

Bibliography

- Ahn, D. S. and Oliveros, S. (2011), “Combinatorial Voting,” *Econometrica*, Forthcoming.
- Ailon, N., Charikar, M., and Newman, A. (2005), “Aggregating Inconsistent Information: Ranking and Clustering,” in *Proceedings of the Annual Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, pp. 684–693.
- Alon, N. (2006), “Ranking tournaments,” *SIAM Journal of Discrete Mathematics*, 20, 137–142.
- Altman, A. (2007), “The Axiomatic Approach to Ranking Systems,” Ph.D. thesis, Technion.
- Arrow, K. J. (1950), “A Difficulty in the Concept of Social Welfare,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 58, 328–346.
- Aswal, N., Chatterji, S., and Sen, A. (2003), “Dictatorial domains,” *Economic Theory*, 22, 45–62.
- Aziz, H. (2009), “Algorithmic and Complexity Aspects of Simple Coalitional Games,” Ph.D. thesis, University of Warwick.
- Bachrach, Y., Betzler, N., and Faliszewski, P. (2010), “Probabilistic Possible Winner Determination,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pp. 697–702, Atlanta, GA, USA.
- Bachrach, Y., Elkind, E., and Falisewski, P. (2011), “Coalitional Voting Manipulation: A Game-Theoretic Perspective,” in *Proceedings of the Twenty-Second International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain.
- Baharad, E. and Neeman, Z. (2002), “The asymptotic strategyproofness of scoring and Condorcet consistent rules,” *Review of Economic Design*, 4, 331–340.
- Barbera, S., Sonnenschein, H., and Zhou, L. (1991), “Voting by Committees,” *Econometrica*, 59, 595–609.

- Barbera, S., Gul, F., and Stacchetti, E. (1993), “Generalized Median Voter Schemes and Committees,” *Journal of Economic Theory*, 61, 262–289.
- Barbera, S., Masso, J., and Neme, A. (1997), “Voting under Constraints,” *Journal of Economic Theory*, 76, 298–321.
- Bartholdi, III, J. and Orlin, J. (1991), “Single transferable vote resists strategic voting,” *Social Choice and Welfare*, 8, 341–354.
- Bartholdi, III, J., Tovey, C., and Trick, M. (1989a), “The computational difficulty of manipulating an election,” *Social Choice and Welfare*, 6, 227–241.
- Bartholdi, III, J., Tovey, C., and Trick, M. (1989b), “Voting schemes for which it can be difficult to tell who won the election,” *Social Choice and Welfare*, 6, 157–165.
- Bartholdi, III, J., Tovey, C., and Trick, M. (1992), “How hard is it to control an election?” *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 16, 27–40, Formal theories of politics, II.
- Battaglini, M. (2005), “Sequential voting with abstention,” *Games and Economic Behavior*, 51, 445–463.
- Baumeister, D., Roos, M., and Rothe, J. (2011), “Computational Complexity of Two Variants of the Possible Winner Problem,” in *Proceedings of the Tenth International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems (AA-MAS)*, Taipei, Taiwan.
- Baumeister, D. B. and Rothe, J. (2010), “Taking the final step to a full dichotomy of the possible winner problem in pure scoring rules,” in *Proceedings of The 19th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI)*, Lisbon, Portugal.
- Bender, E. A. and Williamson, S. G. (2006), *The Foundations of Combinatorics with Applications*, Dover.
- Betzler, N. (2010), “A Multivariate Complexity Analysis of Voting Problems,” Ph.D. thesis, University of Jena.
- Betzler, N. and Dorn, B. (2010), “Towards a dichotomy for the Possible Winner problem in elections based on scoring rules,” *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 76, 812–836.
- Betzler, N., Fellows, M. R., Guo, J., Niedermeier, R., and Rosamond, F. A. (2009a), “Fixed-parameter algorithms for Kemeny rankings,” *heoretical Computer Science*, 410, 4554–4570.
- Betzler, N., Hemmann, S., and Niedermeier, R. (2009b), “A multivariate complexity analysis of determining possible winners given incomplete votes,” in *Proceedings of the 21st International Joint Conference on Artificial intelligence*, pp. 53–58.

