## 2.1 Isomorphism

Two graphs: G = (V, E) and G' = (V', E') are called **isomorphic** if there is a one-to-one mapping f from V onto V' such that any two vertices  $v_i, v_j \in V$  are adjacent iff  $f(v_i)$  and  $f(v_j)$  are adjacent. We would say that G is isomorphic to G', or  $G \cong G'$ . Isomorphism can also be extended to non-simple graphs, through adding the language that there also must exist a one-to-one mapping from E to E', where every edge  $v_i, v_j \in E$  must map to an edge  $(f(v_i), f(v_j) \in E')$ .

By permuting the rows of the adjacency matrix of G (A), we should be able to create the adjacency matrix of G' (A'); i.e., there exists a **permutation matrix** P such that  $PAP^T = A'$ .

If G(V, E) and G'(V', E') are isomorphic, then we can make general statements such as:

- 1. |V| = |V'| and |E| = |E'|
- 2. the **degree sequences** of G and G' sorted in non-increasing order are identical
- 3. the lengths of the longest shortest paths (a graph's **diameter**) in G and G' are equal
- 4. the lengths of the shortest cycles (a graph's girth) in G and in G' are equal

Note that properties (1) - (4) are necessary but not sufficient conditions for isomorphism. We'll discuss more about what this means soon.

The **isomorphism relation** on the set of ordered pairs from G to G' is:

reflexive:  $G \cong G$ 

**symmetric**: if  $G \cong H$ , then  $H \cong G$ 

**transitive**: if  $G \cong H$  and  $H \cong J$ , then  $G \cong J$ 

An **isomorphism class** is an equivalence class of graphs that are all under an isomorphic relation.

An **automorphism** is an isomorphism from G to itself. The set of automorphisms of G is known as G's **automorphism group**. This can be loosely thought of the ways in which a graph is *symmetric*. While the notion of isomorphism and automorphism appear quite similar on the surface, an automorphic permutation of G will be **equal** to the original graph (i.e., the *edge list* is preserved).

## 2.1.1 Subgraph Isomorphism

Sometimes we may talk about the **subgraph isomorphism** problem, which is: Given a graph G and a graph H of equal or smaller size of G, does there exist a subgraph of G that is isomorphic to H? Subgraph isomorphism and related problems (**subgraph counting**: how many different subgraphs of G are isomorphic to H? **subgraph enumeration**: what are those subgraphs of G that are isomorphic to H?) are common techniques of graph mining.

We also want to differentiate between **vertex-induced** (or simply, **induced**) subgraphs and **non-induced** subgraphs. For both, we consider a subgraph S of G that contains a set of vertices  $V(S) \in V(G)$ . We would say that the subgraph is induced if  $\forall (u, v) \in E(G)$  s.t.  $u, v \in V(S) \implies (u, v) \in E(S)$ . The subgraph is non-induced if it only contains a subset of the edges  $(u, v) \in E(G)$  s.t.  $u, v \in V(S)$ .

## 2.1.2 Computational Considerations

In terms of computational complexity, graph isomorphism is thought to be solvable in quasi-polynomial time  $[exp((\log n)^{O(1)}), \text{ Babai 2015}]$ , though it remains an open problem. Subgraph isomorphism is NP-complete. A naive algorithm for subgraph isomorphism would involve exhaustively checking the local neighborhood of all  $v \in V(G)$  for an isomorphic relation to H, and requires  $O(n^k)$  time, where n = |V(G)| and k = |V(H)|. Although, several specialized algorithms exists; e.g., triangles can be enumerated in  $O(m^{\frac{2\omega}{\omega+1}})$  time, cycles can be found in  $O(n^{\omega} \log n)$  time, and trees can be found in polynomial time ( $\omega$  is the exponent of fast matrix multiplication – most recently,  $\omega \approx 2.373$  by Alman and Williams, 2021).