

CSCI 6220/4030: Homework 3

Assigned Tuesday October 9 2018. Due at beginning of class Monday October 22 2018.

Remember to typeset your submission, and label it with your name. Please start early so you have ample time to see me during office hours. Provide mathematically convincing arguments for the following problems. Ask me if you are unclear whether your arguments are acceptable.

1. Toss a fair coin n times. What is the probability that we get at least $3n/4$ heads? Let S_n denote the number of heads.
 - (i) Use Chebyshev's inequality to show that $\mathbb{P}[S_n \geq \frac{3}{4}n] \leq \frac{4}{n}$. That is, the probability converges to zero at least linearly in n .
 - (ii) Apply the CLT to argue that asymptotically, $\mathbb{P}[S_n \geq \frac{3}{4}n]$ is $\mathbb{P}[g \geq \sqrt{n/4}]$, where $g \sim N(0,1)$. Conclude that we may instead expect the probability to converge to zero *exponentially* in n , $\mathbb{P}[S_n \geq \frac{3}{4}n] \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-n/8}$.
 - (iii) We want non-asymptotic bounds. Explain why the Berry-Esseen nonasymptotic version of the CLT is less informative than Chebyshev's inequality in this application.
 - (iv) Apply a Chernoff bound for Poisson trials to derive a nonasymptotic tail bound on $\mathbb{P}[S_n \geq \frac{3}{4}n]$ that converges to zero exponentially fast in n .

2. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with mean μ and finite variance. Show that

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mu \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hint: use the tail sum formula.

3. Let X be a Binomial random variable with parameters n and p .
 - (i) Use the CLT to provide a tail bound for $\mathbb{P}[|X - \mathbb{E}X| > \delta \mathbb{E}X]$ that holds asymptotically as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
 - (ii) Use a Chernoff bound for Poisson trials to determine a tail bound of the same form.
4. Consider a collection X_1, \dots, X_n of n independent integers chosen uniformly from the set $\{0, 1, 2\}$. Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $0 < \delta < 1$. Derive a Chernoff bound for $\mathbb{P}(X \geq (1 + \delta)n)$ and $\mathbb{P}(X \leq (1 - \delta)n)$.
5. Consider a collection X_1, \dots, X_n of n independent geometrically distributed random variables with mean 2. Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $\delta > 0$.
 - (i) Derive a bound on $\mathbb{P}(X \geq (1 + \delta)(2n))$ by applying a Chernoff bound to a sequence of $(1 + \delta)(2n)$ fair coin tosses.
 - (ii) Directly derive a Chernoff bound on $\mathbb{P}(X \geq (1 + \delta)(2n))$ using the moment generating function for geometric random variables. The form of the bound should be simple.
 - (iii) Which bound is better in your opinion, and why?
6. [**required only for CSCI6220**] Consider n balls thrown randomly into n bins. Let $X_i = 1$ if the i th bin is empty and 0 otherwise. Let $X = \sum_i X_i$. Let Y_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ be independent Bernoulli random variables that are 1 with probability $p = (1 - 1/n)^n$. Let $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$.
 - (i) Show that $\mathbb{E}[X_1 X_2 \cdots X_k] \leq \mathbb{E}[Y_1 Y_2 \cdots Y_k]$ for any $k \geq 1$.
 - (ii) Show that $\mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] \leq \mathbb{E}[e^{tY}]$ for all $t \geq 0$. (Hint: use the expansion for e^x and compare $\mathbb{E}[X^k]$ to $\mathbb{E}[Y^k]$.)
 - (iii) Derive a Chernoff bound for $\mathbb{P}(X \geq (1 + \delta)\mathbb{E}[X])$.