- Betzler, N., Niedermeier, R., and Woeginger, G. (2011), “Unweighted coalitional manipulation under the Borda rule is NP-hard,” in *Proceedings of the Twenty-Second International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain.
- Black, D. (1948), “On the rationale of group decision-making,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 56, 23–34.
- Border, K. C. and Jordan, J. S. (1983), “Straightforward Elections, Unanimity and Phantom Voters,” *The Review of Economic Studies*, 50, 153–170.
- Boutilier, C., Brafman, R., Domshlak, C., Hoos, H., and Poole, D. (2004), “CP-nets: A tool for representing and reasoning with conditional ceteris paribus statements,” *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 21, 135–191.
- Bouveret, S. (2007), “Allocation et Partage Equitables de Ressources Indivisibles: Modélisation, Complexité et Algorithmique,” Ph.D. thesis, ISAE Toulouse and University of Toulouse.
- Brams, S. J., Kilgour, D. M., and Zwicker, W. S. (1998), “The paradox of multiple elections,” *Social Choice and Welfare*, 15, 211–236.
- Brandt, F. (2009), “Some remarks on Dodgson’s voting rule,” *Mathematical Logic Quarterly*, 55, 460–463.
- Brandt, F., Fischer, F., and Harrenstein, P. (2007), “The computational complexity of choice sets,” in *Theoretical Aspects of Rationality and Knowledge (TARK)*, Brussels, Belgium.
- Brandt, F., Fischer, F., and Harrenstein, P. (2009), “The Computational Complexity of Choice Sets,” *Mathematical Logic Quarterly*, 55, 444–459.
- Brandt, F., Brill, M., Hemaspaandra, E., and Hemaspaandra, L. A. (2010a), “By-passing combinatorial protections: Polynomial-time algorithms for single-peaked electorates,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pp. 715–722.
- Brandt, F., Fischer, F., Harrenstein, P., and Mair, M. (2010b), “A computational analysis of the tournament equilibrium set,” *Social Choice and Welfare*, 34, 597–609.
- Brandt, F., Brill, M., and Seedig, H. G. (2011), “On the Fixed-Parameter Tractability of Composition-Consistent Tournament Solutions,” in *Proceedings of the Twenty-Second International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain.

- Brucker, P. (2007), *Scheduling Algorithms*, Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated.
- Caragiannis, I., Covey, J. A., Feldman, M., Homan, C. M., Kaklamanis, C., Karanikolas, N., Procaccia, A. D., and Rosenschein, J. S. (2009), “On the approximability of Dodgson and Young elections,” in *Proceedings of the twentieth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pp. 1058–1067.
- Caragiannis, I., Kaklamanis, C., Karanikolas, N., and Procaccia, A. D. (2010), “Socially Desirable Approximations for Dodgson’s Voting Rule,” in *Proceedings of the 11th ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce*.
- Caragiannis, I., Lai, J. K., and Procaccia, A. D. (2011), “Towards More Expressive Cake Cutting,” in *Proceedings of the Twenty-Second International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain.
- Chapman, B. (1998), “More Easily Done than Said: Rules, Reasons, and Rational Social Choice,” *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 18, 293–329.
- Charon, I. and Hudry, O. (2000), “Slater orders and Hamiltonian paths of tournaments,” *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, 5, 60–63, 6th International Conference on Graph Theory.
- Chen, Y. and Pennock, D. M. (2010), “Designing Markets for Prediction,” *AI Magazine*, 31, 42–52.
- Chen, Y., Fortnow, L., Lambert, N., Pennock, D. M., and Wortman, J. (2008a), “Complexity of Combinatorial Market Makers,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce (EC)*, pp. 190–199, Chicago, IL, USA.
- Chen, Y., Goel, S., and Pennock, D. M. (2008b), “Pricing combinatorial markets for tournaments,” in *STOC ’08: Proceedings of the 40th annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pp. 305–314.
- Chen, Y., Lai, J., Parkes, D., and Procaccia, A. (2010), “Truth, Justice, and Cake Cutting,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, Atlanta, GA, USA.
- Chernoff, H. (1952), “A measure of asymptotic efficiency for tests of a hypothesis based on the sum of observations,” *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 23, 493–507.
- Chevaleryre, Y., Dunne, P. E., Endriss, U., Lang, J., Lemaitre, M., Maudet, N., Padget, J., Phelps, S., Rodríguez-Aguilar, J. A., and Sousa, P. (2006), “Issues in Multiagent Resource Allocation,” *Informatica*, 30, 3–31.

