


Uncertainty Part II: Node-Edge Graphs & Terrain

Today

- “Representing Uncertainty in Graph Edges: An Evaluation of Paired Visual Variables”
 - Visual Variables (originally from Bertin)
- “Visualizing Uncertain Information”, MacEachren, Cartographic Perspectives, 1992
 - "Quantitative Texton Sequences for Legible Bivariate Maps"
- “Algorithm and implementation uncertainty in viewshed analysis”, Peter Fisher, J. of Geographical Information Science, 1993.
 - Prof Franklin’s work on Observer Siting
- Homework 7
- Readings for Next Time
- Institutional Review Board (IRB)
- Visual.ly's Code of Ethics for Data Visualization Professionals

Reading for Today

- “Representing Uncertainty in Graph Edges: An Evaluation of Paired Visual Variables”
Guo, Huang, and Laidlaw, IEEE TCVG 2015



Bertin's Original Visual Variables	
Position changes in the x, y location	
Size change in length, area or repetition	
Shape infinite number of shapes	
Value changes from light to dark	
Colour changes in hue at a given value	
Orientation changes in alignment	
Texture variation in 'grain'	

Table 1: These are Bertin's visual variables

- Visual Variables: color based focus based geometry
 - J. Bertin [1967/1983]
 - location/position, size/width, color hue, color value/brightness, grain, orientation, and shape
 - J. L. Morrison [1974]
 - Color saturation, arrangement
 - Cleveland & McGill [1984]
 - Angle, volume curvature
 - A. M. MacEachren [1992]
 - Focus/fuzziness, resolution, transparency
 - M. Carpendale [2003]
 - Motion, depth, occlusion

- Encode “strength” (placeholder data)
 - Width, hue, or saturation
- Encode uncertainty using a visual variable
 - Lightness, fuzziness, grain, or transparency




Fig. 1: An illustration of how each of the seven visual variables progress with increasing data value.

- Their conclusions only apply to line based marks

- Which visual variables are most salient?
Are most discriminable?
- Disassociativity of each pair of visual variables:
Can you differentiate changes in one variable while ignoring changes in another variable?
- Are some visual variables more appropriate (more natural/intuitive?) for certain data?
- Evaluate by studying response time, accuracy
- How much can we (should we?) pack into a single visualization?
- Tangent: Are we good at multitasking?

- Random graphs
- Each edge one of 5 values for “strength” and “uncertainty”
- Locate one edge of a specific value (max or min) of strength or uncertainty that must be identified (or determined to be missing)
 - “find extremum”
 - “retrieve value”
 - “visual search”
- Which graph has overall higher strength or uncertainty?
 - “characterize distribution
 - “identification-comparison”
 - “visual aggregation”
- Varied the relative discriminability of the two variables
 - Perception of the weaker one is better when they are more similar


- Large number of hypotheses
- 20 participants, 1 hour each, 5760 trials
 - Short teaching/training session with feedback on correctness
 - (personally don't want to have to administer a user study!)
- Provide explicit design recommendations, useful reference
- Not surprised that lightness interferes with hue and width confused with fuzziness; Surprised that grain performed well
- Well written
 - clearly state hypotheses, justified their conclusions well
 - I could recreate the results from this paper
 - “open questions” instead of future work
- How would the results be different with people with visual training (not novices)?
- How would the results be different for colorblind users?
- Would have liked to see a real-world example of this graph style. And specifically high density graphs (requires thin edges).

Today

- “Representing Uncertainty in Graph Edges: An Evaluation of Paired Visual Variables”
 - Visual Variables (originally from Bertin)
- “Visualizing Uncertain Information”, MacEachren, Cartographic Perspectives, 1992
 - "Quantitative Texton Sequences for Legible Bivariate Maps"
- “Algorithm and implementation uncertainty in viewshed analysis”, Peter Fisher, J. of Geographical Information Science, 1993.
 - Prof Franklin's work on Observer Siting
- Homework 7
- Readings for Next Time
- Institutional Review Board (IRB)
- Visual.ly's Code of Ethics for Data Visualization Professionals

Reading for Today






“Visualizing Uncertain Information”, MacEachren,
Cartographic Perspectives, 1992



- GIS=Geographic Information System
- Are maps & computers infallible? Less fallible than humans?
- Data “quality” (when referring to error)? Better term is “uncertainty”?
 - Incomplete (census response rate),
 - attribute inaccuracy (misunderstood survey question or deliberate misinformation),
 - spatial inaccuracy (typos introduced by census taker),
 - temporal uncertainty
- What is the importance of uncertainty relative to map data?
- “...few GIS users are trained in cartographic symbolization & design...”
- Proposals for map uncertainty:
 - Contour crispness, fill clarity, fog, resolution or Dynamic/Animation (e.g., blinking)
- User Interface: Map pairs, sequential presentation, bivariate maps
- Evaluation/Experiments needed to confirm prior work and test hypotheses of proposed changes
 - Type I Visualization Error: tendency to see patterns that do not exist
 - Type II Visualization Error: failure to notice patterns or relationships

Related Reading

- Colin Ware, "Quantitative Texton Sequences for Legible Bivariate Maps," *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 2009.



