

Graphs II: Matching and Coloring

Reading


- Malik Magdon-Ismael. Discrete Mathematics and Computing.
 - Chapter 12

Overview

- Matching
 - Bipartite graphs
 - Stable matching
- Coloring.
 - Conflict graphs
- Other graph problems
 - Connected components, spanning tree, Euler cycle, network flow (easy)
 - Hamiltonian cycle, facility location, vertex cover, dominating set (hard)

Bipartite graphs


- A bipartite graph consists of two sets of vertices
 - Edges exist only across the two sets



- Bipartite graphs appear everywhere in life
 - Suppose you have a number of resources that are responsible for completing some tasks
 - E.g., each one of you wants to train your favorite language model on CCI, but CCI only has so many GPUs
 - Suppose there is a node for each CS class and a node for each CS student
 - An edge exists if a student s is in class c
 - Sports teams/players can be divided similarly
 - Etc.

Bipartite Matching

- A bipartite graph can be left-matched if there exists a set of edges such that each left-vertex is covered by exactly one edge
 - E.g., there are enough resources for all tasks
- Can this graph be left-matched?



Bipartite Matching, cont'd

- A bipartite graph can be left-matched if there exists a set of edges such that each left-vertex is covered by exactly one edge
 - E.g., there are enough resources for all tasks
- Can this graph be left-matched?




Don't have enough resources for tasks T_2, T_3, T_4

Hall's Theorem

- Turns out the condition on the previous slide is true for all graphs and is a sufficient condition for matching in any graph
- For a given left subset X , let $N(X)$ be the set of “neighbors” of X , i.e., corresponding nodes on the right with edges to X

– Let $X = \{T_2, T_3, T_4\}$. What is $N(X)$?

$$N(X) = \{R_3, R_4\}$$



- *Theorem [Hall's Theorem].* Suppose that for *all* left-subsets X , $|X| \leq |N(X)|$ (Hall's “matching condition”). Then, there is a matching which covers every left-vertex.
 - Hall's Theorem says that the necessary condition is also sufficient.

Proof Sketch of Hall's Theorem

- *Theorem [Hall's Theorem]*. Suppose that for *all* left-subsets X , $|X| \leq |N(X)|$ (Hall's "matching condition"). Then, there is a matching which covers every left-vertex.
- *Proof Sketch*. By strong induction on the number of left-vertices.
 - [**Base Case**] Suppose the number of left-vertices is $n = 1$. As long as the left-vertex has at least one outgoing edge, then it can be covered. Check.
 - [**Induction Step**] Use strong induction on the number of vertices. Look at different cases
 - Case 1: when $|X| = |N(X)|$ for at least one left subset X
 - For any other set Y , if $N(X) \cap N(Y) = \emptyset$, then done
 - Use strong induction to show that this also means $|Y| \leq |N(Y)|$ for any other subset Y for which $N(X) \cap N(Y) \neq \emptyset$
 - Case 2: when $|X| < |N(X)|$ for all left subsets X
 - Similar idea
- Full proof is at the back of this slide deck and in the book

Hall's Theorem Practice.

- **Exercise.** If $(\min \text{ left-degree}) \geq (\max \text{ right-degree})$ then Hall's condition holds.
 - Why is this a better idea than actually verifying Hall's condition?
 - Much easier to check
 - Enumerating all subsets takes a loooong time
- **Example 12.3.** Building Latin Squares.


Stable Matching

- Also known as stable marriage
- Suppose that we add preferences to the matching problem
 - Each left-vertex has preferences for all right vertices and vice-versa
 - E.g., suppose left vertices are A, B, C and right-vertices are X, Y, Z

	X	Y	Z
1.	A	A	B
2.	B	C	A
3.	C	B	C





	A	B	C
1.	Z	Y	Z
2.	Y	X	X
3.	X	Z	Y

- Stable matching is used for matching medical schools with students applying for residency
 - E.g., student A prefers school Z to Y to X
 - E.g., school X prefers student A to B to C
- The point is to avoid a volatile matchup
 - Why is this volatile?
 - Here, A prefers Y to X and Y prefers A to B