- Chevaleyre, Y., Endriss, U., Lang, J., and Maudet, N. (2007), “A Short Introduction to Computational Social Choice,” in *Proceedings of the 33rd Conference on Current Trends in Theory and Practice of Computer Science (SOFSEM-2007)*.
- Chevaleyre, Y., Lang, J., Maudet, N., and Ravilly-Abadie, G. (2009), “Compiling the votes of a subelectorate,” in *Proceedings of the Twenty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, pp. 97–102, Pasadena, CA, USA.
- Chevaleyre, Y., Lang, J., Maudet, N., Monnot, J., and Xia, L. (2010a), “New Candidates Welcome! Possible Winners with respect to the Addition of New Candidates,” Tech. Rep. 302, Université Paris-Dauphine.
- Chevaleyre, Y., Lang, J., Maudet, N., and Monnot, J. (2010b), “Possible Winners when New Candidates Are Added: The Case of Scoring Rules,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pp. 762–767, Atlanta, GA, USA.
- Clarke, E. H. (1971), “Multipart pricing of public goods,” *Public Choice*, 11, 17–33.
- Cohler, Y. J., Lai, J. K., Parkes, D. C., and Procaccia, A. D. (2011), “Optimal Envy-Free Cake Cutting,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, San Francisco, CA, USA.
- Coleman, T. and Teague, V. (2007), “On the complexity of manipulating elections,” in *Proceedings of the thirteenth Australasian symposium on Theory of computing (CATS)*, pp. 25–33.
- Condorcet, M. d. (1785), “Essai sur l’application de l’analyse à la probabilité des décisions rendues à la pluralité des voix,” Paris: L’Imprimerie Royale.
- Conitzer, V. (2006a), “Computational aspects of preference aggregation,” Ph.D. thesis, Carnegie Mellon University.
- Conitzer, V. (2006b), “Computing Slater Rankings Using Similarities among Candidates,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pp. 613–619, Boston, MA, USA, Early version appeared as IBM RC 23748, 2005.
- Conitzer, V. (2008), “Anonymity-Proof Voting Rules,” in *Proceedings of the Fourth Workshop on Internet and Network Economics (WINE)*, pp. 295–306, Shanghai, China.
- Conitzer, V. (2009), “Eliciting Single-Peaked Preferences Using Comparison Queries,” *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 35, 161–191, Early version in AAMAS-07.

- Conitzer, V. (2010), “Comparing Multiagent Systems Research in Combinatorial Auctions and Voting,” *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence*, To appear.
- Conitzer, V. (2011), “Should Social Network Structure Be Taken into Account in Elections?” *Mathematical Social Sciences*, to appear.
- Conitzer, V. and Sandholm, T. (2002), “Vote Elicitation: Complexity and Strategy-Proofness,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pp. 392–397, Edmonton, AB, Canada.
- Conitzer, V. and Sandholm, T. (2003), “Universal Voting Protocol Tweaks to Make Manipulation Hard,” in *Proceedings of the Eighteenth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, pp. 781–788, Acapulco, Mexico.
- Conitzer, V. and Sandholm, T. (2005a), “Common Voting Rules as Maximum Likelihood Estimators,” in *Proceedings of the 21st Annual Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (UAI)*, pp. 145–152, Edinburgh, UK.
- Conitzer, V. and Sandholm, T. (2005b), “Communication Complexity of Common Voting Rules,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce (EC)*, pp. 78–87, Vancouver, BC, Canada.
- Conitzer, V. and Sandholm, T. (2006), “Nonexistence of Voting Rules That Are Usually Hard to Manipulate,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pp. 627–634, Boston, MA, USA.
- Conitzer, V. and Yokoo, M. (2010), “Using Mechanism Design to Prevent False-Name Manipulations,” *AI Magazine*, 31, 65–77, Special Issue on Algorithmic Game Theory.
- Conitzer, V., Davenport, A., and Kalagnanam, J. (2006), “Improved Bounds for Computing Kemeny Rankings,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pp. 620–626, Boston, MA, USA.
- Conitzer, V., Sandholm, T., and Lang, J. (2007), “When Are Elections with Few Candidates Hard to Manipulate?” *Journal of the ACM*, 54, Article 14, 1–33, Early versions in AAAI-02 and TARK-03.
- Conitzer, V., Lang, J., and Xia, L. (2009a), “How hard is it to control sequential elections via the agenda?” in *Proceedings of the Twenty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, pp. 103–108, Pasadena, CA, USA.
- Conitzer, V., Rognlie, M., and Xia, L. (2009b), “Preference Functions That Score Rankings and Maximum Likelihood Estimation,” in *Proceedings of the Twenty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, pp. 109–115, Pasadena, CA, USA.