Today

- “Representing Uncertainty in Graph Edges: An Evaluation of Paired Visual Variables”
 - Visual Variables (originally from Bertin)
- “Visualizing Uncertain Information”, MacEachren, Cartographic Perspectives, 1992
 - "Quantitative Texton Sequences for Legible Bivariate Maps"
- “Algorithm and implementation uncertainty in viewshed analysis”, Peter Fisher, J. of Geographical Information Science, 1993.
 - Prof Franklin’s work on Observer Siting
- Homework 7
- Readings for Next Time
- Institutional Review Board (IRB)
- Visual.ly's Code of Ethics for Data Visualization Professionals

Reading for Today

“Algorithm and implementation uncertainty in viewshed analysis”, Peter Fisher, J. of Geographical Information Science, 1993.






Figure 3. The pattern of grid cells included in viewsheds derived from alternative methods of inferring elevations.

- Software quality & different/inconsistent outcomes from different GIS products
- Simple computation/algorithm (calculate slope) vs. more complex algorithms (calculate drainage network, representation conversion raster/grid->vector/mesh)
- Viewshed challenges:
 - Vegetation
 - Earth curvature
 - Small errors can result in large changes
 - “Ground truth” comparison not possible
- How to compute line of sight?
 - Bilinear interpolation
 - Convert to triangle mesh (but which diagonal?)
 - Subgrid w/ interpolation (is this the same as bilinear?)
 - Stepped (constant height within each cell), a.k.a. nearest neighbor
 - Point-to-point, point-to-cell, cell-to-point, cell-to-cell?
- Rounding errors in the geometry computation can yield inconsistent outcomes with what should be geometrically identical comparisons

“Siting Observers on Terrain”

Wm Randolph Franklin, RPI ECSE







Classic terrain
height visualization



Red = higher
elevations
blue = lower
elevations

Observers have a specified maximum straight line sight distance
Some observer placements see more (black)
Some are occluded





- Let's place "observers" (e.g., cell phone towers) on a complex terrain
 - Where should they be placed to maximize coverage?
 - What if the observers need to see each other?
(form a connected network for communication)
 - How much error is introduced because of the original sensor measurements (discrete sample points might miss significant ridges or valleys)?
 - How much error is introduced if the dataset is compressed for storage or transmission and then lossily reconstructed?
 - Erroneous visibility, Erroneous occlusions
 - Knowing the terrain and placement of "red team" observers what path should the "blue team" take to avoid being seen?
 - Knowing that it will be used to do siting tasks, can you design a better compression algorithm that reduces lossy artifacts that cause significant errors?



Today

- “Representing Uncertainty in Graph Edges: An Evaluation of Paired Visual Variables”
 - Visual Variables (originally from Bertin)
- “Visualizing Uncertain Information”, MacEachren, Cartographic Perspectives, 1992
 - "Quantitative Texton Sequences for Legible Bivariate Maps"
- “Algorithm and implementation uncertainty in viewshed analysis”, Peter Fisher, J. of Geographical Information Science, 1993.
 - Prof Franklin’s work on Observer Siting
- Homework 7
- Readings for Next Time
- Institutional Review Board (IRB)
- Visual.ly's Code of Ethics for Data Visualization Professionals

Assignment 7: Final Project Ideas

- Invent 2 different Final Project Ideas *I will post projects from past semesters on course webpage!*
 - “Who” (audience), “why” (research question), “what” (the finished visualization)
 - One technical challenge for the project. What makes it difficult? What is a potential “risk” for completion? For example:
 - acquiring the data,
 - working with very large data,
 - implementing a new visualization design,
 - implementing a novel interaction scheme, or
 - revising the visualization design to validate your hypothesis.
 - Do you already have a partner?
- Make Submitty forum post by Thursday 3/8 @ midnight
- Read & *reply* to 3 other students by Monday 3/19 (after break)

Readings for Friday

- "Adaptive Privacy-Preserving Visualization Using Parallel Coordinates", Dasgupta & Kosara, TVCG 2011.
- "Agile Ethics for Massified Research and Visualization", Neuhaus & Webmoor, Information, Communication & Society 2012.

