

Lambda Calculus (PDCS 2)

combinators, higher-order programming, recursion
combinator, numbers, Church numerals

Carlos Varela
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

September 10, 2019

Lambda Calculus Syntax and Semantics

The syntax of a λ -calculus expression is as follows:

e	$::=$	v	variable
		$\lambda v.e$	functional abstraction
		$(e e)$	function application

The semantics of a λ -calculus expression is called beta-reduction:

$$(\lambda x.E M) \Rightarrow E\{M/x\}$$

where we alpha-rename the lambda abstraction E if necessary to avoid capturing free variables in M .

α -renaming

Alpha renaming is used to prevent capturing free occurrences of variables when beta-reducing a lambda calculus expression.

In the following, we rename x to z , (or any other *fresh* variable):

$$\begin{array}{c} (\lambda x. (y \ x) \ x) \\ \xrightarrow{\alpha} (\lambda z. (y \ z) \ x) \end{array}$$

Only *bound* variables can be renamed. No *free* variables can be captured (become bound) in the process. For example, we *cannot* alpha-rename x to y .

β -reduction

$$(\lambda x.E\ M) \xrightarrow{\beta} E\{M/x\}$$

Beta-reduction may require alpha renaming to prevent capturing free variable occurrences. For example:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda x. \lambda y. (x\ y)\ (y\ w)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\alpha} (\lambda x. \lambda z. (x\ z)\ (y\ w)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda z. ((y\ w)\ z) \end{aligned}$$

Where the *free* y remains free.

Booleans and Branching (*if*) in λ Calculus

$|true|: \lambda x. \lambda y. x$

(True)

$|false|: \lambda x. \lambda y. y$

(False)

$|if|: \lambda b. \lambda t. \lambda e. ((b\ t)\ e)$

(If)

Recall semantics rule:

$(\lambda x. E\ M) \Rightarrow E\{M/x\}$

$$\begin{aligned} & (((\underline{\lambda b. \lambda t. \lambda e. ((b\ t)\ e)} \ \underline{\lambda x. \lambda y. x})\ a)\ b) \\ & \Rightarrow ((\underline{\lambda t. \lambda e. ((\lambda x. \lambda y. x}\ t)\ e)\ a)\ b) \\ & \Rightarrow (\underline{\lambda e. ((\lambda x. \lambda y. x}\ a)\ e)\ b) \\ & \Rightarrow ((\underline{\lambda x. \lambda y. x}\ a)\ b) \\ & \Rightarrow (\underline{\lambda y. a}\ b) \\ & \Rightarrow a \end{aligned}$$

η -conversion

$$\lambda x.(E x) \xrightarrow{\eta} E$$

if x is *not* free in E .

For example:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda x. \lambda y. (x y) (y w)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\alpha} (\lambda x. \lambda z. (x z) (y w)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda z. ((y w) z) \\ & \xrightarrow{\eta} (y w) \end{aligned}$$

Combinators

A lambda calculus expression with *no free variables* is called a *combinator*. For example:

I:	$\lambda x.x$	(Identity)
App:	$\lambda f.\lambda x.(fx)$	(Application)
C:	$\lambda f.\lambda g.\lambda x.(f(g\,x))$	(Composition)
L:	$(\lambda x.(x\,x)\,\lambda x.(x\,x))$	(Loop)
Cur:	$\lambda f.\lambda x.\lambda y.((fx)\,y)$	(Currying)
Seq:	$\lambda x.\lambda y.(\lambda z.y\,x)$	(Sequencing--normal order)
ASeq:	$\lambda x.\lambda y.(y\,x)$	(Sequencing--applicative order)

where y denotes a *thunk*, i.e., a lambda abstraction
wrapping the second expression to evaluate.

The meaning of a combinator is always the same independently of its context.

Combinators in Functional Programming Languages

Functional programming languages have a syntactic form for lambda abstractions. For example the identity combinator:

$$\lambda x.x$$

can be written in Oz as follows:

```
fun {$ X } X end
```

in Haskell as follows:

```
\x -> x
```

and in Scheme as follows:

```
(lambda(x) x)
```

Currying Combinator in Oz

The currying combinator can be written in Oz as follows:

```
fun {$ F}  
    fun {$ X}  
        fun {$ Y}  
            {F X Y}  
        end  
    end  
end
```

It takes a function of two arguments, F, and returns its curried version, e.g.,

$$\{\{\{\text{Curry Plus}\} 2\} 3\} \Rightarrow 5$$

Recursion Combinator (Y or *rec*)

Suppose we want to express a factorial function in the λ calculus.

$$f(n) = n! = \begin{cases} 1 & n=0 \\ n * (n-1)! & n>0 \end{cases}$$

We may try to write it as:

$$f: \quad \lambda n. (\text{if } (= n 0) \\ \quad \quad \quad 1 \\ \quad \quad \quad (* n (f (- n 1))))$$

But f is a free variable that should represent our factorial function.