- Conitzer, V., Immorlica, N., Letchford, J., Munagala, K., and Wagman, L. (2010), “False-Name-Proofness in Social Networks,” in *Proceedings of the Sixth Workshop on Internet and Network Economics (WINE)*, Stanford, CA, USA.
- Conitzer, V., Walsh, T., and Xia, L. (2011a), “Dominating Manipulations in Voting with Partial Information,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, San Francisco, CA, USA.
- Conitzer, V., Lang, J., and Xia, L. (2011b), “Hypercube-wise Preference Aggregation in Multi-issue Domains,” in *Proceedings of the Twenty-Second International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain.
- Davies, J., Katsirelos, G., Narodytska, N., and Walsh, T. (2011), “Complexity of and Algorithms for Borda Manipulation,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, San Francisco, CA, USA.
- Dekel, E. and Piccione, M. (2000), “Sequential Voting Procedures in Symmetric Binary Elections,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 108, 34–55.
- Desmedt, Y. and Elkind, E. (2010), “Equilibria of plurality voting with abstentions,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce (EC)*, pp. 347–356, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Dobzinski, S. and Procaccia, A. D. (2008), “Frequent Manipulability of Elections: The Case of Two Voters,” in *Proceedings of the Fourth Workshop on Internet and Network Economics (WINE)*, pp. 653–664, Shanghai, China.
- Domshlak, C. and Brafman, R. I. (2002), “CP-nets - reasoning and consistency testing,” in *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning (KR-02)*, pp. 121–132, Toulouse, France.
- Drissi-Bakhkhat, M. and Truchon, M. (2004), “Maximum Likelihood Approach to Vote Aggregation with Variable Probabilities,” *Social Choice and Welfare*, 23, 161–185.
- Durrett, R. (1991), *Probability: Theory and Examples*.
- Dutta, B. and Sen, A. (1993), “Implementing generalized Condorcet social choice functions via backward induction,” *Social Choice and Welfare*, 10, 149–160.
- Dwork, C., Kumar, R., Naor, M., and Sivakumar, D. (2001), “Rank aggregation methods for the web,” in *Proceedings of the 10th World Wide Web Conference*, pp. 613–622.
- Elkind, E. and Lipmaa, H. (2005), “Hybrid Voting Protocols and Hardness of Manipulation,” in *Annual International Symposium on Algorithms and Computation (ISAAC)*, pp. 24–26.

- Elkind, E., Faliszewski, P., and Slinko, A. (2009a), “On distance rationalizability of some voting rules,” in *Proceedings of the 12th Conference on Theoretical Aspects of Rationality and Knowledge*, pp. 108–117.
- Elkind, E., Faliszewski, P., and Slinko, A. (2009b), “Swap Bribery,” in *Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium on Algorithmic Game Theory*, pp. 299–310.
- Elkind, E., Faliszewski, P., and Slinko, A. (2010a), “Cloning in Elections,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pp. 768–773, Atlanta, GA, USA.
- Elkind, E., Faliszewski, P., and Slinko, A. (2010b), “Good Rationalizations of Voting Rules,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pp. 774–779, Atlanta, GA, USA.
- Elkind, E., Faliszewski, P., and Slinko, A. (2010c), “On the role of distances in defining voting rules,” in *Proceedings of the Ninth International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems (AAMAS)*, pp. 375–382, Toronto, Canada.
- Elkind, E., Faliszewski, P., and Slinko, A. (2011), “Rationalizations of Condorcet-consistent rules via distances of hamming type,” *Social Choice and Welfare*. To appear.
- Endriss, U., Grandi, U., and Porello, D. (2010a), “Complexity of judgment aggregation: safety of the agenda,” in *Proceedings of the Ninth International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems (AAMAS)*, pp. 359–366.
- Endriss, U., Grandi, U., and Porello, D. (2010b), “Complexity of Winner Determination and Strategic Manipulation in Judgment Aggregation,” in *Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Computational Social Choice (COMSOC-2010)*.
- Ephrati, E. and Rosenschein, J. S. (1991), “The Clarke Tax as a Consensus Mechanism Among Automated Agents,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pp. 173–178, Anaheim, CA, USA.
- Erdős, P. and Moser, L. (1964), “On the Representation of Directed Graphs as Unions of Orderings,” *Math. Inst. Hung. Acad. Sci.*, 9, 125–132.
- Estivie, S. (2007), “Allocation de Ressources Multi-Agents: Théorie et Pratique,” Ph.D. thesis, Université Paris Dauphine, LAMSADE.
- Faliszewski, P. (2008), “Manipulation of Elections: Algorithms and Infeasibility Results,” Ph.D. thesis, University of Rochester.

