20 Cone G: 0.25
Cone B: 0.01
Bright cyan
Cone R: 0.20
Cone G: 0.25
Cone B: 0.25

## Color Blindness

- Classical case: 1 type of cone is missing (e.g. red)
- Now Project onto lower-dim space (2D)
- Makes it impossible to distinguish some spectra




## Ishihara Color Blindness Test


http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ Ishihara_color_test

- Deuteranopia: missing green cone
- Protanopia: missing red cone
- Tritanopa: missing blue cone (rare)



## Metamerism: Apparent Matching

- When two materials look the same under one lighting condition (a coincidence), but look different under another:

http://gusgsm.com/metamerismo
- Remember that different spectral distribution of input light yield different visual stimuli
- We all experience some color blindness


## Tetrachromacy: 4 Cones?!

Often it is only a slight mutation of the red or green cone (left diagram), and thus not be easily detectable by a vision test or enable enhanced color vision.


## Glasses to "correct" Colorblindness?



- Enchroma is NOT a cure for color blindness.
- Results vary depending on the type and extent of color vision deficiency.
- Enchroma does not endorse use of the glasses to pass occupational screening tests such as the Ishihara test.
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## Standard Color Spaces

- Colorimetry: Science of color measurement
- Quantitative measurements of colors are crucial in many industries
- Television, computers, print, paint, luminaires
- Naive digital work uses a vague notion of RGB
- Unfortunately, RGB is not precisely defined, and depending on your monitor, you might get something different
- We need a principled color space...


## CIE Color Matching Experiments

Figure 1-10
Tristimulus experiment

observer


## CIE XYZ Color Space

- Can think of $X, Y, Z$ as coordinates
- Linear transform from typical LMS or RGB
- Note that many points in XYZ do not correspond to visible colors!

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
R \\
G \\
B
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
3.24 & -1.54 & -0.50 \\
-0.97 & 1.88 & 0.04 \\
0.06 & -0.20 & 1.06
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
X \\
Y \\
Z
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
X \\
Y \\
Z
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0.41 & 0.36 & 0.18 \\
0.21 & 0.72 & 0.07 \\
0.02 & 0.12 & 0.95
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
R \\
G \\
B
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$



## Hering 1874: Opponent Colors

- Hypothesis of 3 types of receptors: Red/Green, Blue/Yellow, Black/White
- Explains well several visual phenomena


Red/Green Receptors


Blue/Yellow Receptors


Black/White Receptors

## Hue Saturation Value (HSV)

- Value: from black to white
- Hue: dominant color (red, orange, etc)
- Saturation: from gray to vivid color




## Color Opponents "Wiring"

- Sums for bright
- Differences for color oppor
- It's just a $3 \times 3$ matrix to convert HSV from/to LMS, RGB, or XYZ

First zone (or stage):
layer of retina with three independent types of cones

Second zone (or stage): signals from cones either excite or inhibit second layer of neurons, producing opponent signals


## Linear Color Spaces: RGB/XYZ/YPbPr

- Equal steps in linear color spaces do not correspond to equal differences for human perception
- MacAdam ellipses visualize the lack of perceptual uniformity [MacAdam 1942]

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
X \\
Y \\
Z
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0.4124 & 0.3576 & 0.1805 \\
0.2126 & 0.7152 & 0.0722 \\
0.0193 & 0.1192 & 0.9505
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
R_{\text {linear }} \\
G_{\text {linear }} \\
B_{\text {linear }}
\end{array}\right]
$$



## Today's Class

- Announcements: Quiz \& Final Projects
- Readings for Today
- What is Color?
- Human Perception
- Color Blindness \& Metamerism
- Color Spaces
- LMS, RGB, XYZ, HSV, L*a*b*, ....
- Projection in Spatially Augmented Reality


## Spatially Augmented Reality (SAR) Projection



## Tangible Interface for Architectural Design



Exterior \& interior walls
Tokens for:

- Windows
- Wall/floor colors
- North arrow


Overhead camera


Projection geometry


Inferred design

## Motivation:



## Can we do a better job reproducing the desired appearance?

## Related Work: Radiometric Compensation

- Minimize artifacts caused by light modulation with local surface [Bimber et al. 2005; Nayar et al. 2003; Grundhöffer \& Bimber 2008]
- Does not consider global light inter-reflection


Grundhöffer \& Bimber 2008

## Our Problem Statement

- Known scene geometry
- Known surface reflectances, all ideal diffuse
- Fixed, calibrated projectors
- Given:

Desired target surface appearance (texture) for each physical surface

- Solve for:

Projection texture for each physical surface that most faithfully reproduces the desired appearance

## Related Work: Reverse Radiosity

Forward lighting with radiosity

$$
\underset{\substack{\text { values for } \\
\text { rendering }}}{\qquad \text { form factor }} \begin{aligned}
& \text { matrix }
\end{aligned} \quad \text { direct }
$$

Inverse lighting with radiosity: Reverse Radiosity (RR)

- [Bimber et al. 2006]
$\underset{\text { projection values }}{E=(I-F) B}$




## L*a*b*: a perceptual color space

Designed to match human color perception data

$$
\begin{aligned}
\begin{array}{r}
\text { intensity } \\
\text { red-green } \\
\text { yelow-blue }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}\left[\begin{array}{l}
L \\
a \\
b
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
116 h\left(\frac{Y}{Y_{n}}\right)-16 \\
500\left(h\left(\frac{X}{X_{n}}\right)-h\left(\frac{Y}{Y_{n}}\right)\right) \\
200\left(h\left(\frac{Y}{Y_{n}}\right)-h\left(\frac{Z}{Z_{n}}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{ll}
h(t) & = \begin{cases}t^{\frac{1}{3}} & t>(6 / 29)^{3} \\
\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{29}{6}\right)^{2} t+\frac{4}{29} & \text { Otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{array}
$$

L*a*b* $^{*}$ is nonlinear, a challenge for optimization

## Quantitative Perceptual Comparison

$$
\Delta E=\sqrt{\left(L_{1}-L_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

- Where $2.3 \Delta E=\mathrm{JND}$ (just noticeable difference)
- The MacAdams ellipses are more equal size circles in $L^{*} a^{*} b^{*}$



## Our Optimization Formulation

Absolute Error:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { desired appearance } \downarrow \text { projection result } \\
& \phi_{a b s}=\frac{\sum_{i} A_{i}\left[\left(L_{i}-L_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{i}-a_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{i}-b_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]}{A_{\text {avg }}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Spatial Error:


$$
\phi_{s p t}=\sum_{(i, j) \in n b d}\left[\left(L_{i}-L_{j}\right)-\left(L_{i}^{\prime}-L_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2}+\left[\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)-\left(a_{i}^{\prime}-a_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2}
$$

$$
+\left[\left(b_{i}-b_{j}\right)-\left(b_{i}^{\prime}-b_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2}
$$

gradient in
desired appearance
gradient in projection result

Complete Objective Function: $\phi=\alpha \phi_{a b s}+(1-\alpha) \phi_{s p t}$ Box constraints: We use $\alpha=0.9$
minimum \& maximum brightness of projector system