Recursion Combinator (Y or *rec*)

We may try to pass f as an argument (g) as follows:

$$f: \lambda g. \lambda n. (if (= n 0) 1 (* n (g (- n 1))))$$

The *type* of f is:

$$f: (Z \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow (Z \rightarrow Z)$$

So, what argument g can we pass to f to get the factorial function?

Recursion Combinator (Y or *rec*)

$$f: (Z \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow (Z \rightarrow Z)$$

(ff) is not well-typed.

(fI) corresponds to:

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n=0 \\ n^*(n-1) & n>0 \end{cases}$$

We need to solve the fixpoint equation:

$$(fX) = X$$

Recursion Combinator (Y or *rec*)

$$(fX) = X$$

The X that solves this equation is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} X: & (\lambda x.(\lambda g.\lambda n.(if (= n 0) \\ & \quad I \\ & \quad (* n (g (- n 1))))) \\ & \quad \lambda y.((x x) y))) \\ & \lambda x.(\lambda g.\lambda n.(if (= n 0) \\ & \quad I \\ & \quad (* n (g (- n 1))))) \\ & \quad \lambda y.((x x) y))) \end{aligned}$$

Recursion Combinator (Y or rec)

X can be defined as (Yf) , where Y is the *recursion combinator*.

$$Y: \quad \lambda f.(\lambda x.(f \lambda y.((x\ x)\ y))) \\ \quad \quad \quad \lambda x.(f \lambda y.((x\ x)\ y)))$$

Applicative
Order

$$Y: \quad \lambda f.(\lambda x.(f(x\ x))) \\ \quad \quad \quad \lambda x.(f(x\ x)))$$

Normal Order

You get from the normal order to the applicative order recursion combinator by η -expansion (η -conversion from right to left).

Natural Numbers in Lambda Calculus

$|0|: \lambda x.x$ (Zero)

$|1|: \lambda x.\lambda x.x$ (One)

...

$|n+1|: \lambda x.|n|$ (N+1)

$|s|: \lambda n.\lambda x.n$ (Successor)

(s 0)

$(\lambda n.\lambda x.n \ \lambda x.x)$

$\Rightarrow \lambda x.\lambda x.x$

Recall semantics rule:

$(\lambda x.E\ M) \Rightarrow E\{M/x\}$

Church Numerals

$ 0 :$	$\lambda f. \lambda x. x$	(Zero)
$ 1 :$	$\lambda f. \lambda x. (fx)$	(One)
\dots		
$ n :$	$\lambda f. \lambda x. (f \dots (fx) \dots)$	(N applications of f to x)
$ s :$	$\lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. (f ((nf)x))$	(Successor)

Recall semantics rule:

$$(\lambda x. E M) \Rightarrow E\{M/x\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. (f ((nf)x)) \lambda f. \lambda x. x) \\ & \Rightarrow \lambda f. \lambda x. (f ((\underline{\lambda f. \lambda x. x} f) x)) \\ & \Rightarrow \lambda f. \lambda x. (f (\underline{\lambda x. x} x)) \\ & \Rightarrow \lambda f. \lambda x. (fx) \end{aligned}$$

Church Numerals: isZero?

Recall semantics rule:

$$(\lambda x.E\ M) \Rightarrow E\{M/x\}$$

|isZero?|: $\lambda n.((n\ \lambda x.\text{false})\ \text{true})$ (Is n=0?)

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{isZero? } 0) \\ & (\underline{\lambda n.((n\ \lambda x.\text{false})\ \text{true})}\ \lambda f.\lambda x.\underline{x}) \\ & \Rightarrow (\underline{(\lambda f.\lambda x.x\ \lambda x.\text{false})}\ \text{true}) \\ & \Rightarrow (\lambda x.x\ \text{true}) \\ & \Rightarrow \text{true} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{isZero? } 1) \\ & (\underline{\lambda n.((n\ \lambda x.\text{false})\ \text{true})}\ \lambda f.\lambda x.(f\ \underline{x})) \\ & \Rightarrow (\underline{(\lambda f.\lambda x.(f\ x)\ \lambda x.\text{false})}\ \text{true}) \\ & \Rightarrow (\underline{\lambda x.(\lambda x.\text{false}\ x)}\ \text{true}) \\ & \Rightarrow (\lambda x.\text{false}\ \text{true}) \\ & \Rightarrow \text{false} \end{aligned}$$

Exercises

9. PDCS Exercise 2.11.10 (page 31). Test your representation of numbers in Haskell.
10. PDCS Exercise 2.11.11 (page 31).
11. Prove that your addition operation is correct using induction.