- Faliszewski, P. and Procaccia, A. D. (2010), “AI’s War on Manipulation: Are We Winning?” *AI Magazine*, 31, 53–64.
- Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., and Schnoor, H. (2008), “Copeland voting: ties matter,” in *Proceedings of AAMAS ’08*, pp. 983–990.
- Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., and Hemaspaandra, L. A. (2009a), “How hard is bribery in elections?” *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 35, 485–532.
- Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L. A., and Rothe, J. (2009b), “Llull and Copeland voting computationally resist bribery and constructive control,” *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 35, 275–341.
- Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., and Schnoor, H. (2010a), “Manipulation of copeland elections,” in *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pp. 367–374.
- Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., and Hemaspaandra, L. A. (2010b), “Using complexity to protect elections,” *Commun. ACM*, 53, 74–82.
- Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., and Hemaspaandra, L. A. (2011a), “Multimode Control Attacks on Elections,” *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 40, 305–351.
- Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L. A., and Rothe, J. (2011b), “The shield that never was: Societies with single-peaked preferences are more open to manipulation and control,” *Information and Computation*, 209, 89–107.
- Farfel, J. and Conitzer, V. (2011), “Aggregating value ranges: preference elicitation and truthfulness,” *Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems*, pp. 127–150.
- Farquharson, R. (1969), *Theory of Voting*, Yale University Press.
- Fortune, S., Hopcroft, J. E., and Wyllie, J. (1980), “The Directed Subgraph Homeomorphism Problem,” *Theoretical Computer Science*, 10, 111–121.
- Friedgut, E., Kalai, G., and Nisan, N. (2008), “Elections can be Manipulated Often,” in *Proceedings of the Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS)*, pp. 243–249.
- Fudenberg, D. and Tirole, J. (1991), *Game theory*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Ghosh, S., Mundhe, M., Hernandez, K., and Sen, S. (1999), “Voting for movies: the anatomy of a recommender system,” in *Proceedings of the third annual conference on Autonomous Agents*, pp. 434–435.
- Gibbard, A. (1973), “Manipulation of voting schemes: a general result,” *Econometrica*, 41, 587–601.

- Gibbard, A. (1977), “Manipulation of schemes that mix voting with chance,” *Econometrica*, 45, 665–681.
- Goldsmith, J., Lang, J., Truszczynski, M., and Wilson, N. (2008), “The Computational Complexity of Dominance and Consistency in CP-Nets,” *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 33, 403–432.
- Gonzales, C., Perny, P., and Queiroz, S. (2008), “Preference Aggregation with Graphical Utility Models,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pp. 1037–1042.
- Gonzalez, T. and Sahni, S. (1978), “Preemptive Scheduling of Uniform Processor Systems,” *Journal of the ACM*, 25, 92–101.
- Grandi, U. and Endriss, U. (2011), “Binary Aggregation with Integrity Constraints,” in *Proceedings of the Twenty-Second International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*.
- Gretlei, R. J. (1983), “Dominance elimination procedures on finite alternative games,” *International Journal of Game Theory*, 12, 107–113.
- Groves, T. (1973), “Incentives in Teams,” *Econometrica*, 41, 617–631.
- Guo, M. (2010), “Computationally Feasible Approaches to Automated Mechanism Design,” Ph.D. thesis, Duke University.
- Hazon, N. and Elkind, E. (2010), “Complexity of safe strategic voting,” in *Proceedings of the First Symposium on Algorithmic Game Theory (SAGT-08)*, pp. 210–221.
- Hemaspaandra, E. and Hemaspaandra, L. A. (2007), “Dichotomy for Voting Systems,” *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 73, 73–83.
- Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L. A., and Rothe, J. (1997), “Exact analysis of Dodgson elections: Lewis Carroll’s 1876 voting system is complete for parallel access to NP,” *Journal of the ACM*, 44, 806–825.
- Hemaspaandra, E., Spakowski, H., and Vogel, J. (2005), “The complexity of Kemeny elections,” *Theoretical Computer Science*, 349, 382–391.
- Hodge, J. K. (2006), “Permutations of separable preference orders,” *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 154, 1478–1499.
- Hudry, O. (2006), “Improvements of a Branch and Bound Method to Compute the Slater Orders of Tournaments,” Tech. rep., ENST.