Stable Matching Algorithm Overview

- Round 1. Interviews
 - All schools interview their top candidates
 - Everyone creates their ranking
 - Student *A* rejects school *X* as lowest ranked
 - *X* will not interview *A* again
- Round 2. More Interviewing
 - (In practice, this is done algorithmically, after everyone submits their ranking)
 - *Y* and *Z* invite *A* and *B* respectively
 - *X* invites *B*
 - *B* rejects *Z*; *Z* erases *B*; *X* and *Y* will try again
- Round 3. More interviewing
 - *Z* invites *A*
 - *A* rejects *Y* for their top-choice *Z*.
- Round 4. Final round
 - All parties are paired in a non-volatile manner




Stable Matching Properties


- *Theorem. [Gale-Shapely, 1962]*
 - For n students and schools, the algorithm ends after at most n^2 rounds
 - Every student and school will be matched at the end
 - The resulting set of matchings is stable (no volatile pairs).
- In practice, there's a game theoretic aspect as well, which we won't talk about
 - Suppose a student has a 1/100 chance of getting into their top choice but a 1/10 chance of getting into their 2nd choice
 - The student should probably rank their 2nd choice higher
 - Schools and students collude during interviews
 - They agree to match each other in order to avoid unexpected outcomes through the algorithm
- Stable matching is a weird system but the residency problem was getting out of hand in the mid 20th century
 - Students were getting offers early in their junior years
 - Stable matching provides a fair mechanism that is guaranteed to be stable

Conflict Graphs and Coloring


- Task 1: Assigning radio frequencies
 - Suppose we have 6 radio stations arranged as follows



- Stations broadcasting to the same listener (red areas) need different frequencies (conflict).
- How do we build a conflict graph based on the above placement?




- How many frequencies do you need?




Conflict Graphs and Coloring, cont'd


- Task 2: Scheduling Course Exams



- Courses with the same student need different exam-time (conflict) – A causes CS I and Calc I to conflict.




- All students need to take all their exams. How many exam slots do you *need*?



Sequential Greedy Coloring

1. Colors $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
2. Let $color(v_1) = 1$.
3. Assume that vertices v_1, \dots, v_i have been colored. Color v_{i+1} with the *smallest* color so that it does not conflict with any previously colored vertex.

- For visual effect, pick colors $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ as $\{red, blue, green, purple\}$




(2 colors suffice)

Sequential Greedy Coloring, cont'd

- **Chromatic Number** $\chi(G)$. The minimum number of colors needed.
- *Lemma*. Using Sequential Greedy, $color(v_i) \leq \delta_i + 1$.
- *Theorem*. Chromatic number is bounded by maximum degree.
 - i.e., $\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$, where $\Delta(G)$ is the max degree in G , $\Delta(G) = \max_i \delta_i$.

Trees are 2-Colorable





- Let us prove this for RBT's. We show that the constructor rule preserves 2-colorability.



- How do we know T_1 's root is colored red?
 - If not red, swap all colors – tree is still 2-colored
- A graph is bipartite if and only if its chromatic number is 2. Trees are bipartite.





Other Graph Problems

- **Connected Components.** For “viral” marketing, pick one vertex in each *connected component* (e.g. target the “central (red)” vertices). [easy]
- **Spanning Tree.** In a road grid (gray), to maintain a *minimal* “highway system” that offers high-speed travel we can use a *spanning tree* (red). [easy]
- **Euler Cycle.** Every winter, Troy typically has a 1-foot snowfall. The snowplow should start at the depot, traverse every road *exactly once* and return to the depot, traversing an *Euler Cycle* (red). [easy]
- **Hamiltonian Cycle.** A traveling salesman starts at work and visits every house (vertex) *exactly once*, returning to work. The salesperson follows a *Hamiltonian Cycle*. [hard]



Other Graph Problems, cont'd

- Facility Location (K -center).** McDonalds wants to place $K = 2$ restaurants (red) in a road network so that no customer has too drive far to reach their closest McDonalds. [hard]
- Vertex Cover.** Place the minimum number of police at intersections so that all roads can be surveilled or “covered”. The officers form a vertex cover. Can you do it with fewer than 6? [hard]
- Dominating Set.** Place the fewest hospitals at intersections (vertices) so that every intersection is either at a hospital or one block away from one. The red hospitals are a *dominating set*. [hard]
- Network Flow.** A *source*-ISP (blue) sends packets to a *sink*-ISP (red). What is the maximum transmission rate achievable without exceeding the link capacities? We achieved flow rate 10. [easy]