Today

- "Representing Uncertainty in Graph Edges: An Evaluation of Paired Visual Variables"
 - Visual Variables (originally from Bertin)
- "Visualizing Uncertain Information", MacEachren, Cartographic Perspectives, 1992
 - "Quantitative Texton Sequences for Legible Bivariate Maps"
- "Algorithm and implementation uncertainty in viewshed analysis", Peter Fisher, J. of Geographical Information Science, 1993.
 - Prof Franklin's work on Observer Siting
- Homework 7
- Readings for Next Time
- **Institutional Review Board (IRB)**
- Visual.ly's Code of Ethics for Data Visualization Professionals

- World War II: German physicians conducted medical experiments on concentration camp prisoners without their consent. Tested blood clotting (shooting them), vaccines (infecting them), effectiveness of poison bullets, and effects of high altitude and low oxygen.
- In the 1950's, thalidomide given to pregnant women to help with sleep and nausea, but they did not know it was experimental nor did they give consent.
- Tuskegee, Alabama (1940s-1970s): Low-income African-American males with high incidence of syphilis infection were given free medical examinations, but not told about their disease, and researchers intervened to prevent treatment.
- 1961, Milgram obedience study (the shock machine): lack of proper attention to debriefing, didn't reveal the purpose of the study, didn't comfort subjects ethical qualms about having inflicted pain on a fellow human, didn't offer his participants an opportunity to opt out of the study.
- Zimbardo's prison experiment (Stanford): The study did meet the criteria of his IRB in 1973!
- In the 70's various federal regulations established IRB at all research institutions.

Institutional Review Board (IRB)

- Privacy, Confidentiality, Anonymity, and Informed Consent
- Reduce risk (physical/mental/privacy) to the participants engaged in research



Office for Research

Faculty Interest Inventory

Constellations

Invention

Centers

Platforms & Facilities

Student Research

Research Compliance

Responsible Conduct of Research

- [Institutional Review Board \(IRB\)](#)
- [Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee](#)

Biotechnology and the Life Sciences

Energy and the Environment

Computational Science and Engineering

Nanotechnology and Advanced Materials

Experimental Media and the Arts

Institutional Review Board (IRB)

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute is committed to protecting the rights and welfare of human subjects of research conducted on the campus or sponsored by the Institute.

Rensselaer subscribes to the basic ethical principles that underlie the conduct of biomedical and behavioral research involving human subjects as set forth in the [Belmont Report](#), and in accordance with [Title 45, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 46](#).

The Institutional Review Board (IRB) has the responsibility and authority to review, approve, disapprove, or require changes in research activities involving human subjects. This policy applies to all faculty, staff, and student projects, regardless of whether the project is funded externally, internally, or receives no funding support.

Researchers should refer to Rensselaer's [Guidelines for Human Subjects Research](#) to determine whether or not their research is indeed human subjects research, and/or if their research satisfies the requirements for [expedited review](#) by the IRB.

IRB Training Requirements

As federally mandated and required by the Rensselaer IRB, all investigators must complete a self-study course in human subject protection via the [CITI Training Program](#). Each investigator on a research project involving human subjects is required to certify that they have completed the required course(s) before engaging in the

Direct all inquiries to irb@rpi.edu

Rensselaer Resources for Human Subjects Research

 [IRB Proposal Template](#)

 [IRB Renewal/Closure Form](#)

Consent Form Templates:

- [Faculty](#)
- [Student](#)

Additional Resources


[CITI Training Programs](#)

[Decision Chart](#)

[NIH Office of Human Subjects Research](#)

[Office for Human Research](#)

<https://oasis.cs.rpi.edu/>




Select the category of this model:
 Dorm

What dorm is this a model of?
 Other

Is this a RPI affiliated Dorm?
 Yes No

What dorm is this a model of?
 MIT Baker

[Optional] What floor were you on?



Register

This application is a research project for architectural modeling and daylighting simulation. Your feedback is important to help us improve this tool.
[Click here for more information](#)

I am 18 years or older and give permission for my models and feedback to be used in future publications (Optional)

[Already Registered?](#)

Participation is voluntary. We anticipate no risk or discomfort beyond routine use of a computer and the Internet.

Construction of a model averages 5-10 minutes, depending on the complexity and depth of analysis. Your models and written feedback will be collected for use in future publications and the improvement of our tool.

No personal information is collected during the registration process. If you choose to provide an email address, researchers may contact you with optional follow-up questions. We will not share this email with anyone.

There is no remuneration offered for participation in this study. You retain ownership of the architectural models designed in our system.