- Ianovski, E., Yu, L., Elkind, E., and Wilson, M. C. (2011), “The Complexity of Safe Manipulation under Scoring Rules,” in *Proceedings of the Twenty-Second International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain.
- Isaksson, M., Kindler, G., and Mossel, E. (2010), “The Geometry of Manipulation: A Quantitative Proof of the Gibbard-Satterthwaite Theorem,” in *Proceedings of the 2010 IEEE 51st Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pp. 319–328, Washington, DC, USA.
- Ju, B.-G. (2003), “A characterization of strategy-proof voting rules for separable weak orderings,” *Social Choice and Welfare*, 21, 469–499.
- Kadane, J. B. (1972), “On division of the question,” *Public Choice*, 13, 47–54.
- Kemeny, J. (1959), “Mathematics without Numbers,” *Daedalus*, 88, 575–591.
- Konczak, K. and Lang, J. (2005), “Voting procedures with incomplete preferences,” in *Multidisciplinary Workshop on Advances in Preference Handling*.
- Koutsoupias, E. and Papadimitriou, C. H. (1999), “Worst-case equilibria,” in *Symposium on Theoretical Aspects in Computer Science*, pp. 404–413.
- Lacy, D. and Niou, E. M. (2000), “A Problem with Referendums,” *Journal of Theoretical Politics*, 12, 5–31.
- Laffond, G., Laslier, J. F., and Breton, M. L. (1995), “Condorcet choice correspondences: A set-theoretical comparison,” *Mathematical Social Sciences*, 30, 23–35.
- Lang, J. (2007), “Vote and Aggregation in Combinatorial Domains with Structured Preferences,” in *Proceedings of the Twentieth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, pp. 1366–1371, Hyderabad, India.
- Lang, J. and Xia, L. (2009), “Sequential composition of voting rules in multi-issue domains,” *Mathematical Social Sciences*, 57, 304–324.
- Laslier, J.-F. (1997), *Tournament Solutions and Majority Voting*, Springer.
- Le Breton, M. and Sen, A. (1999), “Separable Preferences, Strategyproofness, and Decomposability,” *Econometrica*, 67, 605–628.
- LeGrand, R. (2008), “Computational Aspects of Approval Voting and Declared-Strategy Voting,” Ph.D. thesis, Washington University in St. Louis.
- Li, M., Vo, Q. B., and Kowalczyk, R. (2011), “Majority-rule-based preference aggregation on multi-attribute domains with structured preferences,” in *Proceedings of the Tenth International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems (AAMAS)*.

- Lipton, R., Markakis, E., Mossel, E., and Saberi, A. (2004), “On approximately fair allocations of indivisible goods,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce (EC)*, pp. 125–131, New York, NY, USA.
- List, C. and Puppe, C. (2009), *The handbook of rational and social choice*, chap. Judgment aggregation: a survey, Oxford University Press.
- May, K. (1952), “A set of independent necessary and sufficient conditions for simple majority decision,” *Econometrica*, 20, 680–684.
- McGarvey, D. C. (1953), “A Theorem on the Construction of Voting Paradoxes,” *Econometrica*, 21, 608–610.
- McKelvey, R. D. and Niemi, R. G. (1978), “A multistage game representation of sophisticated voting for binary procedures,” *Journal of Economic Theory*, 18, 1 – 22.
- Meskanen, T. and Hannu, N. (2008), *Power, freedom, and voting*, chap. Closeness counts in social choice, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- Mossel, E. and Tamuz, O. (2010), “Truthful fair division,” in *Proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Algorithmic game theory*, pp. 288–299.
- Moulin, H. (1979), “Dominance Solvable Voting Schemes,” *Econometrica*, 47, 1137–51.
- Moulin, H. (1980), “On strategy-proofness and single peakedness,” *Public Choice*, 35, 437–455.
- Moulin, H. (1991), *Axioms of Cooperative Decision Making*, Cambridge University Press.
- Narodytska, N., Walsh, T., and Xia, L. (2011), “Manipulation of Nanson’s and Baldwin’s rule,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, San Francisco, CA, USA.
- Nehring, K. and Puppe, C. (2007), “Efficient and strategy-proof voting rules: A characterization,” *Games and Economic Behavior*, 59, 132–153.
- Niou, E. M. S. (1987), “A note on Nanson’s rule,” *Public Choice*, 54, 191–193.
- Obraztsova, S. and Elkind, E. (2011), “On the Complexity of Voting Manipulation under Randomized Tie-Breaking,” in *Proceedings of the Twenty-Second International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain.