Proof of Hall's Theorem

- *Theorem [Hall's Theorem]*. Suppose that for *all* left-subsets X , $|X| \leq |N(X)|$ (Hall's "matching condition"). Then, there is a matching which covers every left-vertex.
- *Proof*. By strong induction on the number of left-vertices.
 - [**Base Case**] Suppose the number of left-vertices is $n = 1$. As long as the left-vertex has at least one outgoing edge, then it can be covered. Check.

Proof of Hall's Theorem


- *Theorem [Hall's Theorem].* Suppose that for *all* left-subsets X , $|X| \leq |N(X)|$ (Hall's "matching condition"). Then, there is a matching which covers every left-vertex.
- *Proof.* By strong induction on the number of left-vertices.
 - [Induction Step] Suppose we have n left-vertices and suppose $P(n)$ is T, i.e., $|X| \leq |N(X)|$ for all subsets X . Need to prove that $P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)$.
 - Case 1. There is a proper left-subset X , with $1 \leq |X| \leq n$, for which $|X| = |N(X)|$.
 - X has a matching into $N(X)$ (using strong induction)
 - Let Y be any left-subset $Y \subseteq \bar{X}$
 - The neighbors of Y , $N(Y)$, could overlap with $N(X)$
 - » Let $\bar{N}(Y) = N(Y) \setminus N(X)$
 - by the matching condition,

$$|N(X)| + |\bar{N}(Y)| = |N(X \cup Y)| \geq |X \cup Y| = |X| + |Y|$$
 - Since $|X| = |N(X)|$, it follows that $|\bar{N}(Y)| \geq |Y|$
 - Since this is true for any subset $Y \subseteq \bar{X}$, then \bar{X} has a separate matching into $\bar{N}(X)$



Proof of Hall's Theorem, cont'd

- *Theorem [Hall's Theorem].* Suppose that for *all* left-subsets X , $|X| \leq |N(X)|$ (Hall's "matching condition"). Then, there is a matching which covers every left-vertex.
- *Proof.* By strong induction on the number of left-vertices.
 - [Induction Step] Suppose we have n left-vertices and suppose $P(n)$ is T, i.e., $|X| \leq |N(X)|$ for all subsets X . Need to prove that $P(n) \rightarrow P(n + 1)$.
 - Case 2. For every proper left-subset X (with $1 \leq |X| \leq n$), $|X| < |N(X)|$.
 - Match the first left-vertex, X_1 , along any edge to a neighbor, n_1
 - Take any left-subset Y of the remaining graph of n left vertices
 - What do we know about $N(Y)$?
 - » Either $n_1 \notin N(Y)$, i.e., $N(Y) = \bar{N}(Y)$
 - » or $n_1 \in N(Y)$, i.e., $|N(Y)| = |\bar{N}(Y)| + 1$
 - » So finally, $|\bar{N}(Y)| \geq |N(Y)| - 1$



Proof of Hall's Theorem, cont'd

- *Theorem [Hall's Theorem].* Suppose that for *all* left-subsets X , $|X| \leq |N(X)|$ (Hall's "matching condition"). Then, there is a matching which covers every left-vertex.
- *Proof.* By strong induction on the number of left-vertices.
 - [Induction Step] Suppose we have n left-vertices and suppose $P(n)$ is T, i.e., $|X| \leq |N(X)|$ for all subsets X . Need to prove that $P(n) \rightarrow P(n + 1)$.
 - Case 2. For every proper left-subset X (with $1 \leq |X| \leq n$), $|X| < |N(X)|$.
 - Match the first left-vertex, X_1 , along any edge to a neighbor, n_1
 - Take any left-subset Y of the remaining graph of n left vertices
$$|\bar{N}(Y)| \geq |N(Y)| - 1$$
$$\geq |Y| + 1 - 1 = |Y|$$
 - The remaining left-vertices have a matching to the remaining right-vertices (induction hypothesis).
 - In both cases, there is a left-matching which covers the $n + 1$ left-vertices.