For questions or concerns please contact:

*Barbara Cutler cutler@cs.rpi.edu
 Phone: 518-276-3274
 Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute*

*Max Espinoza espinm2@rpi.edu
 Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute*

*Chair, Institutional Review Board
 Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
 C11 9015110 8th Street
 Troy, NY 12180
 (518) 276-4873*

Spatially Augmented Reality (SAR) Projection



camera detects design geometry

6 projectors augment design

design sketched with foam-core walls

Institutional Review Board
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

Informed Consent Form

I understand that Barbara Cutler, who is a professor of Computer Science at Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, wishes to interview me as part of the research project on a new Spatially Augmented Reality (SAR) system for education and entertainment applied to games. I understand that she will be making her best possible effort to guarantee me every possible protection, including the following:

1. I am under no obligation to be participate in the study or to be interviewed if I do not wish to do so.
2. I am not obligated to perform any of the game play exercises or answer any of the questions. I may decline to answer any or all of the questions, and I may terminate the study or interview at any point, without giving any reason.
3. Participants for this study will be compensated for their time in the form of a gift certificate at the rate of \$10 per hour. This compensation is not contingent upon the subject completing the entire study and will be prorated if the subject withdraws.
4. I will be identified by a randomly assigned ID number that is used only for this study. All recordings and game state files will be labeled with this ID. All information and data relating to the user study will be protected to secure confidentiality. All electronic files will be stored on password protected computers. All paper forms will be stored in a locked office. The correspondence between the ID number and my name will be recorded by Barbara Cutler and be accessible only by her. This correspondence will be destroyed once analysis of the data is complete, within 1 year after participation in the study.
5. If there is anything that I do not wish to have quoted, or any game state files that I do not want made public, I may say at any point during or after the interview what I wish to have kept off the record and it will not be quoted or used in a publication.
6. I understand that if Barbara Cutler decides to use any portions of this interview or any examples of my game play in subsequent publications, that she will send me a copy of the portions of the interview and any game play, including any quotations and paraphrases that she decides to use, for my editing and written approval. I will have the right to edit the material and I will receive a copy of the final publication. She will only use the material that I have approved and the use of all material will be anonymous. I may also change my mind at any point up to and including the review of any quotations and paraphrases and game play that might be used.
7. Based on reading this form (check one):
 I agree to be interviewed.
 I do not agree to be interviewed.
8. The basic camera-projection Spatially Augmented Reality (SAR) setup has been described to me and I have been warned not to look directly at the projector lenses. Standing close to the projector (30cm) and looking directly into the projector bulb for 2 seconds or longer may cause permanent eye damage.

Name of Participant

Signature

Date

Today

- “Representing Uncertainty in Graph Edges: An Evaluation of Paired Visual Variables”
 - Visual Variables (originally from Bertin)
- “Visualizing Uncertain Information”, MacEachren, Cartographic Perspectives, 1992
 - "Quantitative Texton Sequences for Legible Bivariate Maps"
- “Algorithm and implementation uncertainty in viewshed analysis”, Peter Fisher, J. of Geographical Information Science, 1993.
 - Prof Franklin’s work on Observer Siting
- Homework 7
- Readings for Next Time
- Institutional Review Board (IRB)
- **Visual.ly's Code of Ethics for Data Visualization Professionals**

Society of Professional Journalists' Code of Ethics

- Is this actually used? Impractical (won't have a long career). Seems like many media outlets do the opposite
 - Examples?
- First amendment, expose negative qualities/actions of people in power
- Create emotions that can influence viewers – Tufte says to avoid garnishes (for this reason?)

<http://visual.ly/about/ethics>

As an organization that both practices and recognizes quality data-journalism, Visual.ly subscribes to the code of ethics of the Society of Professional Journalists and agrees to abide by all of its principles.

We also agree to the following principles to support data analysis and visualization:

Data will be accurate and verifiable - Visual.ly will not "lie with statistics."

Proper Sourcing & Attribution - Visual.ly will always give credit where due and will do its own reporting.

Best Practices in Visual Representation - Visual.ly will not exploit idiosyncrasies of the human visual system to exaggerate or misrepresent data.

Most succinctly stated, Visual.ly's policy is one that encompasses accuracy, honesty, and transparency.

While Visual.ly will do our best to promote these standards, the policy applies only to the visualizations we create ourselves and those we feature as staff picks, not to those uploaded by members of the community.

Visual.ly's Code of Ethics for Data Visualization Professionals

- Data analysis is important
- Too narrow focus or omission can lead to bias
- Incorrect analysis must be avoided
- Be open to criticism, learn from past work