- Obraztsova, S., Elkind, E., and Hazon, N. (2011), “Ties Matter: Complexity of Voting Manipulation Revisited,” in *Proceedings of the Tenth International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems (AAMAS)*, Taipei, Taiwan.
- Pearl, J. (1988), *Probabilistic reasoning in intelligent systems: Networks of plausible inference*, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.
- Peleg, B. (1979), “A note on manipulability of large voting schemes,” *Theory and Decision*, 11, 401–412.
- Pennock, D. M. and Xia, L. (2011), “Price Updating in Combinatorial Prediction Markets with Bayesian Networks,” in *Proceedings of the 27th Annual Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (UAI)*, Barcelona, Spain.
- Pennock, D. M., Horvitz, E., and Giles, C. L. (2000), “Social Choice Theory and Recommender Systems: Analysis of the Axiomatic Foundations of Collaborative Filtering,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pp. 729–734, Austin, TX, USA.
- Pinedo, M. L. (2008), *Scheduling: Theory, Algorithms, and Systems*, Springer.
- Pini, M. S. (2007), “Reasoning with Preferences and Uncertainty,” Ph.D. thesis, University of Padova.
- Pini, M. S., Rossi, F., Venable, K. B., and Walsh, T. (2007), “Incompleteness and incomparability in preference aggregation,” in *Proceedings of the Twentieth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, Hyderabad, India.
- Procaccia, A. (2008), “Computational Voting Theory: Of the Agents, By the Agents, For the Agents,” Ph.D. thesis, Hebrew University of Jerusalem.
- Procaccia, A. D. (2009), “Thou shalt covet thy neighbor’s cake,” in *Proceedings of the Twenty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, pp. 239–244.
- Procaccia, A. D. and Rosenschein, J. S. (2007a), “Average-Case Tractability of Manipulation in Voting via the Fraction of Manipulators,” in *Proceedings of the Sixth International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems (AAMAS)*, pp. 718–720, Honolulu, HI, USA.
- Procaccia, A. D. and Rosenschein, J. S. (2007b), “Junta Distributions and the Average-Case Complexity of Manipulating Elections,” *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research (JAIR)*, 28, 157–181.

- Purrington, K. and Durfee, E. H. (2007), “Making social choices from individuals’ CP-nets,” in *Proceedings of the Sixth International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems (AAMAS)*, pp. 1122–1124.
- Rossi, F., Venable, K. B., and Walsh, T. (2004), “mCP nets: Representing and reasoning with preferences of multiple agents,” in *Proceedings of AAAI-04*, pp. 729–734.
- Satterthwaite, M. (1975), “Strategy-proofness and Arrow’s conditions: Existence and correspondence theorems for voting procedures and social welfare functions,” *Journal of Economic Theory*, 10, 187–217.
- Scarsini, M. (1998), “A strong paradox of multiple elections,” *Social Choice and Welfare*, 15, 237–238.
- Schwartz, T. (1970), “On the Possibility of Rational Policy Evaluation,” *Theory and Decision*, 1, 89–106.
- Schwartz, T. (1977), “Collective Choice, Separation of Issues and Vote Trading,” *The American Political Science Review*, 71, 999–1010.
- Shoham, Y. and Leyton-Brown, K. (2009), *Multiagent Systems: Algorithmic, Game-Theoretic, and Logical Foundations*, Cambridge University Press.
- Slinko, A. (2002), “On asymptotic strategy-proofness of classical social choice rules,” *Theory and Decision*, 52, 389–398.
- Slinko, A. (2004), “How large should a coalition be to manipulate an election?” *Mathematical Social Sciences*, 47, 289–293.
- Slinko, A. and White, S. (2008), “Non-dictatorial social choice rules are safely manipulable,” in *Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on Computational Social Choice (COMSOC)*, pp. 403–413.
- Sloth, B. (1993), “The Theory of Voting and Equilibria in Noncooperative Games,” *Games and Economic Behavior*, 5, 152–169.
- Steinhaus, H. (1948), “The problem of fair division,” *Econometrica*, 16, 101–104.
- Truchon, M. (2008), “Borda and the maximum likelihood approach to vote aggregation,” *Mathematical Social Sciences*, 55, 96–102.
- Uckelman, J. (2009), “More than the Sum of its Parts: Compact Preference Representation over Combinatorial Domains,” Ph.D. thesis, University of Amsterdam.
- Vickrey, W. (1961), “Counterspeculation, Auctions, and Competitive Sealed Tenders,” *Journal of Finance*, 16, 8–37.

- Wagman, L. and Conitzer, V. (2008), “Optimal False-Name-Proof Voting Rules with Costly Voting,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pp. 190–195, Chicago, IL, USA.
- Walsh, T. (2007), “Uncertainty in preference elicitation and aggregation,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pp. 3–8, Vancouver, BC, Canada.
- Walsh, T. (2009), “Where are the really hard manipulation problems? The phase transition in manipulating the veto rule,” in *Proceedings of the Twenty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, pp. 324–329, Pasadena, CA, USA.
- Walsh, T. (2010), “An Empirical Study of the Manipulability of Single Transferable Voting,” in *Proceedings of the European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI)*, pp. 257–262.
- Wing, J. M. (2006), “Computational thinking,” *Communications of the ACM*, 49, 33–35.
- Wooldridge, M. J. (2009), *An Introduction to MultiAgent Systems*, John Wiley & Sons, 2nd edn.
- Xia, L. (2010), “Computational Social Choice: Strategic and Combinatorial Aspects,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pp. 2000–2001, Atlanta, GA, USA.
- Xia, L. and Conitzer, V. (2008a), “Determining Possible and Necessary Winners under Common Voting Rules Given Partial Orders,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pp. 196–201, Chicago, IL, USA.
- Xia, L. and Conitzer, V. (2008b), “Generalized Scoring Rules and the Frequency of Coalitional Manipulability,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce (EC)*, pp. 109–118, Chicago, IL, USA.
- Xia, L. and Conitzer, V. (2008c), “A Sufficient Condition for Voting Rules to Be Frequently Manipulable,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce (EC)*, pp. 99–108, Chicago, IL, USA.
- Xia, L. and Conitzer, V. (2009), “Finite Local Consistency Characterizes Generalized Scoring Rules,” in *Proceedings of the Twenty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, pp. 336–341, Pasadena, CA, USA.
- Xia, L. and Conitzer, V. (2010a), “Compilation Complexity of Common Voting Rules,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pp. 915–920, Atlanta, GA, USA.

- Xia, L. and Conitzer, V. (2010b), “Stackelberg Voting Games: Computational Aspects and Paradoxes,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pp. 921–926, Atlanta, GA, USA.
- Xia, L. and Conitzer, V. (2010c), “Strategy-proof Voting Rules over Multi-Issue Domains with Restricted Preferences,” in *Proceedings of the Sixth Workshop on Internet and Network Economics (WINE)*, pp. 402–414, Stanford, CA, USA.
- Xia, L. and Conitzer, V. (2011a), “Determining Possible and Necessary Winners under Common Voting Rules Given Partial Orders,” *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 41, 25–67.
- Xia, L. and Conitzer, V. (2011b), “A Maximum Likelihood Approach towards Aggregating Partial Orders,” in *Proceedings of the Twenty-Second International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain.
- Xia, L. and Lang, J. (2009), “A Dichotomy Theorem on the Existence of Efficient or Neutral Sequential Voting Correspondences,” in *Proceedings of the Twenty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, pp. 342–347, Pasadena, CA, USA.
- Xia, L. and Pennock, D. M. (2011), “An Efficient Monte-Carlo Algorithm for Pricing Combinatorial Prediction Markets for Tournaments,” in *Proceedings of the Twenty-Second International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain.
- Xia, L., Lang, J., and Ying, M. (2007a), “Sequential voting rules and multiple elections paradoxes,” in *Theoretical Aspects of Rationality and Knowledge (TARK)*, pp. 279–288, Brussels, Belgium.
- Xia, L., Lang, J., and Ying, M. (2007b), “Strongly decomposable voting rules on multiattribute domains,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pp. 776–781, Vancouver, BC, Canada.
- Xia, L., Zuckerman, M., Procaccia, A. D., Conitzer, V., and Rosenschein, J. (2009), “Complexity of unweighted coalitional manipulation under some common voting rules,” in *Proceedings of the Twenty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, pp. 348–353, Pasadena, CA, USA.
- Xia, L., Conitzer, V., and Procaccia, A. D. (2010), “A Scheduling Approach to Coalitional Manipulation,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce (EC)*, pp. 275–284, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Xia, L., Lang, J., and Monnot, J. (2011), “Possible Winners When New Alternatives Join: New Results Coming Up!” in *Proceedings of the Tenth International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems (AAMAS)*, Taipei, Taiwan.

- Yokoo, M., Sakurai, Y., and Matsubara, S. (2004), “The Effect of False-name Bids in Combinatorial Auctions: New Fraud in Internet Auctions,” *Games and Economic Behavior*, 46, 174–188.
- Young, H. P. (1975), “Social Choice Scoring Functions,” *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 28, 824–838.
- Young, H. P. (1988), “Condorcet’s Theory of Voting,” *American Political Science Review*, 82, 1231–1244.
- Young, H. P. (1995), “Optimal Voting Rules,” *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 9, 51–64.
- Zuckerman, M., Procaccia, A. D., and Rosenschein, J. S. (2009), “Algorithms for the coalitional manipulation problem,” *Artificial Intelligence*, 173, 392–412.
- Zuckerman, M., Lev, O., and Rosenschein, J. S. (2011), “An Algorithm for the Coalitional Manipulation Problem under Maximin,” in *Proceedings of the Tenth International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems (AAMAS)*, Taipei, Taiwan.